INQUIRY UNDER SECTION 438U OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT

CENTRAL COAST CITY COUNCIL

Public Hearing

Conducted via Microsoft Teams

On Monday, 27 September 2021 at 10.00am (Day 1)

Before Ms Roslyn McCulloch, Commissioner

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you for attending the Central Coast public hearings. Commissioner Roslyn McCulloch will be presiding. My name is Katrina Annis-Brown, officer assisting the Commissioner. Information and updates regarding the inquiry, including the list of persons appearing each day at the hearings, will be posted on the inquiry webpage. The public hearings into the Central Coast Council are now in session.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Annis-Brown. I will just start with some opening remarks. The role of this public inquiry is to inquire and report on matters that relate largely to the governance of Central Coast Council. The inquiry is taking place as a result of the appointment of me as Commissioner to hold a public inquiry by the Minister for Local Government, the Honourable Shelley Hancock, on 26 April 2021, under section 438U of the Local Government Act. I will now discuss the terms of reference, the nature of the inquiry and certain matters of procedure.

The terms of reference are potentially very broad, however, it is not possible for this inquiry to look into every matter that might fall within the terms of reference. That would require the expenditure of an unreasonable amount of time and resources. I will now read the terms of reference. They are:

To inquire and report to the Minister for Local Government with respect to whether: 1. In exercising its functions pursuant to sections 21, 22, 23, 23A and 24 of the Local Government Act the governing body met its obligations in a manner consistent with sections 8A(1)(b), 8B(a), 8B(c) and 8B(d) of the Local Government Act, particularly in relation to: (a) whether the governing body acted in a manner that maximised the success of gaining efficiencies and financial savings from the merger process: (b) whether the governing body disregarded the financial consequences of its decisions; and (c) whether the governing body's decisions since 2017 contributed to the financial

in.

position which the council now finds itself

3 4 5

2. In exercising its functions pursuant to section 223 of the Local Government Act, the governing body ensured:(a) as far as possible, that decisions

- (a) as far as possible, that decisions taken by it had regard to the financial sustainability of the council; and
- (b) kept under review the performance of the council, including that council spending was responsible and sustainable by aligning general revenue and expenses.
- 3. Any other matter that warrants mention, particularly those that may impact on the effective administration of council's functions and responsibilities or the community's confidence in the council being able to do so.

The Commissioner may make recommendations as the Commissioner sees fit having regard to the outcomes of the inquiry, including whether all civic offices at Central Coast Council should be declared vacant.

The terms of reference have a direct link to several provisions of the Local Government Act concerning the role of the governing body of the council, with a particular focus on the financial consequences of its decisions.

Section 22 of the Local Government Act says that the governing body is comprised of the elected representatives, or councillors. Councillors of local councils play a vital role in the affairs and administration of Local Government. Councillors control the affairs of council, subject to and in accordance with the Local Government Act. Councillors help create council policies and objectives. They represent the interests of residents and ratepayers and are in a position to provide leadership and to guide their communities.

implementation of policy at all levels.

The Local Government Act contains a number of guiding

The staff of councils also play important roles in

management and policy formulation at senior levels and

The Local Government Act contains a number of guiding principles for councils, including principles of sound financial management, to be observed in the carrying out of

their functions under the Act.

At the top of the system of Local Government are the residents and ratepayers. This is reflected in term of reference 3, which authorises inquiry into any other matters which may impact on the confidence of the community in council being able to effectively carry out its functions and responsibilities.

A number of submitters have commented that the terms of reference are too narrow. Some of those submitters were concerned that by focusing on decisions of the governing body since 2017, important pre-existing conditions and earlier decisions made by persons other than the governing body would not be examined as part of the inquiry. Those conditions and earlier decisions form part of the factual matrix within which the governing body made its decisions since 2017. The inquiry will need to have regard to that factual matrix in order to properly evaluate the decisions of the governing body since 2017.

This is an administrative inquiry. In essence, it is a fact-finding inquiry set up to obtain facts and not to finally determine legal rights. A public inquiry such as this can only make recommendations to the minister. Any findings of fact that are ultimately made are expressions of opinion and bind no-one. No recommendations that might be made bind the minister. The inquiry itself cannot implement any recommendations it might make.

As it is an administrative inquiry, the rules of evidence do not apply, but the rules of fairness do apply. There is also a requirement that any finding of fact must be made rationally and in accordance with proper standards of satisfaction.

With the exception of section 13 and division 2 of part 2, the provisions of the Royal Commissions Act of New South Wales apply to this inquiry. Amongst other things, this means that persons may be summoned to give evidence at the public hearings and also to produce documents.

Shortly after the minister appointed me as Commissioner for the inquiry, I appointed Ms Katrina Annis-Brown of the Office of Local Government as officer assisting.

9

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21

27 28

29

30

42

37

47

A number of persons have been summoned or invited to appear at these public hearings, including all the councillors who comprised the governing body of the council since 2017, and some current and former senior staff of Central Coast Council and its predecessor councils, Gosford City Council and Wyong Shire Council. I will also hear from members of council's audit, risk and improvement committee, known as "ARIC".

Submissions were sought from the public in relation to the terms of reference. A number of other persons or entities were specifically invited to make submissions. This approach was consistent with the nature of the inquiry. It is public in nature, and active community and interested group participation is encouraged.

Submissions from more than 100 submitters were received. I determined that it was not appropriate to put the submissions on a public website for reasons including legal advice relating to the publication of submissions, and in some cases that what was received were generally just submissions and no more than that. In some instances, they contained untested assertions. Some submitters requested anonymity. Interested parties may, on application, see the submissions, with the exception of those whose authors requested anonymity.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic this inquiry is being conducted via an audiovisual platform. To ensure that the inquiry is conducted in public, the proceedings, other than when evidence is being taken in private, will be live streamed over a YouTube channel in addition to being transcribed. The transcript will be available, usually within 24 hours, on the inquiry website. No reproduction of the YouTube broadcast is permitted.

The inquiry is scheduled to sit between 27 September 2021 and 15 October 2021, with non-sitting days on 4 and 14 October 2021 currently scheduled. It is proposed to sit between 10am and 4.30pm daily with a morning tea and lunch break. Those hours may be altered or extended to accommodate some witnesses.

I have allowed for some witnesses to be legally represented during the inquiry where I have been satisfied that the person is substantially and directly interested in any subject matter of the inquiry, or where that person's

 conduct in relation to any such matter has been challenged to the person's detriment.

There are presently nearly 50 witnesses scheduled to give evidence over 13 sitting days. The officer assisting and her administrative assistant are working hard to ensure that all witnesses are familiar with the technology and to let witnesses have as much notice as possible as to when they will be required to appear. I do ask that everyone be patient as we navigate this virtual inquiry together.

Anyone joining the public hearings as a participant is reminded to do so with the camera off and microphone on mute until you are invited to speak.

The procedure following the conclusion of the public hearings will be as follows: one, submissions in reply may be lodged within a short period following the conclusion of the hearings; two, any further documentary information arising from the evidence received from the public hearings will be obtained; three, a report will be published and provided to the Minister for Local Government; four, the minister will table the report before both houses of Parliament; and, five, the minister will consider the report and take such action as she considers appropriate.

That action could be the dismissal of the council, or the termination of the suspension of the council, or, in either case, the issue of a performance improvement order which may include the appointment of a financial controller.

I can assure the public and the councillors that I am coming to this inquiry with an open mind and a clear purpose to make findings of relevant facts to enable appropriate recommendations to be made to the minister, having regard to the terms of reference.

Finally, I hope that the inquiry can be conducted with a minimum of formality. However, everyone observing it appearing should be mindful of the purpose of the inquiry and be respectful of those participating in it. Thank you.

We will now take a break until 11.20 when we will hear from the inquiry's first witness.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Hello, everybody, I just would like to provide you with an update. We are continuing to have difficulties, unfortunately, with the live stream. The Commissioner has determined to proceed with the public hearings as planned.

Earlier this morning the Commissioner made her opening remarks and these will be available to view in the transcript when it is published. Unfortunately we will not be able to live stream the meeting until the technical issues are rectified.

However, we will proceed. I will now hand over to the Commissioner to recommence the public hearings into the Central Coast public inquiry. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Annis-Brown. I do apologise to those within the meeting and to the people in the public who are trying to view the live stream. It is very unfortunate. Some upgrade over the weekend apparently put some gremlins in the works, but the matter is listed for hearing and we need to proceed. Our first witness is Ms Lisa Matthews. Ms Matthews, can you come on camera and off mute, please. Thank you.

I believe there is an appearance to be announced for Mr Murphy?

MS BULUT: Yes, may it please, Commissioner. My name is Bulut, initial V. I appear with permission, instructed by RGSLAW.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Bulut. I should say at the outset I have received a request for cross-examination. This is an administrative hearing, it is not an adversarial one. I will defer any request for cross-examination until Ms Matthews has concluded her main evidence, but I can say that at the moment I'm not inclined to have cross-examination unless I can see that there is a benefit to the inquiry to do that. .

MS BULUT: Yes. I should clarify that our application is simply to be given the opportunity to cross-examine depending on where the evidence falls. It may well be the case that we don't have any questions in cross-examination. I will confirm that at the end of Ms Matthews' evidence.

```
1
      THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So, Ms Matthews, first of
2
      all we will have you sworn in as a witness.
3
4
      <LISA MATTHEWS, sworn:</pre>
                                           [11.53am]
5
6
      <EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER:
7
8
      THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Could you state for the record
9
      your name, address and qualifications?
10
      A. My name is Lisa Ann Matthews. My address is
                                                            [address redacted]
11
      I am the suspended
12
      mayor of the Central Coast Council and my formal
13
      qualifications in my work profession, I'm a beauty
14
      therapist.
15
16
      Q. Thank you. I am just going to ask you some questions,
      because you haven't provided the Commission with a written
17
18
      submission. Is there a reason that you didn't do that?
19
      A. Look, yes, there was a reason. It's probably - it's -
20
      oh, my screen's completely gone haywire.
21
22
      Q. Just ignore the screen.
23
      A. That's fine. Yes, look, personal reasons, I guess.
24
      It's been a really tough time for me personally. It has
25
      had an effect on my mental health. Not only my mental
26
      health, but also on my family and I was really worried
27
      about backlash, and I - yes, I chose not to submit
      something, and obviously I would be available to answer
28
29
      questions to the best of my ability and knowledge if I
30
      needed to.
31
32
      Q. Thank you. Now, I believe you were a councillor in
      the former Wyong Shire Council?
33
34
      A. That's correct.
35
      Q. Could you tell me how long you'd been on that council?
36
37
      A. I first got elected in 2008.
38
39
      Q. Did you have any special roles in that council?
      A. I served as deputy mayor in 2011, but I guess my love
40
41
      and my speciality is around women, children and families,
42
      so I was successful in getting a women's committee up in
43
      the year of Women in Local Government, which was 2011, and
44
      then proceeded to get that same committee rolling in
      Central Coast Council.
45
46
47
      Q. Thank you. Do you have very much knowledge of what
  .27/09/2021 (1)
                             L A MATTHEWS
```

Transcript produced by Epiq

- 1 went on before you were elected to the Central Coast
- 2 Council, what went on when the council was under
- 3 administration?
- 4 A. Not - no, not a lot, because clearly as a - well, as
- 5 a - we were terminated from our positions on council, and
- 6 for some time it was quite a silence. You know, it was
- 7 business as usual for the newly formed Central Coast
- 8 Council.

- 10 Q. I'm going to stop you there. I'm talking about the
- 11 first period of administration, when the Wyong Shire
- 12 Council and Gosford City Council were merged, there was a
- 13 period of administration before there was an election of
- 14 councillors?
- 15 A. Yes. That's what I'm referring to. In
- 16 administration, at that point, the administrator and
- Mr Noble, the first, as I understand it. CEO created a 17
- 18 committee of the former councillors to be involved in what
- 19 was taking place. So it was a committee that - from
- 20 memory, you know, we are going back a fair bit now, but we
- did meet at the Southern Lakes community centre and bits 21
- 22 and pieces were discussed, but nothing confidential, of
- 23 course, because we weren't councillors at that time.
- 24
- 25
- Q. I understand. So when you were on Wyong council, do 26 you have any recollection of there being any change to the
- 27 staff numbers while you were a councillor?
- 28 A. Wow, that's a long time ago. Look, Wyong council
- 29 certainly had some issues in relation to staffing and had a
- lot of contractors at the time. There was, you know, some 30
- wriggle room around that, but Wyong council certainly -31
- 32 ves, look, the numbers were consistent. There was no great
- sudden movement in numbers in Wyong council that I can 33
- 34 recall. But, again, I'd say that in relation to movement 35 around staffing, it's operational and you're always
- 36 continually repeatedly told so.
- 37
- 38 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that.
- A. Staffing movements and numbers, you are told when you 39
- ask questions or raise questions, "That's operational". So 40
- 41 you don't - for me personally, didn't really get involved
- 42 in the numbers in relation to staffing.
- 43
- 44 Q. I understand. Were you aware of the need to upgrade
- Wyong's IT system when you were a councillor there? 45
- A. There was always talk about the IT system needing some 46
- 47 improvements, and certainly when they were talking about

.27/09/2021 (1) L A MATTHEWS

1 amalgamation - because, I mean, amalgamation had been 2 mooted for a number of years, or at least a couple of years 3 that I can recall, and they were always talking about 4 systems would need to be upgraded.

5 6

7

- Q. Were you aware of any proposal or any cost of that upgrade?
- A. No, not that I recall.

8 9

- 10 Q. Did you have any knowledge of how water and sewer and 11 drainage contributions were accounted for at Wyong council?
- 12 A. My understanding of those contributions is that it's a 13 separate fund and that any moneys collected for water, 14 sewer and drainage costs stay in a fund that needs to be 15 used and spent on infrastructure, repairs, et cetera. So

16 it was always meant to be a separate fund.

17 18

19

- Q. And you weren't aware of any other you weren't aware that that wasn't being carried out at Wyong council?
- 20 A. Again, recalling my memory, I do believe that at one stage Wyong council did use restricted funds, which is from 21
- 22 the water and sewer, and did have to - well, they sought
- 23 permission from the minister to make that happen. So I
- 24 believe that there was a precedent set in relation to using
- 25 those funds, but it was authorised by the minister at that 26 time.

27

- 28 Q. I see. Now, in relation to the merger, Wyong council 29 formally supported the merger, did it not?
- A. If you can call that well, it was a resolution of 30 council, that's right. It only just got over the line by 31 32 one vote.

33

35

34

- Q. What was the community of Wyong's attitude to the merger?
- A. Oh, in my opinion well, the community didn't look, 36 37 the community didn't want a merger but I think what the
- 38 community really wanted at that time was an opportunity to
- 39 say whether they did want a merger. And really, they
- 40 weren't given that chance or opportunity.

- 42 Q. Did you have any idea, when the council formally 43 supported the merger, what the estimated costs of that
- 44 merger procedure would be?
- 45 A. Look, we - I personally know, but, of course, you
- know, we would be asking the questions. And also to 46
- 47 clarify, every discussion around a merger happened at the

- 1 late hours of the evening. It was like a tack-on to a
- 2 formal notice of motion that was put on or to a report that
- 3 the staff put up. So it was all really last-minute goes at
- 4 having a go at amalgamating the region, I guess, or the two
- 5 councils. But, you know, we would ask questions of the CEO
- 6 then, which was Rob Noble, and we'd say, "How much,
- 7 Mr Noble? How much?" Mr Noble often said, "150 mill
- 8 easy", you know. So the figure was around 150 mill.
- 9 That's what I remember.

- 11 Q. I see. Do you have any knowledge of the financial position of Wyong Shire Council before it entered the
- 13 merger?
- 14 A. Look, again, it's a long time ago, but, I mean, we
- were deemed unfit for the future, not on funds but on scale
- and capacity. I believe that Wyong was in a fair and
- 17 reasonable position. We had an SRV, special rate variance,
- that we had been undergoing a lot of backlog in our
- infrastructure at work. So we had spent a lot of money on
- 20 roads and our community facilities. I believe that Wyong
- 21 was doing really well at the time, but unfortunately the
- 22 Fit For the Future scale and yes, scale and capacity is
- where we fell short. But I remember on a meeting or at a
- meeting, again, late hours of the evening, that former
- 25 Councillor Best did put up and it was resolved that we were
- 26 to seek the financials of Gosford City Council and we never
- received any detail as to where Gosford were at at the time.
- 20

29

- 30 Q. That was prior to the merger occurring?
- 31 A. Correct. That's right. So we didn't know what
 - Gosford's capacity was or wasn't.

32 33

- Q. You were aware that Wyong council suffered from an infrastructure backlog?
- A. Well, absolutely we had an infrastructure backlog, but
- 37 the SRV that came in helped us fix a lot of that problem,
- and there were a lot of rebuilds and our road capacity
- was our road index was increased at that time. So, yes,
- 40 look, definitely had some skeletons and some things that
- 41 needed to be fixed but we were working through that.

42

- 43 Q. Are you aware of there being any difference between
- 44 the backlog for the water authority side of the council
- 45 compared to the council side?
- 46 A. No, not really. I don't recall anything in
- 47 particular. I mean, we had the Mardi-Mangrove pipeline

.27/09/2021 (1) 11 L A MATTHEWS
Transcript produced by Epiq

1 going through at that time, which was a federally funded 2 project to secure our water for the 2020 water, so that was 3 a huge thing that was happening for the Central Coast, 4 because it was only before my time that council had issues 5 with the dam and how much water capacity they had in going 6 forward for the community in the future. So we needed to 7 secure water supply.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Just going to the next step, once the council was merged but under its first period of administration, to what extent were you able to observe what was going on? I know you've said you had these informal meetings with Mr Noble - did you have any contact with the community during that period?
- 15 A. No. I was unsure what my future was going to be in 16 relation to the next step, whether I would in fact put my hand up for council, so, yes, I really - again, under 17 18 administration, I let the bureaucrats do their job. Yes, I 19 didn't really get involved, apart from those meetings that 20 I did attend.

21 22

23

- Q. I see. They were just invitations to former councillors?
- 24 A. That's correct, and it was at the Southern Lakes 25 neighbourhood centre here at Berkeley Vale and it was like 26 an hour and a half, short and sweet. You really -27 I recall, you know, questions - I don't know the nature of 28 the questions exactly, but, you know, certainly there were 29 questions being thrown up at Mr Noble and Mr Reynolds and there wasn't much information coming back. To me, my 30 opinion, it was a very tokenistic committee that made 32 people feel important.

33 34

35

31

Q. Did it include the Gosford City Council's former Gosford City Councillors?

36 A. Yes.

37

- 38 Q. Okay. When the council was elected, what was the nature of the handover from the administrator to the new 39 40
- 41 A. Well, at that point I was just a mere councillor and 42 I don't recall any great handover of any significance to 43 this council at all.

- 45 Q. There was some induction training and sessions held?
- 46 A. That's correct. There was a few sessions. I didn't
- 47 attend the very first session. I had a commitment

elsewhere so I didn't attend. I did go to the next one. But in my humble opinion, it seemed to be more about how people - relationships and how people were going to get on and what quadrant of the square you came from, whether you were servant, whether you were a leader. It was a lot of psychoanalysing. And from people giving me feedback, they just said, you know, "We spent four hours in a room working out who was strong and who was weak" and, yes, really didn't get to the nuts and bolts of what you really did need to know as a councillor, or a new councillor.

I remember back in 2008 when I was first elected, you know, we got called the newbies or the new councillors for at least two years and really, it was lacking. I think what further cements that is that this council realised, two years down the track, that its induction wasn't great and there was a little committee set up to work with the governance to ensure that in future, in going forward into the next and future councils, that the induction program would really reach the needs of the elected.

You don't know what you don't know until you don't know it. I mean, it can be very confusing and very daunting. Again, in 2008 I remember being elected, coming in on the day - on the eve of the council meeting they wanted to fit me for a uniform; they didn't want to show me how to use the buzzer. I kept saying, "That doesn't make sense to me, I need to know how to press the buzzer in the council meeting. That's more than important than tailoring me up for a uniform."

- Q. That was with the previous council?
- A. That was with the previous. But this council, you know, same thing. I think they had one mock demonstration of a council meeting. Yes, it's challenging for somebody who is new, who doesn't know the set-up. But, yes, to me, the training needed to be fixed or needed the orientation certainly needed to be better and they did make some changes and hopefully, going forward, that process will be better for any newly elected councillor.

- 42 Q. Was there any ongoing training for councillors offered 43 or provided?
- 44 A. So, yes, there's often some training, but not
- 45 necessarily Central Coast Council training but training
- that's offered through the Office of Local Government.
- 47 There is also a certificate for elected officials.

I always found it difficult to get to some of those - well, those courses, because, (a), if you are a worker, it's hard, because we all know that being a councillor is a very part-time basis and most of us have working commitments, and also being a mum I have mum commitments. So it's not always just that easy to go to these courses.

The second thing is that living on the Central Coast, a lot of these courses and opportunities were down in Sydney. Again, harder.

So, you know, when things come online, it makes life a bit easier, but, again, working around your schedule is something - it does make it difficult. But there are opportunities, if you've got the time and the means to get there.

- Q. And once the pandemic hit, was there any opportunities to do any of those sort of courses online?
- A. I think there was a couple of courses that the Office of Local Government did offer, but nothing - once you're no longer a new councillor, it kind of goes out of your head, because you are so busy doing your council functions and attending meetings, and you've only got to look at the meeting schedule that we had - you get to the point where, yes, knowledge is great, but you're exhausted, literally exhausted.

- Q. What would you say your level of financial knowledge was when you started on this council?
- A. I'm not an accountant and I don't pretend to be an accountant. I know how to read a spreadsheet, because I do have a very small business, so I understand your profit and loss, I understand moneys coming in, I understand cash in the bank, but I'm no accountant.

- Q. So you were relying, for a more nuanced interpretation, on the staff of any financial statements, were you?
- A. Oh, absolutely. I remember being told by the former former CEO of the newly elected council that, "You have executive leadership team and staff that are very good at their job, and let them do their job. They are highly qualified people, and they will provide you with the information that you need."

Q. And who is the former former CEO?

A. Sorry, that was Mr Brian Bell.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. Thank you. What did you observe of the general culture within the staff at the council when you became a councillor?

A. So, my experience in this council was completely different with my experience in the former Wyong council. From the get-go, we were pretty much told that our level of staffing was ELT, the executive leadership team, that was where our inquiries would go, and that we were not to have any access, or did not have any access to anybody below the executive leadership team. So unless you are the fly on the wall, you don't really know what's happening. I mean, you hear Chinese whispers, of course, but, you know, my role, and it was very clearly spelt out to me - you're not to speak to the staff. Your direct route is your CEO and your executive leadership team.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

I for ages kept saying and questioning that, because we know how busy the ELT are, and their role is also to do their job, but also filter down to their staff, who are also paid well and knowledgeable staff. I used to say, you know, "Mr General Manager, why can't I speak to managers? Why can't we have a contact with the managers?" Because it would save time and energy if I could pick up the phone to a manager and ask them the question, because they are, in essence, the ones who had their finger on the pulse, they are the ones who do the majority of the day-to-day stuff. They would have the answers. But unfortunately, we never really had access to those staff. So it's - you know, rumour and innuendo, fly on the wall stuff, you would hear that people weren't happy. I think a lot of it was said about the Wyong/Gosford - you know, people said that everybody that was left is only - it's a Wyong takeover. So there was that kind of culture where people were feeling threatened that Wyong was taking over the former Gosford, and you would hear that. You know, I live and work in this community. There's lots of council staff that live in my street. So, you know, it's hard not to hear that. But at a councillor level, you really don't know that unless you're hearing the Chinese whispers.

41 42

- 43 Q. It was just the innuendo?
- 44 A. Absolutely.

- 46 Q. And what you heard outside the council?
- 47 A. Absolutely, yes.

- 2 Q. How well do you think the two workforces were combined 3 when the councils merged?
- 4 A. Again, my opinion, I think it definitely did become an 5 "us and them", Wyong versus Gosford. You know, for a long 6
- time a Wyong ratepayer couldn't go into Gosford and, you
- 7 know, do their business and then the staff there would get 8 upset because people were angry with them because they
- 9 couldn't do their job. I think, yes, there was a lot of
- 10 "us and them" and I still think there's a lot of "us and
- 11 them". So I don't think the processes actually ran as
- 12 smooth as they would have hoped, in relation to culture and

13 staff feeling like they were one.

14 15

16

- Q. So as a councillor were you kept updated about the cost of staff for the new council?
- 17 A. We would ask questions, but it wasn't something that 18 we constantly were briefed on, no.

19 20

21

- Q. Were you aware of the restrictions that applied to staff because of the merger?
- A. Absolutely. I was aware that there was a wage freeze 22 23 and there was a staff freeze.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- Q. And there was a harmonisation?
- A. Yes, we had to harmonise absolutely, we had to harmonise the awards. And that was probably the biggest problem, was that you had all sorts of people on all sorts of awards and all sorts of pay scales and the harmonising of that was a real problem for council, and that was certainly - that was reiterated, that the harmonisation of wages was causing a problem.

32 33 34

35

I think a lot of it, again, is that IT system, that it wasn't just a simple formatting; the IT system was causing a lot of the problems with the harmonisation.

- 38 Q. So when you were a councillor, what were you aware of 39 in terms of the merging of the IT systems for the two 40 former councils?
- 41 A. Well, we were aware that obviously it had to happen,
- but it wasn't happening like it should have been happening. 42
- 43 Different systems came online - for example, the library
- 44 system came on first and then there was a lot of the HR was
- 45 coming on, but everything was almost staged. It wasn't
- like a smooth transition and along the way there were 46
- 47 hiccups, and getting reports or getting, like, a lot of

1 reports out of systems was certainly a problem at that 2 stage because we would get told "Oh, it hasn't gelled so we 3 have to physically get the information out, we have to 4 extract the information manually."

5 6

- Q. What was your knowledge of the experience or expertise within the finance section of the council staff?
- 7 8 A. I don't know much about the knowledge or the 9 expertise. What I know, it was a revolving door. We 10 seemed to not be able to hold on to a CFO. That worried 11 me. Like, why would a CFO keep leaving? In my time as 12 mayor, when Mr Murphy came to me - and we'd lost our CFO,

13 and Mr Murphy --

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

- Q. Who was that at that time?
- A. That was Mr Norman, Craig Norman. Then Mr Murphy came to me and said, "Look, I'm going to give Carlton Oldfield an opportunity to act up in the role", and I said, "Okay, that's fine". He said, "Whilst we obviously try and find a new CFO." Carlton seemed to be acting in the role and then all of a sudden there wasn't a lot of information coming out and Carlton, once, I guess, we found out that there was a problem, Carlton then went off on sick leave.

23 24 25

26

27

28

I said to Gary at that point, or Mr Murphy, rather, my apologies, "Mr Murphy, I believe that you really need to take that role now as well." So Mr Murphy, I guess, became the pseudo CFO and CEO in that interim period that we were still there.

29 30 31

- Q. When you say "when the problem happened", what month?
- A. That was October.

32 33 34

35

36

37

- Q. Okay. I'll get to that in a minute. Some of the submissions have touched on the selection of the general manager for his position. Were you on the selection committee?
- 38 A. No, I wasn't on that panel, no.

- 40 Q. Were you aware of whether any particular process was 41 followed appropriately for the appointment of the general
- 42 manager?
- 43 A. Yes, so I've been involved in a couple of appointments
- 44 for GMs and the process I can see was followed was it was a
- resolution of council to get the recruitment agency in, as 45
- with any senior position. The recruitment agency came in 46
- 47 and they obviously went away and selected who they felt was

suitable to come.

1 2 3

4

5

6

At that time I was very disappointed and despondent in relation to the caliber and the fact that we didn't have a female person to interview, so I kind of felt, well, you know, it's a big organisation, we haven't got a woman to interview, I was quite upset about that.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

But I'm aware that the employment agency - and their name escapes me exactly - they followed the processes. All of the interviews that were done by the agency were actually on the intranet for the councillors to observe and to have a look at and ask their questions. So, yes, look, I'm comfortable with the process that the general manager's position followed was correct.

16 17

- Q. When you were the mayor, what was your relationship with the general manager like?
- 18 19 A. Well, I guess - you know, I was the mayor and he was 20 the CEO, so it was a respectful relationship. I -21 my style - I'm not an authoritarian. My style is to work 22 collaboratively and alongside my peers. So we met weekly, 23 and then obviously it was online due to COVID towards the 24 end. I believe that we had an honest and open 25 relationship. In fact, at times I would often say to Gary, 26 "Is there anything you need to tell me?", you know. So we 27 had that relationship. I felt that Gary was a really nice 28 man. Yes, I had no issues with Gary personally. But it was what I would consider the servant/master. If I asked 29

30 31 32

33

- Q. What about his performance as a general manager; did you have any reason to question it?
- A. I don't the only problem I had with Gary, and Gary certainly had said before, you know, he wasn't one of those people that cracked the whip. So, you know, the only concern I had at times is that I felt that he was a bit soft with some things that did happen, and I felt that he could get a little bit more assertive or aggressive, I
- 40 guess, with some of his answers. I felt that as a general
- 41 manager, at times I didn't feel that he was actually

him a question, he would get me an answer.

- leading the ship. Sometimes I felt he sat back. But then
- again that was his style. He was upfront with his style.
- When we did speak with that, the councillors you know, he
- said that he would that that wasn't his style but he
- 46 would try to modify some of his style. Yes, that was the
- 47 only concern I really had, that at times I felt he lacked

- 1 any real get up and go or, you know, to crack the whip at 2 times when it needed. Not just for the ELT but for the 3 elected. Sometimes you need a general manager to actually say, "Stop, councillors. You're carrying on like buffoons. 4 5 This is what you need to be doing." At times - sometimes 6 I really felt like we needed somebody to crack a whip 7 somewhere and it just didn't happen. 8 9 Q. What about in terms of his management of the financial 10 crisis when it became evident that there was one. 11 A. Look, I think Gary did everything he could at the time when it all came down to it on that weekend, on 6 October. He did stand up in front of all of us and say that he takes
- when it all came down to it on that weekend, on 6 Octobe
 He did stand up in front of all of us and say that he takes
 full responsibility. I believe his staff let him down and,
 again, he's a softy. I think that went against him. You
 know, the fact that he trusted people that are paid well
 (audio dropout) he inherited, as did we, I guess, let him
 down, let us all down.
- Q. So just on those accounting practices, are you aware now that there was a change to those accounting practices prior to the elected council taking over?
- 23 A. Well, I'm aware now. So --
- 25 Q. You weren't aware at the time?
- A. No, I was not. And there was certainly no resolution of council to change those practices, that I can recall.
- Q. It was never brought up in any reports or councilmeetings?
- 31 A. I really don't recall.
- Q. Each month there would be an investment reportpublished?
- 35 A. Mmm-hmm.

24

32

- Q. Did you have a look at that report each month?
 A. Yes, I sorry, I've just got some notes, as you can imagine. Yes, I do remember those reports coming in.
- 40
 41 Q. Are you aware that at a certain time, around, I think
 42 it was, October 2019, a line item in the reports was
 43 removed or changed?
- 44 A. Yes. Again, at the time obviously I didn't notice it,
- but I'm now very aware that it was removed. That's because
- 46 the figure went to zero, but, again, I'd say that there was
- 47 no resolution of council to do that. So council didn't

1 authorise it, so somebody within somewhere, whether it be 2 the accounting package or an individual, had to have 3 changed it.

4 5

6

- Q. The councillors weren't alerted to the change in the format of the report or the reason for it?
- 7 A. No. Like - no, exactly. We were never pointed - it 8 was never pointed out that that was an issue. But I think 9 it's also - you know, we understand that sometimes our
- 10 business papers were a thousand pages in length, and going
- 11 through those reports, report, report, report, item, item,
- 12 item, sometimes hand up, you know, things were missed. But
- 13 again I would have expected or should have expected that
- 14 the senior team, leadership team, would have been able to
- point that out. But, again, if you don't have a CFO and 15
- 16 you just have staff under them doing that job, I guess they
- either, (a), forgot to tell us or, (b), just didn't they 17

18 admitted in not telling us.

19 20

21 22

- Q. Just moving on to the merger process and costs, do you think that the council was sufficiently funded for the merger?
- 23 A. No way. There was no way that the money that the 24 council got was ever going to fill the hole of merging the 25 two councils.

26 27

28

- Q. Are you aware of the future costs for the merger to be completed?
- 29 A. I actually question will the merger ever be completed.
- I still have some doubts that it will be ever fully merged. 30
- because the resources and clearly now because of the 31
- 32 current financial position, the resources just aren't
- there. Now we are hearing that the IT system that was 33
- purchased by the former administrator isn't what it was 34
- 35 cracked up to be and possibly needs to be redone at a cost
- of about \$80 million. So I think the costs of merging this 36
- council will be forever there in the corner, still ticking 37 38 over.

39

- 40 Q. And do you think there was an attitude amongst councillors to be obstructive towards the merger? 41
- A. No, I don't believe so. We went in there as a newly 42
- 43 elected merged council. I think we were all on track to
- 44 make sure that the merger was a success. Yes, I don't
- 45 think that people went in there to demerge it all.

46

47 Q. Did that come about over time, an attitude that some 1 might have had to demerge?

A. I think because of lack of answers at times, people there was a lot of public opinion at the time saying that the merger's not working, we should have a referendum to say, you know, "Do the people of the Central Coast want the council amalgamated or do they want to de-amalgamate?" There was certainly some push from outside, I guess, people, the community, but I personally wasn't getting involved in anything because in my opinion, we were elected on a Central Coast Council and I was there to make sure that it worked for the council, for the Central Coast community. Because we were told that by having one council, we would have more options for better funding and be able to secure a better future for the ratepayers on the Central Coast. But what appeared down the track was that the funding opportunities weren't there. In fact, we missed out a lot for different funding along the way.

I mean, the Snowy Hydro was probably the biggest one that we missed out on. Are we a region, are we not a region? I mean, we were created Central Coast Council but never really given the status of what that meant. We were still greater Sydney. You know - I'm sure you're aware - it's only been recently that the State Government's come out and said we now can be a region in relation to COVID. You know, there were so many things that could have happened great for the Central Coast, but I believe that the State Government just got caught up in typical State Government and really didn't give the Central Coast Council what it needed to make it a success.

Q. The council still suffers from an infrastructure backlog, does it?

A. My understanding is that, yes, the former Gosford council area has a huge backlog, especially in relation to the water and sewer. You only have to pick up the paper -well, we don't have a paper now, but pick up your phone and there's a pipe busted somewhere in the former Gosford LGA. We were told that we needed to fix a lot of the pipe works going forward in Gosford. We also know that a lot of their buildings hadn't been, I guess, maintained to the same capacity as Wyong was.

 We also know that a lot of bridges needed to be repaired and we were - well, you would know that the capital works program embarked on getting a lot of bridges fixed, because there were a lot of old, wooden, rickety

was embarking on a pretty rapid, as I am sure you aware,
capital works program to bring a lot of those things up to
speed.

But, yes, I believe it's an ageing - Gosford city is an ageing city, I guess, and has a lot of issues. Wyong is obviously the younger of the two, so things aren't as old. So - yes. But I believe there will be an infrastructure backlog for some time because again there's just not the resources there to go and make everything new again.

bridges and they were unsafe or deemed unsafe. So council

Q. Would you describe the capital expenditure as profligate or excessive?

A. I think - I guess - yes, I mean, looking back at times it was probably excessive in a way, but I believe that the executive leadership team were working - they were, you know, told that this is what money they had to spend and they were able to, you know, bring up their projects or getting the projects done in accordance with what was - what money was in the bucket at the time.

Also bear in mind that from time to time we got grants to do special things - for example, the Tuggerah sporting complex. Like, that was funded by the Federal Government to get that moving.

So at times, we were given extra money to create new facilities, but the other issue is the management on the ongoing maintenance scheduling for some of those projects. The council never got money to maintain the hall or the facility in the years to come, and that's a problem. If you keep adding to your assets, you have to keep fixing them. It's a revolving door, I guess. So, you know, you have to try and make things safe and that's important to the community. But the more you have, the more you have got to maintain.

- Q. Would you put the Regional Performing Arts Centre in that category of one of those projects that came with money
- 41 but also came with a burden of future costs?
- 42 A. Look, the RPAC is really interesting. Because again
- 43 the RPAC was it started off it was an election
- commitment, as I understand it, because again I'm from
- Wyong so my knowledge is only hearsay of what I have heard.
- 46 But the RPAC in itself was promised, as I have heard from
- 47 ratepayers, it was a commitment and promise by Gosford

council and federal money. It was a \$10 million funding commitment from the state, \$10 million funding commitment from the federal.

So, what basically happened is that in relation to the RPAC, we were briefed on seven opportunities, and the RPAC morphed into, I guess, the cultural precinct in Gosford. Because we were also told that Gosford was going to be the city or the capital of the Central Coast. So it made sense to have a cultural heart or a cultural precinct which combined an RPAC, and also bear in mind that the Central Coast at that time didn't really have any kind of space where we could host conferences. We didn't have a conference space. So it made sense, it made sense. So you had the RPAC and the library all coming together in a cultural hub, which had some funding coming in -commitments.

Unfortunately, the council never got any of those commitments, so that was a problem. Also, the Snowy Hydro money that was meant to come to the council didn't come to the council as well.

At the time of the RPAC and the cultural precinct there were seven models in seven locations. The council unanimously decided that we would go with an RPAC and a cultural precinct that had an opportunity for commercial space, because we know you need to make money, and to make money you have commercial space.

So that was kind of the model that was chosen unanimously by all the councillors, a model where we could use it as a commercial space, but also to have a look at car parking, because we also knew that Gosford's car parking in the CBD was dreadful, and that if we were going to have a whole heap of new businesses and locations, that we needed to improve the car parking.

So the RPAC actually was an underground car park and then it would go up between five and seven levels to have some commercial component. So it morphed into more than just an RPAC, absolutely morphed in. But it was innovative and it probably would have been a great thing for the heart of Gosford if we had the money.

Q. So what happened with the money? Why didn't the council get it?

A. That's a great question. My understanding in relation to that is that staff were in constant dialogue with the federal people, but, for whatever reason, it never came forward. The Snowy Hydro money, well, that was going to complete the project or go towards the project, and we didn't get that funding because we weren't deemed to be a region at that stage.

Q. How much do you know about the Warnervale airport contract that was broken?

A. The Warnervale airport. What I know is that the Warnervale airport master plan and contract was all done in the administration - the first administration. What I know is that the community weren't very happy about that. So I make no qualms that, you know, I did go to the election saying that we would have a look at the Warnervale airport and what was going on there and what administrator had signed us up to. We did ask questions in relation to the master plan and then, as a result of that, the then CEO, I believe Mr Bell - I think - anyway, we'd asked for a briefing on the master plan because, again, it was something that we inherited. So I - sorry, not "I", so I remember, I remember this so - it stuck in my memory. We all came in, into the Wyong Shire function room. There was a whole heap of seats on the left that were vacant. That was for us. We sat there in a little huddle. And then on our - to our right, in marched suits - I use the word "suits" - a whole heap of professionals that were responsible for some part of the master plan.

Each of those suits got up and spoke to us in lots of language that - you know, we were a brand new council. A lot of us had never seen - well, I had, but a lot of people were totally shocked by the fact that we had all these suits briefing us, high-end stuff, and when we were asking questions, we were told, "Oh, no, we don't need to do that. That will happen later. That will happen later".

So really, for me, I felt uncomfortable. I had alarm bells ringing in my ears that something was wrong. There was something wrong. All these suits costing thousands of dollars being here, a two-hour briefing. We basically were briefed at. They didn't appreciate, really, our questions.

 Then they all got up and left and there was a few of us - like, we were gobsmacked as to what on earth had just happened here.

So we weren't really across the actual contracts. We were just across a master plan. And the contract included the AAI and my understanding is that, you know, with any contract, there is a clause that you can break it, and I guess that's what we then instructed our legal counsel, or general counsel, and that was his job or his role then to go into negotiation, and that's my understanding.

Q. Do you have any idea of how much that cost?A. I'm only now, because the administrator, Mr Persson, has put it out there, of a potential figure, but I'm not exactly one hundred per cent on how much it was, no.

- Q. Just on some general matters, are you aware of the level of rates in Central Coast compared to other similar Local Government areas.
- A. Yes, look, that's an interesting question, because I know that we were a lot lower than our sisters and our neighbours. We were always saying that, on average, the Central Coast ratepayer - I know that we all have different opinions on this as a ratepayer, but, yes, our rates were a lot lower than a lot of our neighbours, absolutely. Lake Macquarie was a lot higher than ours. So, yes, we were quite low for such a big council.

Q. What did you understand the role of ARIC to be?

A. Well, again, ARIC was a committee that was created by the former administrator or, you know, Mr Reynolds and Mr Noble created that committee. My understanding, ARIC was like the checks and balances. It was almost like, you know, the fullback - you can't get through - if it gets past the fullback it must be A-OK. Yes, that was my understanding of ARIC. I never served on that committee. As mayor, I didn't go to that committee. I was an alternate but never physically attended that committee.

- Q. How much detail did you understand ARIC would go into in looking at the finances at the council?
- 40 A. Again, I don't recall a lot of detail coming out of that committee at all.

- Q. Okay. What about the external auditors for the council; what did you understand their role to be?
- 45 A. Well, again, they had the reports and they make sure
- 46 that the ticks and balances are in place. If they found
- any disturbing issues, that they would then go back to the

- 1 general manager and then they were at our leisure. In
- 2 fact, I'd say that I probably had more to do with the
- 3 external auditors in my role in former Wyong as opposed to
- 4 this role. Yes, we had more contract with them back in
- 5 Wyong. I didn't seem to have much to do with the external
- 6 auditors at all. Yes, so I'd say lacking. They were
- 7 there to - they were also another tick and balance,
- 8 checking things off making sure that our affairs were in
- 9 keeping with the rules and the regulations.
- 10 11 Q. What about --
- 12 A. Highly paid professionals.
- 13 14

- Q. What about the Office of Local Government; what did you understand their role in the governance of the council
- 16 would be?
- 17 A. Well, I think, again, the Office of Local Government
- 18 should be there to support the council. Unfortunately, my
- 19 opinion of the Office of Local Government towards the end
- 20 isn't so great, only because, as mayor, when we requested
- some information we just seemed to not get what we 21
- 22 required. When we were told me were getting some support
- 23 and assistance, we really didn't get much out of it, or we
- 24 weren't getting any answers. I would have hoped that the
- 25 Office of Local Government were there to support councils
- 26 with issues such as financial.
- 27 28
- Q. But not to separately investigate?
- 29 A. I think - I suppose in a way they should have their
- fingers in it and actually understand what's going on. But 30
- maybe a collaborative approach, not just a yes, you know, 31
- "You're doing everything wrong", but actually then come up 32
- with a solution to help us. That's my understanding, that 33
- the Office of Local Government were to give us some 34
- 35 support, to come in and check things out and help us and
- 36 assist us where they can, and it wasn't forthcoming.
- 37
- 38 Q. Tell me, have you read the terms of reference of the
- 39 inquiry?
- 40 A. No, not in detail.
- 41
- 42 Q. Just a moment. Can I just ask you a few questions
- 43 that relate particularly to them. Do you think that the
- council, the governing body, the councillors, acted in a 44
- manner to maximise the success of the merger? 45
- A. Yes, I do believe that we did start off that way, yes. 46
- 47

- Q. Did you understand that there would be efficiencies
 and financial savings as a result of the merger?
 A. I understand that that was what was put on the table
 - A. I understand that that was what was put on the table, that by merging the two councils, that there would be those efficiencies and savings, absolutely.

8

4

- Q. Did you see any of them realised in your time as a councillor at Central Coast?
- 9 A. I guess what I saw was combining was I guess10 consolidating some of the processes. That's what I saw.
- 11 Did I see savings? Probably not really. I saw a lot of
- 12 gaps, because things kind of got overlooked, and where it
- really counted on the ground there seemed to be still a lot
- of things missing. Like, you know, a process that was
- 15 great was that, you know, people could go to any library
- and borrow a book whether you were from the former Wyong or
- 17 former Gosford. That was great. The childcare centres,
- 18 getting those to run together, that was great, too. But,
- 19 yes, I didn't I really didn't see an overwhelming savings
- of anything, really, no. I think we were still for me,
- 21 it was still I still felt like we had a long way to go.
- 22 It wasn't as simple as just putting everything together and
- 23 putting you know, I think we still had a long way to go.
- I remember Mr Noble back in the day said, "The process just
- doesn't happen overnight, it can be a 10-year process".

26 27

28

- Q. Do you think that the councillors disregarded the financial consequences of their decisions?
- 29 A. No, I don't believe that we disregarded that, no. And
- 30 I think I don't think anybody willingly set out to
- 31 disregard anything from finances. Again, we all got
- 32 elected there to serve and represent our community. Again,
- we are all part of that community, so we all live here
- ourselves. So what, in fact, we do or say or resolve
- affects us, because we live here. So intentionally we
- 36 certainly didn't set out to do that. Unfortunately, I
- think, what tended to end up happening is the politics got in the way, and the behaviours.

- 40 Q. What do you mean by the "behaviours"?
- 41 A. I think at times, theatricals got in the way when
- 42 things came up. You know, if you look at some of the
- resolutions around for example, you know, was it good
- sense to buy a dredge without any costings, and because
- 45 that matter didn't get resolved, you know, certain
- 46 individuals then decided that they would just attack us
- 47 because we clearly didn't we didn't want a dredge because

we wanted people's houses to flood. So that would be the public backlash through different campaigns, because we didn't believe it was fiscal to go and buy something without getting a costings on it first. So at times there were frivolous motions because it was playing to the public, or playing to a very small group of individuals, and then it kind of just all good underhand and got carried away with the fact that - yes, it was theatricals at times.

Q. You've been on a council before. Was it very different to what you've observed in other councils?

A. Yes. Yeah, look, it certainly - yes, it was very different. You know, I think by the time I became mayor, I believe the toxic nature of the - between the councillors was far too - it was too entrenched. We were never going to turn it around.

- Q. Did you try?
- A. Absolutely I tried. I tried to at my first meeting I said that we wanted to start from zero and, you know, we're restarting, I'm a completely different style of person, you know, we need to work together to make this a success. So I did try. In fact, in COVID, because we were all working and operating from home, I every week did a wellness Skype or wellness Zoom to check on the councillors to make sure they were all okay, and just tried to work with people. I met with them individually, I met with all the female councillors as well. So, you know, I believe I did try, but unfortunately I just believe it was far too gone and, as I said, at times we needed the whip cracked but it just we just couldn't get over it. The hurdles were there.

Q. Did the fact that you were conducting your meetings remotely help to tame the behaviour of councillors or not?

A. Some meetings. Some meetings, definitely, but others no, because you could unmute your microphone. So they still had the ability to unmute, and a lot of that did happen.

- Q. Do you believe that there were decisions of the council that contributed to the position that it eventually found itself in in 2020?
- 44 A. Unintentionally perhaps. I don't think people intentionally went out to create this. I think
- 46 unintentionally it just ended up morphing into what ended
- 47 up happening.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. You said that there might have been some things that unintentionally contributed to that position. What would they have been?

A. I think - look, I mean, we had some tricky situations when I was mayor. So, you know, I was elected mayor in September and by October/November we were in bushfire season, and by January/February we had floods. Unfortunately some of the councillors decided that they would use some of these natural disasters to, you know, run different campaigns against individuals. I certainly was

one of those that was targeted, and this is not about feeling sorry for Lisa, but to present to a council meeting

with a whole heap of very angry, outraged ratepayers that were given lots of misinformation by who knows who, with

placards, hurling abuse at you, calling you names, having

signs up that you should resign and that you are a

disgrace, and then, months after that. So there was a

targeted campaign towards me as mayor and that I should resign. In fact, I had to go to the police on several

occasions. My family home was targeted, my children were targeted, my parents were targeted, and I've had death

threats. So it was a downhill slide on that.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

I don't think initially people wanted to see that happen, but it did happen and it got momentum. It got momentum and on social media, different pages that were set up by individuals started to get traction. It got worse and worse. I think then people were playing to that. They were playing to that audience. That really - that was disgraceful and that shouldn't have happened.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Q. Were you the only person targeted?

A. I'm not sure about who else was targeted. At the meeting, one of my colleagues was struck over the head, at the meeting. That particular meeting I was whisked away by one of the female directors so that I could actually go to the toilet. One of my colleagues, he needed to go out and he was followed and attacked verbally as well, as he went up to the - as he went up to the - in the stairwell.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

So, look, there was absolutely a targeted campaign against myself. I am aware that the deputy mayor, Jane Smith, has been targeted as well. It became a very bitter, unsavoury taste in my mouth. I'd never observed this, never been a part of it. I'm an upfront person. If you have a problem, I'm happy to have people speak to me

about it. But the misinformation just got out of hand and I could never pull it back. It didn't matter what, from that point, I was saying, I was just tarred with the whole, "You are a liar, you are this, you are that, part of the Labor rah, rah, rah", and it just got momentum and just kept rolling.

- Q. When was the meeting that you were just talking about? Do you remember the date of that meeting?
- A. It was the February floods it was the February towards the end of February, so it was the floods, the flood meeting. Then there was as I said, it kind of went on a roll. So it was that meeting and then we had the whole Gosford chain, which was very small. It was at capacity. Then a couple of meetings after that, there was a resolution from certain councillors that I be sacked. So it kind of just got momentum and went from there. But, you know, I'd never seen a display like that in my whole life. It took the wind out of my sails, I must admit. I don't

Q. Just taking you back to some of the terms of reference, I think you said earlier you thought that the council had had regard to financial sustainability, or the consequences of its decisions.

think I fully recovered from that.

A. Yes, I do believe that we did, and, you know, later - I guess later on as well, any resolution or any notice of motion had to come with where were we going to fund it and how we were going to cost it. So I do believe that there was no - you know, apart from sometimes at the 11th hour they put an amendment to a resolution - an amendment to a report, you know, to purchase something ad hoc, I think overall decisions were thoroughly investigated and I do believe that, you know, we chose the right decisions for the council at the time and for our community.

- Q. Do you think that there's anything else that you want to make a comment on that affected the performance or the administration of the council?
- 41 A. At the time?

- 43 Q. Yes.
- 44 A. What struck me is that in March 2020, a report was
- 45 handed down about the relationship between the ELT and the
- 46 councillors, and what struck me from the get-go was that
- 47 there really wasn't a relationship between the councillors

and the executive. The report actually said that there was a lack of trust between the two parties. But, again, it happened in March 2020 and by October, of course, we were gone, and then from March 2020 we had COVID coastal erosion. So we got the report, which was great, but we never got an opportunity to deal with some of the issues in that report. It was relationship building. I believe that this council from the get-go never really worked on the relationships between the councillors and the executive leadership team. It's a bit like the Wyong/Gosford. For me, again, if I, you know, go back to my Wyong days, it was really an us and them approach and they were guarded, and we were guarded, and that struck me as really odd, because to make a successful council, we all need to be working together and I didn't feel that we worked together. We were not one team.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

When I became mayor, I had the same meeting with the executive leadership team and said, "Whatever's happened in the past has happened, I wasn't there at that point, but today we draw a line, today we all move forward and we start working as a team". I believe that I made a little bit of headway into that but then that report came that was previous which said basically there was no trust or relationship and you really needed to work on that. I think that needs to be as much part of orientation as it does understanding a financial summary or understanding where the suburbs are in the whole of the region. There are some of those things that you really need to understand.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

The other thing, too, I asked and asked and asked, you know, orientation in relation to the Local Government area in itself - a lot of, you know, you either live in the former Wyong or you lived in the former Gosford, and for some of us we hadn't actually gone to some of the places, so you really didn't understand the scale or how big it was, how big the Central Coast is. In fact, you really couldn't do it in a day. You couldn't go and visit every suburb in a day. That's when I came mayor I did embark on going to some of those extremities. So I spent time at Spencer, I did time on the lakes and I also went to our waterfront communities down on the Hawkesbury, because they are part of the Central Coast. I would say, you know, we should get in the bus on our inspection days and we should go and have a look at some of our communities and what's going on there. It's all very well to get pieces of

paper and lovely glossy pictures, but it doesn't mean a lot to a lot of people, but if you actually go there and have a listen, you can better understand and you can see it for yourself and you can take your own notes, take your own pictures. We didn't get a lot of that opportunity and that kind of leads me to the fact that it wasn't until I became mayor that we didn't actually have councillor inspections. Again, back in former Wyong, I think it was every three weeks or four weeks on a Wednesday we would do a councillor inspection, so we would actually go on site.

It wasn't until I became mayor that I really pushed back on that one and said, "Come on, we need to get the happy bus out and we need to, you know, either get on the bus or drive ourselves there". For me, I'm a visual person. I can read paper and paper and sometimes it just doesn't sink in, but if I physically see it, I get it. I think that would have helped councillors also build a relationship with the ELT because, again - and I can only compare the pair because I was there, but in Wyong, on the bus when we went to the different locations we had the directors, we had the CEO, so we got an opportunity to have a conversation. It wasn't always about work. You know, somebody's son might have played rugby or we could have a very low-key opportunity to have a discussion. There was no low-key opportunity for discussion. Everything was strictly council related, so we didn't get to build a relationship and, therefore, if there's no relationship, there's no trust, and everybody remains very guarded. I think 15 individuals were very guarded.

Q. You said that there was a report done. Was it done internally or externally?

A. It was an external report. I've got it, but I haven't got it here to actually tell you who did it. I'm more than happy to let you know who did that report, but it is quite interesting reading.

Q. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: I have no more questions for you, but Ms Annis-Brown may, if she's listening.

<EXAMINATION BY MS ANNIS-BROWN:

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Q. As a councillor, it is important that you are provided with sufficient information to enable

1 you to do your job. How sufficient was the information 2 provided to you and what steps did you take to ensure that 3 you were provided with this information? 4 A. Sure. Look, again, when we got elected, you basically got a box and in the box was an iPad and we were 5 6 told - again, I can only go from my experience from former 7 Wyong, we received business papers in paper, we received an 8 envelope of mail every week to a secured box. Under this 9 council, that didn't happen. Everything was meant to be on 10 an iPad. Now, iPads - I don't know if you are aware, but 11 iPads are not great. You can't do a lot of things. I'm a 12 bit old-fashioned, old school, I like a good old-fashioned 13 keyboard. I then approached the general manager at the 14 time and said, "Look, this iPad's not working for me, I really need a computer", so at least I could, you know, 15 16 type. But, yes, a lot of things weren't on paper or in 17 paper. We didn't get things delivered to our homes - you 18 know, "Oh, poor Lisa", "Diddums to Lisa", but if things 19 went to Gosford, I live in Chittaway and I work. It's very 20 difficult for me to get to Gosford to get paper. I mean, I 21 guess I was probably spoilt. I was used to every week 22 getting a package and the package would sometimes be that 23 thick, but it would have my mail. At times, you know, a 24 lot of people are still old-school, they write you a 25 handwritten letter. That letter would be date-stamped six weeks, like six weeks, and you didn't get it. You can 26 27 understand why the ratepayer was annoyed and upset.

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

So, for me, very different. I didn't like the fact I didn't have paper and I certainly didn't like the fact that everything was meant to be on an iPad that really didn't work. When COVID hit, I said to the general manager at the time, Mr Murphy, "Look, we need to make sure that all the councils have access to laptops". I know he did do a rollout and he was very thorough and very quick with that, so for that I thank him. Usually, you know, you get a phone. I chose not to get a council phone because, you know, two phones are quite painful of a day. I used to question the fact I didn't - you know, the paper. No more paper, okay, great for the environment, but not great when you needed something hands on. It was very difficult to navigate through the iPads and often the technology - don't get me started on the actual council - the hub. Oh, the hub. At times the hub went down, the passwords expired. So, again, it was just - to me, at times, it was like hurdles. Like, how hard can this be? Just give me the paper. I just want the paper, then I wouldn't have - I'd

have the information.

1 2 3

4

5

6

I know that they were trying to streamline everything and I get, you know, not kill all the trees and all, but sometimes information took a long time to get to you because it was either at Gosford or Wyong, you had to get there and the system crashed, and the hub crashed a lot.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Q. Thank you. How was your relationship with other councillors and how well do you believe you worked together to make decisions on behalf of the whole community? A. I'm a person who - I like to be liked and I like to listen to what others have to say and work as a team. because there's no "I" in team and there are 15 people. They were all duly elected, so therefore you have to work together. I had no problems working with any of them. Sure, my values are different than others and some people, you know, made me a little angry, but that's okay, I didn't take it out on them, I could go and have a little spit to myself when I left the room. I tried at all times to do the right thing by the councillors and I wasn't mayor at the time for two years, so it wasn't my role to lead the councillors to be harmonious. Unfortunately that was not my role. My role was to be a councillor, to try to show some leadership. But, again, I wasn't the mayor at the time, I was a councillor, and I got on well with I'd say most of them. You know, we are all from all works of life, so it important that people work together.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

I certainly started the process, but unfortunately when you - at times, and I'm going to be very honest, there was some bullying and there were some attempts add intimidation, it wears you down. It is like battered wife syndrome, it wears you down and eventually you stop talking and you stop collaborating, because you're worried about what somebody's going to say, or what somebody's going to do when you say it. So at times I became a little bit silenced, which is not usually me because I am a talker.

38 39 40

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you, Ms Matthews. Commissioner, that is the end of my questions.

41 42 43

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms Bulut, did you need to make any application?

44 45

MS BULUT: No, Commissioner, no application at this stage, thank you.

```
1
      Thank you.
2
3
      MS SMITH: Sorry, Commissioner, did you speak to me?
4
5
      THE COMMISSIONER: I did, but there's feedback.
6
7
      MS SMITH: An echo.
8
9
      THE COMMISSIONER: I believe you are represented today? .
10
11
      MS SMITH: Yes.
12
13
      THE COMMISSIONER: Is your counsel there, please?.
14
15
      MS SMITH: It's Mr Williams.
16
17
      MR WILLIAMS: Commissioner, my name is Williams and
18
      I represent Ms Smith.
19
20
      THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I believe there has also
21
      been an application to cross-examine Ms Smith.
22
23
         Mr Eaton, are you there?
24
25
      MR EATON: Yes, Commissioner, can you hear me now?
26
27
      THE COMMISSIONER: I can hear you, yes.
28
29
      MR EATON: I will just bring my video up. Yes,
      Commissioner, I request leave on behalf of my client to
30
      cross-examine Ms Smith, but only in respect of the
31
32
      recruitment of Mr Murphy and his performance, about which
33
      she, as the mayor at the time, I think is uniquely
34
      qualified to give evidence.
35
      THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Eaton, I say the same thing to you
36
37
      as I said to Ms Bulut earlier, that is, this is an
38
      administrative inquiry; there are not parties contesting a
39
      matter. I'm sorry, I'm still getting a lot of feedback.
      Does anybody have two devices on? Mr Eaton, it might be in
40
41
      your room, is it?
42
43
      MR EATON: I don't believe so, Commissioner.
44
45
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, we will persevere. Mr Eaton,
      I will defer your application until we have heard
46
47
      Ms Smith's evidence, but I'm not presently minded to allow
  .27/09/2021 (1)
                        36
```

Transcript produced by Epiq

2 3	would be of benefit to the inquiry to have Ms Smith cross-examined.
4 5 6	MR EATON: I understand.
7 8 9	THE COMMISSIONER: You can stay and listen and then we will hear from you once she has concluded her evidence.
10 11	MR EATON: Thank you, Commissioner.
12 13 14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Williams, did you intend to lead Ms Smith through evidence?
15 16	MR WILLIAMS: No, I didn't, Commissioner.
17 18 19 20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms Smith, thank you. I will arrange for you to be sworn. Ms Annis-Brown will swear you in.
20 21 22	<jane [2.09pm]<="" affirmed:="" p="" smith,=""></jane>
23 24	<examination by="" commissioner:<="" td="" the=""></examination>
25 26 27 28 29	THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Ms Smith, for the record, could you state your name, address and occupation, please? A. Jane Smith, [address redacted], and I'm a teacher.
30 31 32 33 34	Q. You've prepared a very comprehensive submission to the inquiry, thank you very much for that. I don't propose to take you through your evidence. What you have written will be taken as evidence. There are a few questions I have arising from some of the matters in your submission.
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	You say on page 9 that there was an ordinary council meeting held on 23 March 2020 with an agenda item 3.10. I haven't been able to locate that. I don't expect you to answer me here, but if you or your legal representatives could check that date and the item number, it may be that one of those numbers is wrong. A. Sorry, what was the date, Commissioner, may I ask?
44 45	Q. 23 March 2020. A. Thank you.
46 47	Q. On page 11 of your submission, you say that in
.27	/09/2021 (1) 37 J SMITH Transcript produced by Epiq

- 1 November 2017 the PMO, which was the body responsible for 2 managing the merger, the program management office, was 3 disbanded. Do you know why that happened? 4 A. No, I don't, Commissioner. I wasn't privy to that at 5 the time. 6 7 Q. Was it an operational decision of the council, so 8 within the staff level? 9 A. Yes. My understanding, it was a decision of the CEO, 10 the interim CEO at the time. 11 12 Q. And who was that? 13 A. Mr Brian Bell. 14 Q. Then you say in July 2019 a dedicated program 15 16 coordinator was assigned to track progress of the merger. Who was that person? 17 A. I don't know the answer to the question. That was 18
- 21 Q. Okay. At about that time, was it?
- A. I believe I'd have to check my notes. I don't know I think the report came later, but it referred to

reported in a business paper to council.

that time, yes.

19

20

35

47

- Q. Thank you. In table 1 of your report you deal with a number of items that related to the merger. One of them was a council briefing. Is there any document that relates to that briefing?
- A. Commissioner, can I refer to my submission? Could yougive me the page number, please?
- 33 Q. Certainly. Page 12.
- 34 A. And, sorry, it was the --
- 36 Q. Councillor briefing on 10 December 2018.
- A. Yes. I recall there was a copy of a PowerPoint presentation from that briefing.
- Q. I see. So that should be held by the council,
 presumably. Then you refer to a series of councillor
 support updates.
- 43 A. Yes.
- Q. They aren't publicly available documents, are they?A. No, not that I'm aware of.

.27/09/2021 (1) 38 J SMITH Transcript produced by Epiq

- 1 Q. But they are something that would be held within the 2 council? 3 A. Yes, there were updates that were circulated to all councillors on a regular basis. 4 5 6 Q. Thank you. On page 16 you say in the third dot point: 7 8 There was no handover process from the 9 former administrator that could alert 10 councillors to the position of the council. 11 12 There you were talking about what the true financial position of the council was. Can you describe to me what 13 14 the extent of the handover was? 15 A. So as mayor, the administrator, former administrator, 16 was Mr Ian Reynolds. I don't have any recollection of any handover from Mr Reynolds in terms of a meeting or anything 17 of that nature, and I don't recall of any presentation or 18 19 handover to the councillors as an elected body. 20 21 Q. I see. There was an induction process for the 22 councillors? 23 A. Yes, there was. So that was a number of days, 24 separated days, plus there were various briefings at 25 different times over a period. 26 27 Q. Did you attend those? 28 A. Yes. 29 30 Q. Did you have any prior experience in Local Government? A. Not being on local government. I'd spent - I'd had an 31 32 interest in local government for a very long period of time, so I was on a number of council committees, advisory 33 committees, and I had interactions with local councils on a 34 35 range of matters. 36 37 Q. I see. You have provided me with a copy of your CV, 38 so I can see what other experience you've had. If I can take you over to page 20 of your submission, you are 39 dealing there with the 2019/2020 budget. Just before that 40 41 was adopted, IPART made a decision in relation to water and 42 sewer and drainage contributions, rates, for the council 43 that would have reduced the amount of income you could 44 expect from those areas. 45 A. Yes, that's correct.
 - .27/09/2021 (1) 39 J SMITH Transcript produced by Epig

Q. Can you tell me what steps you or the other

- 1 councillors or the staff took to alter the budget as a
- 2 result of that determination by IPART?
- 3 A. So I don't have a recollection of a specific
- 4 discussion about altering the budget. I do have a
- 5 recollection of a general discussion about the deficit and
- 6 the impact of the IPART decision on our budget. My
- 7 recollection is that it was accepted that there would be an
- 8 increased deficit as a result of that, but looking at the
- 9 long-term financial plan, that we could forecast when we
- 10 would be working our way out of that deficit.

- 12 Q. So there was no immediate change to the budget or to the operational plan as a result of the IPART
- 14 determination?
- 15 A. Look, certainly in the operational plan there are
- 16 notes that make reference to the decision of IPART and that
- it did have a material or it had an impact on our budget,
- so there were adjustments made in terms of the overall
- results. I'm not privy to any specific actions within the
- 20 budget, or I can't recall any specific actions within the
- 21 budget that sought to dramatically reduce to accommodate
- that.

23 24

- Q. That was already going to be a deficit budget before the IPART decision, wasn't it?
- 26 A. Yes, it was.

27

25

- 28 MS ANNIS-BROWN: I am sorry, Commissioner, I will need to
- interrupt. We have just had advice that the live stream
- 30 has frozen once again. I perhaps will suggest that we
- 31 adjourn and continue when the technical difficulties have
- been sorted, as we are unable to provide a reliable stream
- at this point in time, seemingly.

34

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for letting me know,
- 36 Ms Annis-Brown. I'm terribly sorry, Ms Smith, but we will
- 37 have to interrupt the proceedings. I wasn't aware earlier
- that we didn't have a continuous stream. Since being made
- aware of it, we are going to try, as best we can, to keep
- 40 this a public hearing. Even though there is a transcript,
- 41 we still would like to have the public able to listen. I'm
- sorry for you and your legal representatives and Mr Eaton.
- 43 Perhaps if you just go off camera and on mute and
- 44 Ms Annis-Brown will come back as soon as we know something.
- 45 Sorry about that.

46

47 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR EATON: Madam Commissioner, with respect, if you are

not going to allow us to cross-examine, there is no point in me attending and causing my client to expend further

.27/09/2021 (1) 41 J SMITH
Transcript produced by Epig

money on a futile endeavour.

43 44

45

```
1
2
      THE COMMISSIONER: I haven't made a final decision.
3
      I invited you to stay until Ms Smith's evidence was
4
      concluded.
5
6
      MR EATON: I'll get instructions in relation to that,
7
      Madam Commissioner, and I can let your staff know. Can I
8
      also ask, as my client Mr Best is here, I assume that means
9
      everyone will be put back tomorrow?
10
11
      THE COMMISSIONER: We are trying to avoid that happening,
12
      Mr Eaton, which is why I would like to start early. I
      imagine if we start early we might still be able to get to
13
14
      Mr Best in the time that we had anticipated. We can't
15
      predict what will happen.
16
17
      MR EATON: I understand, Madam Commissioner, I'm just
18
      making that inquiry. Thank you.
19
20
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Sorry everybody, we will put a
21
      notice up on the OLG website to inform people of what is
22
      happening, but we'll return at 9am tomorrow.
23
24
      MS SMITH: Commissioner, I just wanted to check, did you
25
      want me to clarify that earlier point about 23 March now or
26
      would you prefer me to do that separately?
27
28
      THE COMMISSIONER: I would prefer you to do that on the
29
      record with people watching, if we can get that to happen.
30
31
      MS SMITH: Okay. No problem.
32
33
      MR WILLIAMS: Commissioner, similarly, I'm happy to repeat
      this on the record, if you would prefer, but at the opening
34
35
      of Ms Smith's evidence, you requested her to provide her
      address as a means of her identification. I'm hoping to
36
37
      make an application that, for reasons of her personal
38
      security, for the purposes of the public transcript that
39
      address be redacted.
40
41
      THE COMMISSIONER: I can arrange for that.
42
43
      MR WILLIAMS: Thank you.
44
45
      THE COMMISSIONER: Nothing further, then?
```

.27/09/2021 (1) 42 J SMITH
Transcript produced by Epig

MR WILLIAMS: No, thank you.