INQUIRY UNDER SECTION 438U OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT

CENTRAL COAST CITY COUNCIL

Public Hearing

Conducted via Zoom videoconference

On Thursday, 7 October 2021 at 9.00am (Day 5)

Before Ms Roslyn McCulloch, Commissioner

THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning. The Central Coast public inquiry will now resume. Our first witness today is
Ms Hogan. Ms Hogan, would you be able to come on camera, please. Thank you. Ms Annis-Brown, would you swear
Ms Hogan in as a witness, please.

<JILLIAN HOGAN, affirmed: [9.00am]</pre>

<EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER:

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Ms Hogan. Could you just tell me a little bit about your experience in Local Government? Was this your first term?

A. Yes, this was my first term in Local Government. However, I have lived on the coast for over 33 years and I have a lot to do with council in my position as a service manager of a non-government organisation.

My experience in council - is that a broad question, Commissioner?

- Q. I just want an understanding. So you are a first-termer, you are a newbie, as I understand they are called?
- A. I am a newbie, I was a newbie, yes.

Q. Did you have any particular knowledge of the Wyong Shire Council before you joined Central Coast Council? A. Yes, I did. Yes, I did.

O. To what extent?

A. I had quite a significant role within the council in terms of being a consultant on different community consultations. Because I ran a non-government organisation out of the council building I had quite a lot to do with council in that perspective, and I also ran quite a number of community events so I was quite knowledgeable on the processes and development applications to run events and the processes around funding as well. That's probably my knowledge within council. Yes, I worked with a number of staff within council, so I knew quite a number of people as well. I also watched some of the council meetings and I was abreast of who was in council and who wasn't and what

- Q. Still an interested outsider's view of the council?
- 47 A. Absolutely, yes. Yes.

they stood for and - yes.

- Q. So when you became a councillor on Central Coast Council, what did you experience by way of handover from the former administrator to the council?
- A. There was no handover at all. I don't recall a handover in terms of the monetary aspects or what had happened during administration. I was proud to be a councillor under a big council, and what was actually said quite a number of times is that we have an \$850 million budget and that we are responsible for that. But in terms of logistics and the nuances around the handover, there wasn't anything to my knowledge.

- Q. There was an induction process?
- A. There was an induction process, which I found really interesting. The thing that always springs to mind is the personality workshop we did to work out what types of personalities we had in the room and how we could work together, which was quite alarming in some respects. It was almost like we already had a bunch of councillors that probably wouldn't be able to work together so how are we going to make that work together, so there was that pre-assumption.

We also learned about the operational plan and the 10-year strategic plan. We had a mock meeting, which was very short. We did some bus trips. We got to know the role of the councillors, the executive team and the mayor, and we were offered an OLG capability framework kit, however wasn't overly encouraged to attend.

 In terms of development applications, there was a briefing on that but quite some time after that, within probably about a good eight months, about how to conduct yourself when talking to either constituents or not talk to developers around development applications. That was probably it.

- Q. So you were offered other training opportunities by the council?
- A. We were, but it was I don't recall it was a verbal thing, it was something that was just put in your locker or your post.

- Q. And did you take up any of those opportunities?
- A. I didn't.

- 1 O. What did you observe of the --
 - A. Oh, can I just reframe that?

- Q. Certainly?
- A. I actually did. There was somebody on the hub about the capability framework and I filled out a questionnaire on it, and it was quite extensive I remember at the time, and I didn't hear anything back from the OLG, nor I think it was sent to staff and I didn't hear anything back. So that was the end of that.

- 11
 12
 O. That was a survey, was it?
 - A. It was it went through the framework, each individual framework, and you had to answer questions around where you felt that you sat and scored yourself, and I didn't hear anything back, so --

- Q. So it wasn't a training module that you attended or a seminar?
- A. No, no. No.

- Q. In terms of the staff at the council, what did you observe about the general culture of the staff when you started?
- A. I think for me the staff had been through quite a robust organisational change. There was a lot of fear around, because there was a new council, there would be a new CEO, that staff will again have another restructure and would be losing their jobs. But in terms of the overall staff, I think there was a little bit of resistance from staff to work with the councillors because they hadn't had councillors for quite some time.

- Q. You mean during the period of administration?
- A. That's correct, they didn't have, yes.

- Q. And what about staff to staff was there what has been described as an us and them approach from your point of view?
- A. In some respects. I mean, personally I got on with all of the ELT. I don't think there was so much as a them and us, I think it was more so the information that they wanted to provide to the councillors and what the councillors wanted to get from the ELT. Different councillors have different ideas about what information that they needed to get on with their jobs.

- Q. I'm talking really about the relationships within the staff as opposed to staff and councillors. Did you see any division within the staff?
 - A. No, I didn't. No. I didn't see any division there, although I'm sure there is.

- Q. Why do you say you are sure there is?
- A. Well, when you have so many different leaders within an organisation, it's pretty evident that you will have that argy-bargy, and when you have so many directorates that are all trying to get, you know, a piece of the dollar to achieve what they want to achieve, I would think that there would be some tension there.

- Q. Did you have very much knowledge of the staff who worked within the finance unit of the council?
- A. Only Viv Louie, and I sat next to Craig Norman at one of the budget meetings. That was all.

- Q. And what was your experience with those two officers?
- A. I found Viv Louie to be very on top of her game, basically. I found her to be very honest with her assumptions on what was happening within the financial area. I thought that she actually knew what she was doing. I think once Viv Louie was taken from that role as the CFO, there was very little information. I think, by memory, Viv Louie left just after there was a \$64,000 that we were \$64,000 in front, that particular year.

Q. The slight surplus budget; is that what you are referring to?

A. Yes, that one.

- Q. Were you on the selection committee for the general manager?
- A. Yes, I was. I was on the selection panel.

- Q. To your observation was the process followed consistent with the guidelines that are published by the Office of Local Government?
- 40 Office of Local Government?
 41 A. Absolutely. Absolutely. There were five recruitment
 42 agencies that were presented to the whole of council.
- There was a resolution that we would engage with McArthur as preferred agency. All councillors were asked to be on
- the panel and four councillors were endorsed at a meeting,
- 46 which were Councillors Burke, Smith, Holstein and myself.
- 47 Macarthur did the initial culling and met with the

recruitment agency several times. We went through all the psychometric testing and the resumes and the short list of applicants which the agency actually did. I think by memory there were seven short listed. We were presented with, by memory, five candidates that were through video, through videolink. Then we had a briefing.

Q. Can I just stop you there. The video, was that you participating in an interview or was it -- A. No, no.

 Q. -- a pre-recorded video?

A. The recruitment agency did that. So we just viewed the videos. All the videos were actually put on the hub for all the councillors to view. We had a briefing and all councillors were invited to view those videos as well, but unfortunately, like many of the briefings, not many councillors attended.

So we interviewed four people from those five. We went down to Sydney, we were in a private room. All candidates were given the same questions. All candidates were given the opportunity to expand on their questions. I believe that process was done extremely ethically and we scored each candidate.

Gary was the stand-out applicant and I think for me, at the time, he was the only one that actually mentioned community and actually mentioned the residents.

What happened then? Oh, so the recruitment panel chose Gary. Then we presented Gary to the whole of the council. I think that was at Kooindah Waters. All councillors attended and, to my knowledge, there was one councillor that didn't approve of just being presented with one councillor. But in terms of recruitment, and was that done ethically and responsibly, definitely. Definitely.

Q. Did you have any role in the oversight of the general manager or was that solely the mayor's domain?

A. I was - again, I was part of the performance reviews.

Because I was on the panel I felt I needed to do that. But again, all 15 councillors were invited to those, and I think possibly two other councillors came to those regularly and no other councillors did.

Q. These were performance review meetings, were they?

- Q. Were you attending performance review meetings?
- A. Yes. Yes, where we would sit through and go through each KPI.

Q. Who were the other councillors in attendance?

A. That was Councillor Smith, Holstein, Burke, myself, who were on the panel, and often Councillor Vincent and Greenaway were there as well.

- Q. And what was your relationship like with the general manager?
- A. I had a good relationship with him. I found him very personable, very conciliatory in the way he went about his business. I found that I could go to him with anything that I was having difficulty with. He was a very quiet, unassuming man and only spoke when he needed to speak. I think he had a lot of trust in his ELT and certainly out of the candidates that we saw, he certainly demonstrated a lot of integrity at the time, yes.

 Q. Now, you have written a submission, and thank you for that. That's of great assistance, so I won't ask you some of the questions that I've asked other people. When you came on to the council, were you aware of the infrastructure backlog that had been inherited from the previous councils?

 A. Yes, I was. It's probably one of the main reasons that I did go into council. There is a few things. One of them was to bring integrity to the organisation, because I really felt that it lacked that prior to the amalgamation. And the other reason was the, yes, the actual backlog of infrastructure. You know, I know there is a lot of debate about a councillor, are they representing the Central Coast or are they representing their own wards, and I saw my role as being both, with the big ticket item, certainly it was representing the whole of the coast. However, in terms of the enormity of the council and the demographics and the geography of the area, I certainly put a lot of time into my ward.

Prior to the amalgamation, that was always one of the things that the residents in the north would say, that it was the forgotten north. So one of the I first things I wanted to do was make it the remembered north and get a lot of that infrastructure and that backlog actually

achieved.

I think the disparity between the north and the south is that people up here are just asking for small things to be done. They want their potholes fixed, they want a road, they don't want goat tracks, they actually need a footpath to walk their prams on and some bike paths. So they weren't asking for major infrastructure, they were asking for things that they - obviously over, you know, decades of living in the area, that they felt they needed and that they were missing out on. Gross pollutant traps, all sorts of things that needed an upgrade.

- Q. When you say they were forgotten, they were previously within Wyong Council. Were they completely forgotten by their own council?
- A. That's a very good question, Roslyn, and I have lived and worked in this community, and I would have to say yes. Even at times, there were reports that had come through council, they would have a map of Wyong Shire Council and they would leave out the extremities, such as Summerland Point and Gwandalan and Mannering Park, which is the extreme north end of the Central Coast. I've also seen it in disaster management plans through the RFS those extreme areas, the north and the south, have often been left out but absolutely more so in the north.

Q. So to some extent you were a councillor for the whole council, but you were also in to bat for your ward?

A. Absolutely, yes.

Q. Now, you say in your statement that you don't think the residents wanted the amalgamation. What was your position?

A. I really didn't have a position on the amalgamation, I have to say, prior to the amalgamation. I did understand the benefits of efficiency and having a wider net of being able to pool funding and to actually draw funding to the coast.

The residents very much did not want to be amalgamated up this way. In actual fact, the extremities that I'm talking about, they actually wanted to go into the Lake Macquarie district. They didn't want to stay within the Central Coast district because they didn't feel that they were getting their fair share.

In terms of the amalgamation when it actually did happen and we had this big council, my position and my view was that we can actually capitalise on this and draw more money to the coast through grants, but I actually didn't see that happen, which was very unfortunate. I think it happened in the very first year, but after that - and certainly in terms of the Snowy Hydro funding, which was loosely promised for the RPAC, once that disappeared I saw very little funding coming to the coast.

- Q. Did you ever consider demerging or was that a position you ever took?
- A. No, I didn't take a position. I did ask questions within the chamber. One of the questions I asked was how much did the amalgamation cost, and I also asked how much would a demerger cost, because residents were asking for that. However, I think the report came back with a demerger or whether that was just verbally said, that it would take four years and it would cost as much as it did to actually merge. Once I heard that, for me, I certainly didn't push a demerger, no.

 Q. You said in your statement that you were surprised that after the period of administration, which I think you described as two years, which was more like 16 months, that a lot of the merger activities weren't completed.

A. That's correct.

Q. So do you think a longer period of administration might have been an advantage at that point?

A. That's a very good question. It may have been. It probably would have been. You know, hindsight is a very powerful thing, and had the amalgamation been done prior to the councillors being in there, I think we would have had a very different council. I just want to say on that, the amalgamation costs, one of the things that - everybody has spoken about the IT systems, policies and procedures. One of the words that was used in council, thrown around a lot, was the word "harmonisation", so we needed to harmonise the two councils. I think what's missing in all of that dialogue is, again, what the community wanted, what the residents wanted, and what they wanted to see out of the amalgamation.

I will give you a really good example of where things have gone amiss that have actually been done but is not mentioned. I will give you an idea about street signs. It

is a simple thing, street signs. So we need to amalgamate all of our signage, all of our branding. So what we have in the coast now today is a whole lot of suburbs with no new signage. They have the old Wyong Shire or the old Gosford Shire ones. If you do the figures on that, and I just did some very, very rough figures on how many suburbs we have got across the coast, 165, what are the main townships, and did the maths on that and it works out about \$3.5 million just to do signage. So I'm not quite sure where government thought that 10 mill was okay, to actually amalgamate. We keep talking about IT systems. know that that has cost a fortune. We know that we've probably got to where we've got to through the IT systems and not being able to harmonise the two Gosford and Wyong in terms of the financials. So I think, unfortunately, that the people that have really missed out here is our residents.

- Q. Do you think not having signs branded Central Coast Council is an important thing?
- A. To the residents it is. To the residents. Again, to the residents. What they see the rhetoric is there is the north and the south and the south gets everything. So when you are in a council position and you are trying to actually debunk some of that stuff, it's really actually difficult when you do see, and when residents drive around the coast and you've got bumpy roads or signage that starts and finishes in different places. So the harmonisation of the whole coast, in answer to your question, yes, should have been done prior to councillors going in.

Q. Do you have any concept of how long the process of the complete merger would have taken, or will take?

A. Oh, I think in terms of financial crisis now, I think we're looking at a good probably five to ten years now, yes.

 Q. You say in your statement - this is at page 3, you are talking about the financial consequences of the governing body's decisions. You refer to the looming financial crisis, but you were aware, weren't you, when the council was delivering deficit budgets, a series of deficit budgets?

A. Yes, I was aware. I don't know if I was aware that there was a series of deficit budgets but I was aware that there was - because I've only been in for three years, I think there was two deficit budgets.

Q. And that took a substantial amount of money out of the council coffers over several years?

A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall there being any significant reaction to that announcement?
- A. No. No. I don't recall that, and that was one of your questions and I have gone through all of my notes and I've gone through minutes and I only remember the statement that there was \$39,000 that we would be losing.

- Q. Million, I think?
- A. Million, sorry, yes. Sorry. Millions, we are talking. But certainly I didn't hear anything about how we would recoup that money.

 Q. And was there much information given to you about whether the budget had been met in the final financial statements that were released some time, say, in May the year after the budget had been delivered?

A. No. No.

- Q. And when you were considering the budget for the 2020/2021 financial year, that was around February 2020, and there was a series of significant events the bushfires, followed by the floods. Did those significant events not alert you to the need to be a bit tighter on the purse strings?
- A. It certainly did because we spent two workshops actually trimming the budget. I think the first one was trimmed around 200 million and then we had a secondary one to that where the staff went away and trimmed the budget and then we were only left with a few projects to actually debate over.

- Q. That was following the review by Grant Thornton?
- 41 A. Yes.

- Q. So that was following COVID. But even before COVID, well, before the world knew what COVID meant --
- 45 A. Yes, that's true. I think what the problem with 46 the - it is something I certainly have learnt through this, 47 I think the problem with the deficit budget was that

.07/10/2021 (5)

sometimes it can be quite fluid, because when we talk about a backlog of infrastructure, there is a backlog also about things that couldn't be done from the previous budget. So one would assume that that would keep rolling over and rolling over, that surplus. But in that short period of time, what I saw is that, hang on a minute, that's actually not rolling over; there's something amiss here within those financials. But there was no reason for me to suspect that we couldn't get out of that deficit.

I suppose for me, too, I constantly saw things put in a budget, taken out of a budget, and that's where we had to look at the op plan, the operational plan. There were times there where I thought I was reading a different document because I was looking for projects that were in a plan and then they were taken out and they were in a size 4 font that I couldn't read. They were given another name and, look, I can give an example of that. In the community where I work there is a playground that has been there for 33 years. Now, the playground strategy is that every playground should be replaced after 20 years, and it certainly should have a bit of an upgrade after four. there was a Coles development many years ago, I think it was around 2011, 2013. A Coles development, section 94 funds, and for 15 years I had been asking council, "What did the section 94 funds go into", because we didn't get any community infrastructure. And I never got an answer on So of course when I got into council, I said, "Where is the playground, where is the section 94 funds?"

That particular project was in the budget, it was out of the budget, it was in the budget, it was out of the budget, and then when we took off all of the - we stripped bare the budget, again, that particular playground was out of the budget totally.

Then, all of a sudden, it was back in. So I asked the question: how did that get back in after we had trimmed the budget? One of the staff said to me, "Oh, Jillian, that was section 94 funds." So that was historical funds that through this crisis they actually had to put back into the coffers, and now the community has, what was rightfully theirs, a beautiful park.

Q. Because it had been earmarked as section 94 funds? A. Yes. Yes. And it should have been all those years ago. So the money, wherever it went through this whole financial crisis, wherever it went, obviously it had to be put back when all money - restricted funds had to be put back.

 Q. Yes. And you have addressed that in your statement. Thank you. You did some calculations on page 7. You went through the historical investment reports to determine what the actual deficit was.

9 A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me how you calculated those figures?

A. Well, I actually went through every single financial statement on every business paper, and I would have to have a business paper in front of me, but through my memory, there was - I would have to - I'm sorry, Commissioner.

I would have to look it up again. There were four figures, and I basically took one number off the other, subtracted one number from the other, which actually showed me what the actual deficit was.

Q. It would be of assistance to me to understand whether those numbers are useful, to understand how you got to them.

24 A. Certainly.

 Q. So I would invite you - and all witnesses will be given this opportunity - to make a submission following the conclusion of the inquiry, just to explain how you got those numbers.

A. Okay.

- Q. That would be useful.
- A. No worries.

Q. Just excuse me while I look through my notes. On page 8 of your statement, in the last dot point there, you say that councillors could not come to an agreement regarding the CEO. I take it that this is at the end of the reign.

40 A. Yes.

- Q. So what was the decision that was being sought to be made?
- A. The decision was to actually terminate Mr Murphy's position or pay him out the redundancy as requested by Mr Murphy.

Q. So if you terminated, you would have had a payment, which was ultimately made by the administrator who came in? A. That's correct.

- Q. So what decision was taken by the council?
- A. None.

- Q. Nothing?
- A. No. We couldn't land on anything, because if he was terminated, that would be a summary dismissal, which meant that we needed to have evidence and proof that for us to do that. So then in terms of the redundancy, the redundancy payout, councillors couldn't land on an agreement with either one of those. In effect, the administrator then came in and then he was offered the redundancy.

Q. Why was the council considering terminating Mr Murphy? A. I think they lost faith in him through the financial crisis.

- Q. Were you blaming him for it, or you just didn't know, and he was at the helm?
- A. I think it was just because he was at the helm. Personally I didn't blame him, no. But I think it was because he was at the helm.

Q. Further on that page you go on to describe the behaviour of councillors in the chamber. Can you just elaborate on that a little?

Through my experience in council, I think from I can. the very get-go, as a woman and as a leader in my community, it has been a very hard road. From the very beginning it was very combative within the chamber. I don't - I'm really cognisant that it is a public inquiry and I don't want to rename the things that have been said to myself and other colleagues, and especially women within the chamber, but I will say that in my experience, my

values, my intelligence, my confidence, my professionalism, my family, my relationships and my womanhood have all been maligned in this chamber. It was very much about what people looked like, who they spoke to, "Say this, don't say this, just sit down, shut up", and that was really my experience in the chamber.

I think that there were certain councillors that came in to definitely undermine and to divide the council.

It was said to me one day that "I'm going to write down everything that you say and I'm going to use it against you because there is an election coming up". That was said to me, and that's exactly my experience.

So what that actually does to a person is - and it certainly did to me and it certainly does today - it strips away your sense of yourself. It makes you tongue-tied. You lose your sense of everything that you stand for and I think I talk for quite - probably another few women that have been on our council.

I think the behaviour in terms of inciting hysteria was deplorable. So councillors would rev up the residents, they would come in with placards, they would demand things, they would hit people, spit on people, call people names, and in the kerfuffle of trying to help another councillor, I got hit in the head. That was the type of experience we had. This wasn't all the time, but it certainly was something that I took away from council as being quite an awful part of my life.

Having said that, Commissioner, I loved my work as a councillor. I worked full time, plus I did council, and if you look at the list of who attended, as Mr Murphy alluded to yesterday, the briefings and the amount of advisory groups I was on, I was actually third in line who went to the most briefings next to Councillor Sundstrom, and Jane, who had to be there. I was at everything.

Unfortunately, that will probably be turned around and twisted and thrown up on some sort of social media saying, "Well, if she was at everything, why didn't she know everything." Unfortunately, we're not there to know everything. Council position is not, I don't think - moving forward it needs to be looked at, because it is a full-time position.

Getting back to the community, I loved it. I absolutely loved it. I loved getting calls all hours of the night from different people and I felt that I could do something for these people, not even so small but even so big, and help their lives. But unfortunately the actual behaviour in the chamber certainly makes it untenable.

Q. Was that something you think could have been controlled by the person controlling the meeting?

.07/10/2021 (5)

201 J HOGAN

No, I don't. I saw both mayors try to control it, and it is extremely difficult. Extremely difficult. There are too many - see, I'm really good at just blocking this stuff out, now. I need to block it out. The way the meetings ran, we were all very distracted from the business at hand because we would get bogged down in grandstanding or points of order or things that were said, things that were thrown at each other. It was a lot of mud slinging behaviour.

8 9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

So the meetings weren't effective. The agendas were way too large. There was rescission motions, urgency motions, amendments to the nominations on the floor.

12 13 14

15

16 17

11

Did I call out the behaviour? Yes, I did. Did I try and change that behaviour? Yes, I actually changed the behaviour by rewriting part of the code of conduct to include the definitions of different behaviours that I pushed through the chamber. Did it stop? No.

18 19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Just on that, you mentioned that in your statement, but I didn't understand what changes you had brought to the code of conduct. Can you tell me what they were? In the code of conduct it mentions words such as "bullying", "intimidation" - I think there was about three or four of them but it didn't actually identify, it didn't define what that actually meant in terms of behaviour. So I just got the definitions put in to highlight, because sometimes people actually don't know that they are exhibiting those sorts of behaviours, yes.

29 30

> Were those provisions ever utilised? 0.

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

And there was - you know, in terms of making complaints, I didn't make any complaints and I didn't make any complaints because I think sometimes the wrath from a complaint is far greater than putting up with it, and the mechanisms - I'd seen other people make complaints and the mechanisms for anything to happen to that, any consequences, certainly just weren't there.

38 39 40

41

42

Mr Murphy said yesterday that he was not a fan of the 0. code of conduct complaints because it effectively meant he had to sit in judgment on his employer. Do you see some contradiction there?

43 44

Absolutely. That is a contradiction, when it's put like that, absolutely.

45 46 47

Q. So you think if there was a system, it perhaps should go to a neutral third party?

A. Yes, and it should be external. Definitely should be external, yes.

- Q. Now, have you ever undertaken any activity to interfere with a tender?
- A. Sorry, what was the question? Have I?

- Q. Ever done anything to interfere with a tender?
- A. No, but I know what you are referring to.

- Q. Can you explain what you think I'm referring to?
- A. I think you are referring to the oh, I will just get my paperwork. You are referring to a notice of motion that I put forward in the early days, in 2018, which was a notice of motion for the council to investigate the cost of outsourcing apprentices and trainees or directly employing apprentices and trainees, because historically and it is one of the things that the broader community always questioned, conflict of interest. So the report came back that it was actually more cost effective for council to employ apprentices and trainees directly rather than to outsource them to I think it was three companies, or three RTOs who set up the apprentices and trainees.

The difference was that when you outsource it, a young person does their apprentice or traineeship and there is no pathway to employment with that organisation. So what was happening over the years was that great young people got their certificate but they actually didn't have a position at the end of it.

So what I was looking for was that council actually employ people directly. So the report came back that it was more cost effective. There was a resolution of council to actually employ - to start employing people. The contracts that they had, I think there were three, had finished in November of that year --

- Q. What year are you now talking about? 2018?

 A. I think 2018, yes. 2018. The contracts finish in November, so we won't be renewing those contracts and that we will be employing apprentices and traineeships
- directly from council. Then there is a pathway to
- employment so young people then would have a job within the council after that.

.07/10/2021 (5)

204 J HOGAN

- A. I wanted what was best for young people and that's how I saw that it was best, and it was one of those things that my background is in youth, and it was one of those things that I believe that young people should have the opportunity to be employed after their traineeships.
- Q. Just on some more general questions, what do you understand ARIC's role to be?
- A. Well, ARIC's role is the checks and balances, I thought, before it got to council. So I saw them as a conduit between what staff presented and what was presented to council.

I do know that they - oh, there was a meeting once where they - the ARIC committee actually presented the reports to the council, and that they actually went through the actual auditor's report. So to me, that was ARIC's role, and I was a bit surprised to see that it wasn't their role, because it's audit, risk and improvement - audit is, you know, what the accountants do, and to look at the risk and to minimise risk. So, yes, there was definitely a meeting where - I think it was around 2018 and they presented the draft 2017/2018 financial reports, and they recommended that we adopt those reports. So in my mind, they were certainly the overseer of the accounts after they had come from the auditor and they had come from staff, and then they came to us. So I had actually no reason to believe that they weren't a true and accurate record.

- Q. And what about the role of the external auditors?

 A. Well, their role is to actually do the checks and balances and to go through the bank statements, the reconciliation, all the accounts, and to actually check what the council staff have been putting into their program, such as Oracle, is true and correct. I was quite surprised that those things were missed.
- Q. "Those things" being?
- A. Well, they are there to ensure there is compliance with the internal controls. They examine the records and the reports. They look at the practices and the documentation, so I was quite surprised to find that we're in this financial mess and that the auditor's reports are actually the auditor actually missed it, I would think, when they did their checks and balances.
- Q. "It" being the way sorry to interrupt you, but "it"

being the way that contributions were dealt with in the
accounts?

A. Oh, yes, that's - I think that's definitely part of it, but I also think it's part of how the two systems were harmonised as well. I would think that the assumptions and the records aren't true and correct.

I mean, the auditor is there to express an independent and objective opinion on the financial statements and either a qualified or an unqualified opinion. The Gosford - I do remember with Gosford, early in the piece, they could not have an unqualified report. It was only qualified, because they did not have enough information. And it's all by Australian Accounting Standards. So I'm quite - it's scary.

- Q. What did you see the role of the Office of Local Government to be?
- A. Oh, that's a loaded question, Commissioner. To be honest, I didn't see that they had an active role until it came to the financial crisis, as much as you know, obviously there is training and other bits and bobs, but I didn't I really didn't understand the oversight that they had until it came to the financial crisis, to be honest.

- Q. Ms Hogan, those are the questions that I had for you. I will just check to see if Ms Annis-Brown had any questions.
- A. Okay.

<EXAMINATION BY MS ANNIS-BROWN

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner.

- Q. Ms Hogan, I just wanted to take you back to something you said earlier in relation to there being no handover process from the council under administration to when the councillors were elected. Do you consider that it was the responsibility of councillors as the governing body to seek out this information?
- A. Hindsight's a wonderful thing. What we know now, yes, absolutely. But I do remember going back to when we had the first budget meeting and I was very excited to present some of the projects that our communities wanted and to find the '17/'18 when I did see the budget then, in that report, that we had \$580 million to actually spend, there

was nothing that actually raised a red flag for me, and that was early in the piece. We came in in September. We have a big break in December. We met in February. Hindsight, yes, we should have. However, that didn't happen.

Q. You just mentioned several projects being taken out of the budget - I think you referred to it as being in and out, in and out - so I take it that you were lobbying for certain projects?

A. Yes.

Q. And correct me if I'm wrong — and then, seemingly, there perhaps was more funding available and then they came back into the budget in terms of being able to be funded. I guess just around that, how was that sold to the community? Did the community understand what that process was about and, I guess more broadly, how well did council consult with and engage with the community in order to be able to take it along with it for the journey, I suppose?

A. So you have asked two questions there.

Q. Yes. So, firstly, sorry, I will ask you - they were two-pronged and I apologise. Just in terms of those projects being taken in and out, if you could just elaborate a little bit more about how that process worked and was it, I guess, councillors that were lobbying for those projects or was that the community?

A. The ones that I have mentioned in my submission were actually myself. I will take responsibility for that. It

A. The ones that I have mentioned in my submission were actually myself, I will take responsibility for that. It wasn't other councillors. Did I take the community along for a ride? Absolutely. These are the things that they said they wanted, not that I wanted. That's who I was representing. Did they understand? Some of them did, definitely, because I know with the (indistinct) pathway, once it was in the budget, I remember a resident saying to me "Now we've just got to keep it in there." And it was the same with Mannering Park pathway, "We've just got to keep it in the budget". So the community was aware that things did go in the budget and then were taken out.

They certainly are in the north. There is a lot of active community groups and there is a lot of people with a lot of smarts that have watched this for a number of years. So I think they did understand, in answer to your question, definitely. And of course there was that

disappointment for everybody, and then when I explained, it's out of the budget because of whatever reason - COVID.

Q. Yes. So ultimately I guess what I'm getting at is there is only so much money in the bucket?

A. That's correct.

Q. And the community may want, I don't know, say 50 projects to be undertaken, but clearly only perhaps half of those, or whatever the number is, can be?

A. Yes.

 Q. Can be undertaken. So how well do you think the council was able to communicate that to the community?

A. I think they do communicate that well, and I certainly did. There was many conversations I would have with people where they wanted this, that and the other, and I'd say "That's great, but this is my priority at this time", so I was very honest and upfront with that. I didn't run out and get everything that they wanted, otherwise we would have had kerbs and gutters across the whole of the shire, which we don't have here.

Even when it came to that, I said, "That probably won't happen." I was always honest with my residents and people would say that, "Thanks for your honesty". Certainly with bike paths, I understand the costs, I understand the engineering part of it. I would say, "This one is actually a priority and this is a priority because, for instance, we have 300 residents that can't get to a doctor or a shopping centre because there isn't a concrete path for 500 metres so they are actually stranded." So that, for me, was a priority over a nice little bike path along the lake. People do understand that for sure. Yes.

Q. Just before I finish, just one more question. You mention in your submission about decisions to partner with the state, federal and community organisations and that this gives the council more opportunity to become financially sustainable. Could you just elaborate on that? A. I think nobody does this stuff solo. We need to work together as local, state and federal in terms of funding to actually achieve what we need to achieve. You will find that with most projects, they are 50:50, dollar for dollar. It's the same in the community sector, in my sector, it's dollar for dollar. It's not always fully funded. That's

.07/10/2021 (5)

1 2	my point I was making.
3	I was also making the point of we can levenage fan
4	I was also making the point of we can leverage far
5	better off non-government organisations that actually have
	the skills and the capacity to do some of this work, and
6	that's what I was highlighting in my submission. It was
7	about sharing resources to get the best outcome for
8	residents.
9	
10	Q. So you were talking predominantly about grant funding?
11	A. Yes, yes.
12	
13	Q. Thank you.
14	A. And skills. And skills - people skills.
15	
16	MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner. That's the end
17	of my questions.
18	
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Simone, do you have any
20	questions for Ms Hogan?
21	4
22	MR SIMONE: No, nothing arising, thank you, Commissioner.
23	The strictle. Ho, flochting di 151ng, chank you, commissioner.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Simone. Ms Hogan, you
25	are free to go now, thank you very much for attending.
26	are free to go now, thank you very much for accending.
27	THE WITNESS: Actually, could I just make one comment,
28	please?
29	piease:
30	THE COMMISSIONER: You containly may
	THE COMMISSIONER: You certainly may.
31	THE HITTNESS. I would dust like to say to the mosidants
32	THE WITNESS: I would just like to say to the residents
33	out there that I am sorry that it has got to this point
34	with our council. There is always hope. And it has been
35	an honour representing you all. Thank you. And thank you,
36	Commissioner.
37	
38	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Hogan.
39	
40	<the td="" withdrew<="" witness=""></the>
41	
42	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, we will take a recess. Our next
43	witness is Mr Marquart. He is scheduled for 10.40, so we
44	will resume at 10.40am. Thank you.
45	- -
46	SHORT ADJOURNMENT
47	

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, the public hearing into 2 Central Coast Council will now resume. 3 4 Our next witness is Mr Marquart. Is he available? 5 Yes, I see he is in the room. Could you come on camera, 6 please, Mr Marquart? Thank you. 7 8 I will just have you sworn in as a witness by 9 Ms Annis-Brown. 10 11 <TROY MARQUART, affirmed: [10.40am] 12 13 <EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: 14 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Marquart. You 0. haven't provided a statement, so I'm going to run through 16 a series of questions with you. Is there a reason that you 17 didn't provide a statement to the inquiry? 18 There is. The original documentation that 19 There is. came through, the way I consumed it, it seemed to me that 20 21 not every single councillor was going to be speaking at the 22 public inquiry, so I wanted to make sure that - well, I did 23 respond, as you know I did respond and I wanted to speak 24 today. I thought that was going to be a better platform 25 for what I wanted to say as opposed to putting it down in black and white, so that was the only reason. 26 27 Mr Marquart, I'm having a little difficulty hearing 28 29 you, which suggests that the transcription service might also. Yes, if you could just move a bit closer, that's 30 better. Let's see how we go. 31 32 Α. Is that better? 33 34 It is for me. Hopefully it is for them as well. When you joined Central Coast Council, that was your first 35 time in Local Government, was it? 36 37 That's correct, yes. Α. 38 39 Did you have any experience with the council before Q. 40 joining? 41 Only in the sense that I obviously I'd been a very, very keen observer, and that was one of the reasons that 42 I did want to run for Local Government because I seriously 43 44 thought it could be done much better. Hindsight is 45 a wonderful thing, looking back now, but yes, a very, very 46 keen observer. That's the only experience that I held in 47 regards to that.

Q. Why do you say with hindsight? Do you think you were ineffective on the council?

A. I think the council itself was absolutely ineffective. The process around it was farcical, to tell you the truth. I think - that's why I wanted to speak so much today and get the truth out there, that I think there's been a lot of talk, there's been a lot of excuses, a lot of talk about blaming staff and, of course, of course, there is definitely some merit to that, but the fundamental reason that this council failed was because of the councillors. Now, I was one of those councillors, and there's things that - there's a couple of things I'd like to apologise for today and get off my chest at the very start, at the inception of this council, but the councillors are responsible for what happened. The councillors have spent my grandkids' rate money and it's going take a long time to get out of this and that's a disgrace.

It's been 345 days since Councillor Rebecca Gale and myself quit in disgust to make sure that today happens, and I'm really looking forward to pointing out exactly what happened as opposed to these excuses - iPads don't work. My God, come on, these ridiculous little petty excuses, as opposed to the absolute truth, because the absolute truth is ratepayers' money was spent hand over fist on wasteful causes.

- Q. Before we get into that, you said you wanted to apologise for a couple of things. I will let you do that now.
- A. Absolutely. So when you first join a council, obviously you are very green. The fundamental thing that I certainly want to apologise for to the people of the Central Coast is you only get one vote as a councillor for who the mayor is, but I made a very, very poor choice.

The reason I made that choice and voted at the time for Mayor Jane Smith, the former mayor, Jane Smith, is that I thought - I was hearing a lot of rumblings, and so were all the conservatives, we were hearing rumblings that we believed that there were certain people in the chamber, obviously predominantly the Labor Party members, that were going to try to make the amalgamation a political point, which unfortunately it still is today, but we really wanted to try to set up a platform where this amalgamation between Gosford and Wyong was going to have an opportunity to be

successful. For that, it didn't need to be downplayed, it didn't need to be kicked around by a political football. At the time I thought the best way to do that was going to be to put my support behind Jane Smith.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

1

2

3

What I should have done, and what I want to apologise for to the people of the Central Coast, is looked into the past of Jane Smith and realise that she was a 20-year environmental activist dressed up as a councillor and she had been placed there by CEN, an environmental activist group. This particular group ended up with two councillors. Eventually they obviously had the mayor, they also had about 70 per cent of the voting rights within the local community committees, which is just unbelievable. If you look at their 2018/19 information, which is available on the Web, it says that it had 51 members. So we've got 360-something-thousand people on the Central Coast and an organisation with 51 members has now the power within our I should have looked into that, Madam council. Commissioner. That's what I wanted to apologise for today.

20 21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

- Q. Can I stop you there, you were talking about the committees. The committees don't actually have power, though, do they?
- That's what people like to say, but you when you've got - when you do - when the place is run by someone that is a political activist dressed up as a councillor, you can always bend and stretch, I'm sure you know yourself, you can bend and stretch anything. These legislations are broad, the terms of reference are broad. So what happens is, what I couldn't believe, these committees are selected by the councillors. That doesn't sound too bad. You know, that sounds okay. Why wouldn't you let the councillors select these committees? But whoever puts an expression of interest in, they literally put these expressions interest out on a big table and the voting block within those 15 councillors gets to select who they like. When you have got a left leaning voting bloc, like we did, if it was any more left it would have fallen off the left side of the chair, then they selected every left wing activist they could possibly get. That's why CEN had so much power across these committees. They do have power.

42 43 44

45

46 47 When you go through the committee meetings, they have almost as much access to the staff as we did. They request for staff to be there, ELT leaders to be there, to be at these meetings, and you read the minutes and these people

are taking recommendations. Our staff were taking recommendations directly from these committees.

- Q. But ultimately, they don't make the decisions, the council makes the decisions. That was my point about power?
- A. I absolutely agree, I agree with that, eight times out of 10. It's those two times where things don't even end up going to the chamber, then the staff are influenced very heavily by these committees. Very heavily.

- O. I see.
- A. And they should be a broad range. They should be a big broad brush of through the community as opposed to a left-wing echo chamber, and that's what they were. There were an absolute left wing echo chamber.

 Q. You sound disappointed, but isn't that simply a result of the balance of power in the council, and it could have gone the other way if it was a more right wing council?

A. Well, I suppose you are quite right there, but I don't think that makes it right. I think it's something that needs to definitely be addressed. I think my original point was, and why I apologise to the people of the Central Coast for my mayoral choice, was that Jane Smith had a lot of runs on the board. She had been sitting in - you asked me had I been involved in councils? Not directly, I hadn't sat in council meetings or been on committees for two decades; Jane had. Once we got in there, she was like white on rice. She knew exactly how it ran.

 We're trying to find out what are these committees, how do people get selected. Jane and the CEN, let's say, the CEN machine behind her, knew exactly what they needed to do, and they did it. They did it. So at the inception of the council, it was difficult from the start for that reason, and that's where it all started to go pear-shaped from day one, in my opinion.

 Q. Was your vote on mayor a deciding vote, was it?

A. No, no, absolutely, it wasn't a deciding vote. At the time I was obviously a liberal councillor, I was a member of the Liberal Party, so we - of course we discussed, I discussed with my liberal colleagues and other conservative colleagues, in Councillor Best and Councillor McLachlan, so of course you have a conversation before the mayoral vote. As conservatives, we decided to back

Jane Smith at that time, as opposed to any member of the Labor Party.

- Q. So that was the one thing. What was the other thing you wanted to apologise for?
- A. That was one and two, to tell you the truth. That was one and two, yes.

 Q. Okay. Now, you said that you acknowledge that it wasn't entirely the fault of the council - that is, the financial difficulties that the council found itself in. Where else do you see those difficulties having arisen from?

In regards to finances, obviously the - what was happening in - well, this isn't in my opinion, anyone can go through the podcast over and over again. What the staff were getting were, they were getting big grandiose motions, huge ideas, with no costings. So that was going into back into the backroom staff and the staff were told, "Do this", and they shouldn't have done. They shouldn't have spent, you know, they shouldn't have spent the hundreds of millions of dollars they did on it but, again, that's the councillors' fault. So out of the 15 councillors, the bloc of eight - actually, I would call it a bloc of nine most of the time - voted to do things like the climate change policy, the Cities Power Partnership, affordable housing policy, the biodiversity strategy. These huge grandiose massive motions would get put into the chamber and just waved through by the councillors in regards to no costings whatsoever.

So for instance, the affordable housing policy, this was formulated over weeks. They wanted to have eight briefings on this. They wanted every councillor there. I went to the first one and then refused to go to another one of these briefings in disgust. They did not want to know about the dollar. You know, of course, money isn't everything when you are talking about an affordable housing policy, you have to get that balance right, but there has to be a balance, Madam Commissioner. There was no balance. Money didn't mean anything. So when the policy ends up in the back room with the staff, they have literally got a blank cheque. We've signed one of those big 10-foot commercial checks for them, but we haven't put a dollar down the bottom.

Q. Can you just explain to me why an affordable housing

- policy would cost the council money?
 - A. If you go through this document, you will see that there was even things as ridiculous, in my mind, as setting up massive four-foot chess boards for homeless people in parks, and just little things like this over and over and over and over and over again. From my memory and this was a little while ago, Madam Commissioner, I apologise if I'm incorrect I think there were parcels of land that the council were going to put into affordable housing. That part doesn't worry me, but this document was 50 pages thick and there was spending on every single page of it without a dollar. Without a dollar.

0

- Q. But, Mr Marquart, wouldn't each of those decisions have ultimately come a policy doesn't spend the money. It is the decisions of the council in putting that policy into effect that would spend the money. Isn't that the right thing?
- A. Yes, sometimes. I think for a portion that would be correct, Madam Commissioner, when you're talking about the housing policy, but like the Cities Power Partnership would probably be a better example of what I'm talking about. So again, Mayor Smith came out and said, "We are going to join the Cities Power Partnership". All over the media she said --

Q. Can you just first of all explain to me what that is? I don't know what it is.

A. It is a large, I suppose you would call it, organisation that tries to get councils - a worldwide organisation that sets up a bunch of pledges to bring down your carbon emissions, effectively. Councils sign up and I think out of the 20-something pledges you have to promise you will do six of them.

Some of these pledges are ridiculous, that you won't allow V6 or V8 cars within the city. Silly things like that. What happened was again, Mayor Smith went and said "This won't cost us anything to sign up, it won't cost the community a cent", which - that part was sort of true, but the pledges do, and you have to pick six.

Now, one of the pledges, for instance, one of the pledges where we said, yes, we will put solar pretty much on every one of our council buildings, we'll put solar panels. At the time I said, "Hang on, hang on, can't we get from staff a costing how much this will cost to do

- this?" I put an amendment up. I was supported by Councillor Best, Councillor McLachlan, Pilon, Gale, Burke, but we were voted down. So what they did, they signed us up to the Cities Power Partnership, they signed us up to these pledges, without any costing for these six pledges. Now, what they did do, they said, "Listen", pretty much to shut Councillor Marquart up, because he keeps whingeing about money, "we'll say every six months we will bring a report back to the chamber". I think about eight, nine months later, I kept asking the staff, "Where's this report? Where's this report?" Eventually it came back in. The report didn't any dollars on it. The report said, "Yes, we've put solar panels on" - I think at the time it was six buildings, "we're going to put solar panels on the rest of our buildings." I asked staff within the chamber, "How many more buildings have we got?" "We don't know, we would have to get back to you, Councillor Marquart." "Well, how much have you spent?" "Oh, we can answer that." So after a little bit of toing and froing they answered that question. I said, "Okay, how much is it going to cost to put solar panels if we've got 50 buildings, how much will it cost to put it on them? How much power would we save?" The answer was, "I don't know, I don't know, I don't know". This thing was like an uncosted animal.
 - Q. So what was the cost that you were given for the buildings that had had solar panels installed?

 A. I think the way they gave the costs again, I'm expanding my memory here, it was a long time ago I think the costs they gave was what they had finished and what they predicted was going to be finished by the end of the year, and I think it was something along the lines of \$2 million. But that is stretching my memory, I must admit.

Q. You didn't know at that stage what any energy savings would have been?

- A. They could not answer me. And of course, the other one that I asked, just to get a little bit of clarity is, "How much carbon emissions will we save by doing this?" Of course, they couldn't answer that as well. So not only could they not answer how much it would cost, they couldn't answer what the benefit would be for being signed up to this thing.
- Q. Okay. Could I just ask you some more general questions. What's your professional background? You have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

242526

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

- 1 a business, I understand?
 - A. Yes. Yes, I'm a carpenter by trade, but I'm the managing director of a company called Shoreline Sports Floors.

Q. Okay. Qualifications?

A. Purely just a trade, yes, trade.

- Q. Do you think that it's necessary to be a councillor to have any special qualifications?
- A. I don't. I don't. For instance I did hear yesterday with Councillor Best, I think it was, you did mention that the government asked for diversity, and I one hundred per cent agree with what you said there, they did, and I did see that press release, they are looking for diversity in Local Government. So I don't think, per se, no, you don't need anything particularly on a CV because you want to cover all angles.

But, for instance, I'm a staunch conservative, so I'm all - that's socially and fiscally, so I'm going to have a very different idea to one some of the other councillors like Councillor Smith, Councillor Greenaway. I don't have a problem with that. The environment is obviously really important to everybody, but I think what everyone needs to realise is if you don't have dollars in the bank, you can't help anybody.

So the people at CEN, for instance, should be disgusted in the two councillors they put up there, because the environment is not getting assisted now because we are broke. We can't do anything extra in regards to Tuggerah Lakes or Brisbane Waters. We can't add to COSS. We can't do anything that's going to actually help an environment because we're stone motherless broke out in the street.

 So what I think, there has to be a certain amount of fiscal responsibility, and I think you need to be - I don't want to sound rude, but I think a lot of the people that were in that room were adolescent of nature. You need to be an adult. You really need to be an adult in the chamber, get the petty politics out of the place and realise sometimes you are not going to get your way but you have to think what's going to be the best possible outcome for the people you are supposed to be representing.

What I had to do - we would have a briefing before the

council meeting, I would have to sit in there and, you know, you would have dinner with all councillors and, you know, you watch them all gorge on their tofu and mung bean casserole, and then you go into the chamber after they are all nice and full and they would spend millions and millions of the taxpayers' dollars, sometimes just rip them up or burn them up with a contract that is torn up, and you think at the end of it what was the point of that? How did that help the environment? How did that help the socialists in the chamber?

It certainly didn't help the conservative in the chamber and it was a very frustrating process, and I think anyone that's taken at that level and takes the job on - no-one takes on the office of council for the money, that's for sure. You take it on because you care about something and I think you need to be an adult in that chamber.

Q. Mr Marquart, generally the council's spending is governed by the budget; is that not right?
A. Yes. Yes.

- Q. So it's not every meeting that money is spent, and if a proposal comes up that requires spending, it is required to be costed, is it not?
- A. Well, I don't believe so, not the way that not my experience over the three and a half years that we were there. I mean, of course that's correct in portions.

 Again, I think, like I alluded to before, these things are there to be deceived upon or broadened or used. So, yes, there's part of course, budget has items and has dots and lines and then suddenly you get to the next budget and we're over. Why were we over? Again, staff had directions, "Do this"; staff had directions "You have got to do" again, like I said, you have got the Cities Power Partnership, you've got the biodiversity strategy, you've got the housing strategy all these strategies were open and staff were told to do things without any costing. So you can never budget correctly. So that's why budgets were over and over and over every single time.

Q. Presumably you were an opponent of deficit budgets?

A. Absolutely. I think I was the only councillor that voted against our very first budget because it had such a wafer thin surplus. Wouldn't a surplus be wonderful right now? But, yes, absolutely. I just can't understand

that. I can't understand, when you are dealing with other people's money, how you can just put savings out in the never never, it disgusts me, quite frankly. We had certain councillors in there that would say things like, "If we don't owe \$50 million we're doing things wrong". If I would speak out against that and my conservative colleagues would speak out about that, we'd get called names, like neo-liberals, and I kept getting told I wear a tin foil hat, and strange things like that. But that is a title I wear proudly now, because I think my position has been vindicated.

Q. Were you an opponent of the merger or a supporter?

A. I would say I was a supporter, absolutely a supporter.

Before it happened it's not something I gave a lot of thought to, to tell you the truth. Once it was done, I sort of thought let's hit the ground running, let's get the rubber on the road, we are the Central Coast. Like I said, that is exactly why I went the way I did with my first mayoral vote because I wanted to get that out of the place. I just thought if people are talking about amalgamations from the start, and trying to kick that same old political football, that we would get nowhere.

So I would say I was a supporter of the amalgamation.

Q. Did you observe within the chamber a divide between north and south?

Oh not particularly Only to tell you the truth

A. Oh, not particularly. Only - to tell you the truth, I don't think that really existed. I think it was more of a bit of showmanship. There was always, you know, councillors from the north that said, you know, "We need equity, ward equity". That's an impossibility. I was in the west ward, for instance. If you look at the extra amount of kilometres we've got in roads and infrastructure, as opposed to, say, Budgewoi, it's an impossibility to have exact financial equity. But there was a lot of talk of that in the chamber every now and then, but behind closed doors I don't think it existed.

Q. Do you think it existed at a staff level?

A. Not - it's possible, but not that I saw, to tell you the truth. I never heard anyone saying, "I was Wyong council", "I was Gosford council", or anything along those lines. It is possible, but I just didn't see it.

Q. What was your level of contact with the staff? Was it just the ELT?

A. Most of the time. The way it was set up, we had our - obviously, yes, if we were actually physically in the building, yes, nine times out of 10 that's exactly who we would be speaking to. If we had queries, any time of the day, we would have a certain email we would contact, councillor support, through our iPads and that. They would always - the councillor support staff would get back straightaway, but then they would direct you to - you know, they would direct your question to the relevant individual. Sometimes you didn't even know who that person was once the answer came back, but then you just corresponded through email. So that could have been anyone within the particular team.

- Q. But it was an effective method of communicating with the staff?
- A. I wouldn't say that, no. I thought it was heinously slow. I've got to be honest, I think sometimes it was heinously slow for a reason. If I had questions you know, one of my massive bugbears, as you have probably guessed, is huge uncosted policy. So if there was one of these policies that was coming up in the next council meeting, we had a debate about the motion, sometimes I didn't get an answer for my particular question until after meeting, which just wasn't good enough for my position.

You've got certain information you want to hit people with, so the people of Central Coast can see the truth, and you wouldn't get that information. I can't understand, when a motion is being crafted, how that information can't be available almost automatically, but it was slow at times. I'm not sure if that was by accident or design. But it was slow at times.

- Q. You weren't on the selection committee for the general manager, I understand?
- A. No. Actually, during the three and a half years, I managed to fall off a roof at the start. So I had five operations over the period. I think my recollection is I was in hospital at that time with the second operation, so I didn't.

- Q. I see. Did you participate in any of the meetings where the performance of the general manager was considered?
- A. I think, yes. Yes, my recollection is I think there

was - I think that happened on at least two occasions.

Q. What was your relationship with the general manager like?

A. I thought Gary was, from the very first time - I think Gary started as I came back. I think that second operation, I think I missed maybe six council meetings or something like that over sort of a 10-week period, and I think he was just starting as I was getting back. I found him to be a really nice guy. A really nice guy from the start. Always very approachable. Any time I had any queries, he did get back to me straight away and always helped out as much as he could.

The very first thing I said to my colleagues is - well the thing that worried me with Gary, I just didn't feel like he was going to be assertive enough for the position. Mr Bell, for instance, and even Mr Glendenning that there were there before, they had that little bit of gumption in their personality, I suppose you would say, which led you to think that if a particular team or a particular staff member or a particular system wasn't working, they would jump all over it with that little bit of aggression. "Aggression" might be the wrong word but, again, that little bit of gumption you need to make sure that the business, this \$800 million animal, is running correctly. I personally didn't feel that Gary was going to have the qualities to achieve that, but I was certainly willing to give him the benefit of the doubt at that stage, because I --

- Q. Did your view change over time?
- A. Again, I think it was vindicated. I think my view, the original feeling I had, I think, is what happened. I just think Gary just didn't really have the personality to run the people that were in that organisation, and could still be within that organisation for all I know.

So I did get frustrated sometimes with Gary. When it was glaringly obvious, for instance, what was happening in the chamber in a particular motion, sometimes - and I know Councillor Best would do this quite often as well - I'd put a question to Gary, a Dorothy Dixer. The answer was obvious, and all Gary had to do was show that little bit of gumption and answer it in front of everyone so that the people of the Central Coast knew the truth, but I'm going to say he never did.

- Q. Can you give me an example?
- I remember when it was one of the quarterly reports and Councillor Best gave - I sat down with Councillor Best just before we had dinner, and that's when he pointed out to me about the \$9 million in labour hire, and so we just said, "This can't be - this just can't be right, surely." So then I remember at that stage, during the to and the fro that night in the chamber, there was a couple of questions, I can't remember the exact wording but, to give you an example, it was over dollars and cents and Councillor Best said, "Is this A or is this B?" Everyone knew it was A and Gary would either say, "No, it's B", or just float in the middle and say, "I don't know". He had an opportunity then - because I know he knew the answer to the questions - he had an opportunity to put his foot down and say, "Yes, look out, this is the case", and that didn't happen. I think it is that little of gumption, that little bit of bravery, that little bit of steel in the spine that was needed at that stage, because it could have been nipped in the bud then, which could have saved the region of the Central Coast, I don't know, \$100 million, \$150 million, \$200 million, who knows.

Q. Not on that question, though?

A. Not on the question alone, no. That's an example. You asked for an example, Madam Commissioner. It was just an example.

- Q. What was your extent of knowledge about the way accounting for sewer, water and drainage contributions was handled within the council?
- A. Oh, my knowledge of the actual accounting would be that particular item, we wouldn't have had a hell of a lot
 of knowledge on that. We did have briefings in regards to
 all things sewer and water, but the actual accounting and
 how it was done, the processes, the systems, I would say
 very limited knowledge.

- Q. Did you ever have cause to go back to the financial records for the previous councils?
- A. Me I know some of my colleagues did about different things. I definitely did a bit of investigation on a few items, yes. Yes. One of the ones I can think of is and I know you may not know this the Davistown Wetlands. There was a portion of I think, off the top of my head, it was \$1.47 million that was supposed to be in an account

.07/10/2021 (5)

from the previous council that was for acquisition of these particular wetlands. So there was a little bit of investigation then.

The funny thing was - I obviously don't have it in writing now, because it would have been a council email and it gets removed the second you resign - but I was promised that money was sitting in its own bank account all by itself and they told me the interest it had accrued over time. It was sitting there ready to go in its own - they even told me at the time what the name of the bank account was. I strongly doubt that was true now, obviously, again with the 20/20 hindsight. There is no way that that money was there, and it's certainly not there now, as we know. So that's the only real time that I had to delve in the previous --

Q. Who was providing you with this information?

A. That was through council support. I apologise,
I can't remember the name. Obviously council support again
forward it on and then you get a response from an
individual within the organisation. I can't remember
exactly who sent me that, I'm sorry.

Q. Could it have - [Zoom freeze].

A. It's frozen.

MS ANNIS-BROWN: I'm sorry, Commissioner, your image appears to have been frozen. I don't know if you can still hear us. Just bear with us, Mr Marquart.

THE WITNESS: Not a problem.

 MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thanks. Perhaps if I could just suggest, Mr Marquart, that we just give the Commissioner a chance to get reconnected. Perhaps if you would like to turn your camera off just for now, and we will try and reconnect her and we will be back shortly.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

 MS ANNIS-BROWN: Hello, everybody. It looks as though there may be an internet connection problem for the Commissioner. We will try and reconnect her. In the meantime, the public hearings will adjourn until 11.30 in

1 order for us to be able to fix the difficulty. 2 3 Thanks, Mr Marquart, we should be back at 11.30. 4 SHORT ADJOURNMENT 5 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Hello, this is Commissioner McCulloch. 8 As you can see, we're now having difficulties. 9 it is now my personal internet account which is the 10 problem, which I am having investigated. 11 12 I wish to continue questioning Mr Marquart. 13 got a few more questions. I apologise, but I will have to 14 do that with my living room in the background. We will do 15 what we can to get this rectified before the next witness, but I will come on camera shortly and could I ask for 16 17 Mr Marquart to also come back on camera. 18 19 Mr Marquart, are you on camera, because I can't see Q. 20 you? 21 Α. Yes, yes. 22 23 It was because you hadn't spoken. Thank you. I'm terribly sorry about this. I did warn on Monday that 24 25 there would be problems. I did not expect them to be in my home, but anyway, we will sort that out. 26 27 28 Just a few more questions. When you started with the 29 council, were you aware of the infrastructure backlog that 30 the council had inherited from the previous two councils? I knew it existed. I did hear talk of that, yes. 31 32 I didn't know the extent, and obviously it wasn't until the 33 quarterlies started to come in and the first sort of 34 budgets that I realised how significant some of that was, 35 and how we continued to add to it. 36 37 For example, I remember - I think it was one of the 38 first quarterly reports or first budgets where I realised 39 there was a 70 - I think it was a 72 per cent mark on what was the required road maintenance. So you think to 40 41 yourself hang on, what are we doing? We're going to be 42 adding 28 per cent of issues to next year. 43

So it took a little while to get your head around that there were significant issues in regards to that catch-up required.

46 47

44

- Q. So do you think that was a possible justification for deficit budgets in the early years of the long-term financial plan or not?
- No, I don't. No, I don't. I think that was a reason to fiscally tighten up your belt and say, "Let's get this thing on track from the very start, from the get-go. not spend like drunken sailors and let's be conservative with the dollars we are pumping out there." It was an opportunity, really, a missed one. So I don't take that as an excuse at all - at all. It seems to me - and this is what I meant from the very start in regards to the councillors are to blame here - it seems that the defence is plausible deniability. I'm here to tell you that it is not plausible, because every councillor had myself, Councillor Best, Councillor Pilon, Councillor McLachlan, Councillor Gale, screaming at them, "Please, at least" - we put an amendment up - "please get this thing costed before we wave it through the motion". They would either vote that down or they would bastardise it, so they would turn the amendment or the addendum into something which was obviously the opposite of the real purpose of what it was.

So the plausible part of the plausible deniability doesn't work. They will have to stick to they didn't like iPads, because that's the only thing that is going to make sense here, or they were incompetent and they weren't up to the job.

- Q. So when you are talking there about motions you were putting up, you're not talking about budgets, you're talking about other motions that came up for business during the year?
- A. Yes, generally. There also is the quarterly reports, and, again, you were right in what you were saying yesterday, Q4 just never happens. That's a conundrum. Figure that one out. I don't know how Q4 disappears all the time. It makes it almost impossible. You would have your quarterly reports, but what we had to do as councillors, we had to star the items we wanted to talk about, and the mayor would say, "No worries, who wants to talk about this, this or this", or it just gets pushed through en masse. If I didn't, or Councillor Best didn't, or Councillor McLachlan didn't star the quarterly reports, they would never be spoken about.

The other side of the chamber that had the vote didn't want to talk about anything financial. You don't need to

believe me, go back and look through the minutes of every meeting, you will never ever find any of them that ever wanted to talk about a Q report.

A local developer, Tony Denny, he wanted to put a Colorbond fence up. They brought that into the chamber six times, because they didn't like him. So they'd talk about ridiculous little things like that - save the Rocket Park at Long Jetty, that came in about three times under Kyle MacGregor, but they didn't want to talk about anything - anything fiscal, anything financial.

 I honestly think it got to a point where every time they looked at it they had to reflect on themselves and, you know, it's when you look at the man in the mirror and you say, "Hang on, what have we done?" I think that's where it got. They wanted to try to push it away, pretend it didn't exist. That coupled with motions going out to the back rooms for God knows who to do what with, because they were uncosted, that coupled with Mr Murphy at the top as well, just created this horrific fiscal spending hurricane that the Central Coast is going to have to live with for probably decades to come.

Q. What did you understand the role of ARIC to be?

A. I understood it to be an oversight committee that was set up when the council was under administration originally, or it was obviously - I think Mr Noble set it up. I think it's supposed to be an oversight committee, but it needs to eat more carrots because its eyesight isn't very good. How in God's name could a financial oversight committee not be busting down the walls of both Wyong and Gosford chamber over the last three and a half years? That's my understanding, it was supposed to be there as a check and balance.

- Q. What you do you think they didn't do that they should have done?
- A. Well, to be honest, I don't know the exact, I suppose you would call it, terms of reference of ARIC. So surely there was something within their brief that allowed them to alert whomever they should alert. My understanding of the my position is they should have alerted the councillors, all of them, that there is a huge issue here. They don't even need to know the exact extent of that issue, because that's a staff that's an operational thing, but put it this way, again, the plausible

deniability - Madam Commissioner, on 14 September, Councillor Best, myself, Councillor McLachlan, Councillor Pilon, Councillor Gale, we all sent a letter straight to the office of OLG, straight to the minister, saying "This place is exploding". We thought the issue was about 50 million over the 19/20, but it was a lot worse. So if we knew this, how did ARIC not know this? If we knew this, how did the other councillors not know this? Of course they knew this. You did not need to know the exact figure to know that the place was drowning in debt. You did not need to know that.

- Q. What date was that letter, though?
- A. The letter was sent on 14 September we sent that letter. The follow-up from this was obviously that we all got a phone call you probably heard this from the councillors we all got a phone call from Gary Murphy on the October long weekend, 2020 I think it was, from memory, that we needed to come in for a briefing. Obviously during that meeting Mr Murphy was obviously very, very upset. I thought he was almost crying on the other end of the phone. So it was obviously very serious. So we went in there and that's when we started to hear we started to hearing, during those closed-session meetings, always were, always were confidential with this council we started to hear some of what was going on.

Now, what disgusted me from the start, we heard from the investigator that was - I can't remember the gentleman's name, I'm sorry, but that was doing the original --

- O. Mr Banicevic?
- A. That's it, yes. He was giving us figures straight away. What the council was putting out to the public for consumption was incorrect. It's not what I had just been told. So I just couldn't believe from the start, we had already we had spent all their money and we weren't even going to be honest with them. So I was almost ready to pull the pin then because I wasn't even believing the figures that I was being told at the time, and I was right, they were 100 per cent incorrect.

 So what happened was myself, Councillor Pilon and Councillor Gale sent another letter on, I think - it was the week before I resigned, so something like 20 October, as this was going on and on and on. Because the council

starting putting everything in confidential and the other councillors were putting motions up to spend money. We're being told that we're paying wages with funds we're not supposed to be using, restricted funds. These people were trying to spend more money. They were putting motions in that were spending restricted funds. Obviously I would refuse to vote. Once I'd totally left the meeting I shut my computer screen - it was all on Zoom at the time. But they just would not stop. I knew that these - again, they weren't adults, they were being adolescent about it, and they thought they could fix something. They were like a 17-year-old kid who crashed his car and was in a ditch. What they needed was their dad to help them get out of the ditch, and they were trying to get the car out themselves. But we needed --

- Q. You are another fan of the metaphor.
- A. I like the odd one every now and then.

- Q. If you can keep the language factual, that would be useful.
- A. I will try to. Sorry.

- Q. You are not suggesting that other councillors had any better information than you did, are you?
- A. No, I'm suggesting they had the same information and that was not it was not the same figure that was being fed to the public, is what I'm suggesting. I'm suggesting we all knew at that time it was much, much, much worse than the public thought it was, and we knew for a fact that obviously funds that should not have been spent, reserved funds, had been spent and were still being spent, and these people wanted to try to continue to do so.

We wrote a letter again - Jilly Pilon, Rebecca Gale and myself wrote another letter directly to the minister and said, "This is exactly what's happening, you need to do something." We never got a response.

Like I said, after 345 days since I resigned, this is the first time, you are the first person I'm speaking to besides a journalist regarding this issue. Nobody has called me once. Nobody has wanted this information. Nobody answered our letters, our pleas. So I thought at that stage - not just I thought, I thought and my colleague Councillor Gale thought - the only thing we can do is resign and try and blow this thing up to try to make it so

.07/10/2021 (5)

that the minister will come in and do what she needs to do. So that's what we did on 26 October.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

1

Ever since, a little bit like Councillor Best alluded to, former Councillor Greg Best, sorry, alluded to yesterday, I am disgusted in the way we have been treated since. You know, call us whistleblowers, call us what you I was not contacted by anyone within the government, anyone within the Liberal Party, anyone within the administration. I don't know why they wouldn't want to speak to the two people that resigned in disgust to bring this administration on, but they didn't. Nobody has spoken to us since. We've all been tarred with the same brush. If you hear the member for Terrigal talking, Adam Crouch, he just says "The councillors". He never says once, "Hang on, there are some people there who tried to save the coast". There is no differentiation between the left of the chamber or the power vote of the chamber, the bloc of nine and the people who tried to do something about it. is extremely disappointing and it is why I really wanted to speak today as opposed to writing it down in a letter form.

212223

24

25

Q. Early on in your term you said you had a serious accident and you missed a lot of meetings. But you were also absent for a lot of meetings in 2020, weren't you? A. Yes, I had five operations over the period.

262728

- Q. In 2020, was it?
- A. I had five operations in the three in three years, yes.

30 31 32

29

- Q. I see. So they were medical leave?
- A. Yes, that's correct. Yes.

333435

36

- Q. I don't have any more questions for you but I will just check if Ms Annis-Brown has any.
- A. Not a problem.

37 38 39

MS ANNIS-BROWN: No questions, Commissioner.

40 11

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Simone, do you have any questions for Mr Marquart?

43

44 MR SIMONE: No, Commissioner. I have no questions. 45 Thank you.

46

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Simone. Thank you,

.07/10/2021 (5)

1 Mr Marquart. That concludes your evidence and you are free 2 to pop off the screen. 3 4 THE COMMISSIONER: You didn't want to add anything more, 5 did you? 6 7 No, that's fine. I really appreciate your THE WITNESS: 8 time, thank you. 9 <THE WITNESS WITHDREW 10 11 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Our next witness is Kyle McGregor at 13 I very much hope I will be back on my computer at 14 that stage rather than hot-spotting on my iPad, but we will 15 resume at 12.50 whatever the case and we will take an adjournment now. Thank you. 16 17 18 LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon. As you can see, my 21 issues have not resolved in terms of my internet activity, 22 but I will try to continue the hearing. I'm verv grateful to our next witness, Mr MacGregor, for delaying his 23 24 evidence and also the officer assisting the administration 25 officer for their help in trying to manage things after I was cut off. 26 27 I'm continuing to work from my iPad, so I apologise 28 29 for my looming finger when I come back on screen. There is nothing I can do about that, I'm afraid. 30 31 32 What I will do now is call Mr MacGregor as a witness 33 and ask him to come on camera and off mute. 34 35 Hello, can you hear me? MR MacGREGOR: 36 37 THE COMMISSIONER: The sound for me is very faint. 38 turn my sound up. Ms Annis-Brown, is the sound okay for 39 you? 40 41 MS ANNIS-BROWN: It is very faint for me also. 42 Mr MacGregor, are you as close as you can be to the camera 43 and the microphone? 44 45 MR MacGREGOR: Look, I'm sitting at my desk, I can try to 46 get closer, does that make any difference? 47

1	THE COMMISSIONER: It is still very faint. Can I just ask
2	the transcription service whether they are able to pick up
3	the volume?
4	
5	(The court reporter advised that the volume was also
6	very faint for her.)
7	
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just ask what sort of device you
9	are operating on?
10	
11	MR MacGREGOR: I'm using a laptop computer. Would you
12	like me to put headphones in and see if that makes
13	a difference?
14	
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be great, if you could try
16	that, thank you.
17	
18	MR MacGREGOR: Has that improved now?
19	
20	THE COMMISSIONER: It certainly is for me.
21	
22	Ms Annis-Brown, could you swear in Mr MacGregor,
23	please.
24	
25	<kyle [1.32pm]<="" macgregor,="" sworn:="" td=""></kyle>
	<kyle [1.32pm]<="" macgregor,="" sworn:="" td=""></kyle>
25	<pre><kyle <examination="" [1.32pm]="" by="" commissioner:<="" macgregor,="" pre="" sworn:="" the=""></kyle></pre>
25 26	
25 26 27	<pre><examination by="" commissioner:="" for<="" macgregor,="" mr="" pre="" q.="" thank="" the="" you,=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28	<pre><examination by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" for="" inquiry="" macgregor,="" mr="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" submission="" thank="" the="" to="" which<="" you,=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<pre><examination a<="" all,="" been="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" did.="" first="" for="" helpful.="" inquiry="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" to="" which="" you="" you,=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30	<pre><examination a="" about="" all,="" background="" been="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" did.="" first="" first<="" for="" helpful.="" inquiry="" is="" little="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" to="" which="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<pre> <examination <="" a="" about="" all,="" background="" been="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" did.="" first="" for="" government?="" helpful.="" in="" inquiry="" is="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" which="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<pre><examination a="" about="" all,="" background="" been="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" did.="" first="" first<="" for="" helpful.="" inquiry="" is="" little="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" to="" which="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<pre> <examination <="" a="" about="" all,="" background="" been="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" did.="" first="" for="" government?="" helpful.="" in="" inquiry="" is="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" which="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	<pre> <examination <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" any="" as="" background="" been="" before="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" contact="" did="" did.="" elected="" first="" for="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" in="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" representative,="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" which="" with="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	<pre> <examination <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" any="" as="" background="" been="" before="" bit="" by="" central="" coast="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" contact="" council?="" did="" did.="" elected="" first="" for="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" in="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" joined="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" representative,="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" which="" with="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	<pre> <examination <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" any="" as="" background="" been="" before="" bit="" by="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" contact="" did="" did.="" elected="" first="" for="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" in="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" representative,="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" which="" with="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	<pre> <examination <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" and="" any="" as="" background="" been="" before="" best="" bit="" both="" by="" central="" coast="" commissioner:="" comprehensive="" contact="" council?="" councillors="" did="" did.="" elected="" familiar="" familiarise="" first="" for="" former="" gosford="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" i="" in="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" joined="" little="" local="" macgregor,="" mr="" my="" of="" pre="" preparing="" q.="" really="" representative,="" some="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" this="" time="" to="" was="" which="" with="" wyong.="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<pre>CEXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Mr MacGregor, for preparing the comprehensive submission to the inquiry which you did. That's been really helpful. First of all, a little bit of background about you. This is your first time in Local Government? A. Yes, first time as an elected representative, it is. Q. Did you have any contact with Local Government before you joined Central Coast council? A. I was familiar with some of the councillors of both former Gosford and Wyong. I did my best to familiarise myself with documents, minutes, policies and the like.</pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	<pre>CEXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Mr MacGregor, for preparing the comprehensive submission to the inquiry which you did. That's been really helpful. First of all, a little bit of background about you. This is your first time in Local Government? A. Yes, first time as an elected representative, it is. Q. Did you have any contact with Local Government before you joined Central Coast council? A. I was familiar with some of the councillors of both former Gosford and Wyong. I did my best to familiarise myself with documents, minutes, policies and the like. I was an informed community participant and viewer of the</pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	<pre>CEXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Mr MacGregor, for preparing the comprehensive submission to the inquiry which you did. That's been really helpful. First of all, a little bit of background about you. This is your first time in Local Government? A. Yes, first time as an elected representative, it is. Q. Did you have any contact with Local Government before you joined Central Coast council? A. I was familiar with some of the councillors of both former Gosford and Wyong. I did my best to familiarise myself with documents, minutes, policies and the like. I was an informed community participant and viewer of the meetings - sorry, not viewer because some of them weren't</pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	<pre> <examination -="" <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" and="" any="" as="" background="" because="" been="" before="" best="" bit="" both="" but="" by="" central="" coast="" commissioner:="" community="" comprehensive="" contact="" council?="" councillors="" did="" did.="" do="" documents,="" due="" elected="" familiar="" familiarise="" first="" for="" former="" gosford="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" i="" in="" informed="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" it,="" joined="" like.="" little="" live="" local="" macgregor,="" meetings="" minutes,="" mr="" my="" myself="" not="" of="" participant="" policies="" pre="" preparing="" prior="" q.="" really="" representative,="" some="" sorry,="" streamed="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" them="" this="" time="" to="" viewer="" was="" weren't="" which="" with="" wyong.="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	<pre>CEXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Mr MacGregor, for preparing the comprehensive submission to the inquiry which you did. That's been really helpful. First of all, a little bit of background about you. This is your first time in Local Government? A. Yes, first time as an elected representative, it is. Q. Did you have any contact with Local Government before you joined Central Coast council? A. I was familiar with some of the councillors of both former Gosford and Wyong. I did my best to familiarise myself with documents, minutes, policies and the like. I was an informed community participant and viewer of the meetings - sorry, not viewer because some of them weren't</pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<pre>THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Thank you, Mr MacGregor, for preparing the comprehensive submission to the inquiry which you did. That's been really helpful. First of all, a little bit of background about you. This is your first time in Local Government? A. Yes, first time as an elected representative, it is. Q. Did you have any contact with Local Government before you joined Central Coast council? A. I was familiar with some of the councillors of both former Gosford and Wyong. I did my best to familiarise myself with documents, minutes, policies and the like. I was an informed community participant and viewer of the meetings - sorry, not viewer because some of them weren't live streamed prior to it, but I did my best to do my due diligence before joining this council as a new councillor.</pre>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	<pre> <examination -="" <="" a="" a.="" about="" all,="" an="" and="" any="" as="" background="" because="" been="" before="" best="" bit="" both="" but="" by="" central="" coast="" commissioner:="" community="" comprehensive="" contact="" council?="" councillors="" did="" did.="" do="" documents,="" due="" elected="" familiar="" familiarise="" first="" for="" former="" gosford="" government="" government?="" have="" helpful.="" i="" in="" informed="" inquiry="" is="" is.="" it="" it,="" joined="" like.="" little="" live="" local="" macgregor,="" meetings="" minutes,="" mr="" my="" myself="" not="" of="" participant="" policies="" pre="" preparing="" prior="" q.="" really="" representative,="" some="" sorry,="" streamed="" submission="" thank="" that's="" the="" them="" this="" time="" to="" viewer="" was="" weren't="" which="" with="" wyong.="" yes,="" you="" you,="" you.="" your=""></examination></pre>

- A. I had known some of the former councillors going back to the period of about 2006/2007, when I first came into contact with them, and most of the due diligence that I did in regards to my term occurred prior to myself running, but I obviously followed information in the media and I also had contact with elected representatives prior to that.
- Q. Did you have any special role on this council?

 A. I was on various committees. I was a member of the joint regional planning panel. I was the chair of the social issues committee. I was on the economics committee. I was on the Gosford CBD and waterfront committee. I was on the Mangrove Mountain and Spencer advisory committee, the heritage committee, the playground committee so quite a few. I may have missed some, but I certainly participated in many of the committee meetings, yes.
- Q. Can you just tell me how the committee recommendations work when they come back to the council or to the staff?

 A. All decisions were made by consensus. I never participated in a meeting where we took a formal vote on anything. There was discussion, usually led with a presentation from a staff member, either a unit manager or an ELT member or a specialist, and sometimes external people were brought in. There was discussion around the group. The chair or the staff would see what the consensus was generally, ask people if they were happy with that, and in the time that I participated in them, I didn't see any attempt to put a dissenting report or take anything to a vote.
- Q. But the committee's role was to make a recommendation; is that right?
- A. That was my understanding, yes, and then those recommendations would go to the full council, either for adoption or amendment or the like, and from my recollection, the majority of times those reports were usually noted and, if required, an additional report or something of that nature would come before council for us to vote on.
- Q. Did the recommendations ever go directly to staff?

 A. I believe that they would have had a look at it beforehand because they have to prepare the reports, they have to do the minutes and senior staff certainly, or their representatives, participated in all of the meetings of the committees that I was a part of.

 A. Look, for some minor things, I believe that the staff were able to do it without formal resolution or direction from the council. I can't really give you a whole lot of specific examples, but I can remember, for instance, with the social issues committee, there was requests around the little libraries program, and there was an update on that and the staff basically said, "Well, we're already doing that anyway or we might consider a couple of alternative locations based on that". So staff definitely had input in that.

- Q. Now, what is your background professionally and your qualifications?
- A. I have a double degree in arts and education, majoring in history, minoring in European studies. I have two certificate 4 TAFE qualifications in workplace management and workplace health and safety. I've also undertaken various other courses. I was undertaking the Australian Institute of Company Directors course before my suspension and was unable to finish that. I also undertook specific financial training in Local Government in the final year of our term with Councillor Smith, approximately June I believe of 2020, which I don't believe the other councillors had done prior to us doing it.

Q. What was that course? Can you tell me about it?
A. Yes, it was the Office of Local Government standard course run by Dennis Banicevic.

Q. Did you find it helpful?

A. I did. I would have found it a lot more useful if we had had it at the start of the term. I thought that was quite revelatory that it was only after the request and certainly almost three years after we'd been elected that we took the opportunity to do that. It may have been offered previously but it certainly wasn't pushed, if it was.

- Q. Do you think that would be something that would be useful for all new councillors to undergo?
- A. I believe it should be mandatory, particularly around understanding restrictions, contributions and how to assess financial reporting through mechanisms like the investment

reports or the quarterly financial reports that we received which were often late or insufficient in information.

- Q. But that course itself wouldn't have I get the gist from your submission, it wouldn't have alerted you to the way in which contributions were accounted for?
- A. I believe that it would have assisted myself or other councillors if we had that knowledge before, given that subsequent to our term on the council, a lot of these issues were identified, and I believe that further training, and certainly genuine training and full, you know certainly training which was going to be of a high quality would have certainly improved that.

 I don't believe at the start of our term of council that the majority of councillors understood the restrictions, how they worked. Some of the more experienced councillors may have. As far as my recollections are, I have no record - recollection of being briefed on those or having a detailed run-down of that. The code of practice around Local Government and specific accounting and finances is quite different to what people are used to in a corporate environment, or perhaps with other levels of government, and I think some of these nuances would have been quite beneficial for us to have been exposed to and explained.

After the events of the October long weekend, Dennis Banicevic gave very detailed training and information and assessment to councillors as part of the process of us trying to understand what had occurred and how it occurred.

- Q. In terms of a handover from the administrator to the council, what did you observe occurred?
- A. Look, I don't recall a formal handover from the administrator prior to our election. In terms of our induction and how that occurred, subsequently after I was first elected I was invited to attend council. I met a senior staff member who was either the director of governance or the acting director of governance, I can't remember their full title at the time, they gave me a box with an iPad and iPhone in it. I had a temporary staff pass. They got in my car, we drove up to the car park, saw if the thing worked and then was told to contact them if I had any questions. That was the extent of the induction aside from the two-day weekend program which other

witnesses have described which was primarily focused on psychometric analysis of the councillors, and there was brief sessions on the community strategic plan and the operational plan process of how the operational plan would be developed and what the difference was between operational expenditure and capital expenditure.

- Q. Did you have any training on the budget process and how that was going to work?
- A. Not that I recall anything other than maybe mentions or something perfunctory, but I would be relying on memory and I'm not going to speculate. I'd like to keep my responses and submissions factual, thank you.

- Q. In terms of the staff, did you observe any division within the staff according to where they had formerly come from?
- A. Certainly anecdotally. We would get a lot of information filtered up to us basically that there was a divide in the staff between the north and the south. My understanding was they were on different wages, they had different ways for accounting for full-time employees and the like. I believe that a lot of the council's systems, particularly around wages and things like that, were separate. There was certainly a view from some that the Central Coast Council was basically a Wyong takeover and that many of the Wyong senior directors had entered into senior positions at Central Coast Council at a potentially disproportionate rate to those from the former Gosford City Council.

- Q. You didn't have any first-hand knowledge of that, though?
- A. Only through what was communicated to me through anecdotal evidence. It's not like it was formally reported to us that there was a divide. That is basically the extent of that.

- Q. Was that divide evident in the chamber?
- A. Look, I think the people that were on the former councils had very different experiences and very different expectations about how Central Coast Council was going to perform and operate, and I believe that the former councillors expressed dismay at the way it was. In regards to a divide between north and south, councils have, whether they are political or operational wings, various internal silos or divides. I don't believe that that was a major

contributing factor to what we were doing from the political wing. When it comes to the operational wing, how that was organised and the like, I'm not entirely sure. We received very little detailed information about the operational activities of the council, and a frequent response from senior staff or the various CEOs, was "That's an operational matter", particularly when concerns from community were put forward with certain issues, and we had to rely on the best advice of staff at the time.

Q. Can you give me an example of something like that?

A. A community representative or a constituent might contact us about a DA or some sort of projected maintenance for some infrastructure in their area, be it a road or a park or something of that nature. We were told very early on, basically, "Your role is to focus on the strategic direction of the council". As a councillor you would receive sometimes hundreds of requests a day for specific things. One thing I got a lot of requests for was tree and vegetation management and disputes between residents and council staff about that.

Q. And how did you manage those inquiries?

A. I would either put in a - I would meet with the resident, I would put in a council request through the TRIM process, through the email that we were provided.

Sometimes I would speak to a director or a unit manager if I was provided that opportunity. I also conducted a site inspection of several places with someone from that team, a unit manager and a weed management officer I believe in

 Q. You weren't on the selection committee for the general manager, but to your observation, was the proper procedure followed for the appointment of the general manager?

A. Yes. I was not on the committee, but I believe they followed all the standards and everything that they are required to do.

Q. And what about the continued supervision of the general manager - did you participate in any of those performance review meetings?

A. Not that I recall. Usually those performance review
meetings were during working hours and between - in those
hours, as a classroom teacher, I can't really take time off
work to go to one of those things. Councillors were also
afforded the opportunity to provide verbal or written

2019.

feedback through email. I would frequently discuss things with any of the general managers if I had an issue with something. An example of that was the level of information that I received from several requests from a community group at Tuggerawong bout the flood event in 2020, and I got a - I think it was a five-word, one-sentence response, saying basically "You don't need to know that", to a list of 20 questions.

I approached the mayor and the CEO and asked for more information and he was able to provide me more detailed information at a later date after working with the other staff that he had to. And then in regards to verbally speaking to Mr Murphy, Mr Glendenning or others, I tried to basically leave them to do their work, but if there was something that I thought needed to be communicated, I was quite happy to have an open conversation with any of the CEOs that we had under our time.

- Q. You seem to be a little critical of the amount of information that was available to you as a councillor. Did you use the councillor support email system?
- A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And did you --
- A. That would be my first sorry.

Q. Yes. Go on.

A. That would be my first point of call, so ordinarily I would forward an email from a resident, if they asked for their name to be removed or they didn't want me to forward the email I would send my own summary of the issue.

I would send that through to the councillor support unit. If it was an item of high importance I would copy in the director or I would copy in the CEO or the mayor, and I would ask for, you know, swift action on that.

Frequently - I will give the example of a question on notice that I asked, if I can just briefly refer to my notes, regarding the council's adherence to directorate budgets. I received a response that it would take up to six months to respond to that. I was contacted by multiple --

- Q. Sorry, what was the question?
- A. The question on notice on directorates budget adherence, 14/9/2020, that's footnoted number 40 on page 38

of my submission, and it's also referenced at a subsequent page, 39, which says "See previous commentary". The final response I got on that is that a formal response will be provided in the business paper coming to the second council meeting in February 2021. So I was contacted by media and residents saying this is inappropriate, this is ridiculous, how can you put up with this. I was very concerned at that point, and it was not long after that that obviously we were briefed as to the full extent of what was occurring at the time, without our knowledge.

Q. Was that the first time you became aware that there was no ability within the council's system to find out whether individual directorates were meeting their budget? I had asked questions about this at budget briefings I also had several conversations with and the like. directors. Without naming names, I can tell you that there were three directors that I had regular frequent contact with around specific projects - I will give the example of the Tuggerawong pathway project. That director - it was decided to do that in stages, and frequently the stages were coming in well under budget and we actually returned money from state grants to the State Government because we didn't need to expend all those funds on the delivery of that particular project.

So I think certainly it's concerning that we were unable to get that information officially on the business paper and in the public domain, but I had strong confidence in some of the directors in their ability to provide frank information and to complete their operational requirements either under budget or to a satisfactory standard.

Q. You probably don't know, but do you think that somebody had directed your question to the wrong area or -- A. I have no knowledge of the internal processes of how that worked, other than we were told, "If you have an issue, the starting point is to send a formal request through the councillor request system which is monitored by TRIM, and you will get a timely response." Some directorates would get a response within days; other ones, you could wait well and truly a matter of months to get a response to what would seem to be a relatively reasonable request.

Q. Do you think the ability of the staff to provide answers to questions might have been hampered by the fact

- that they, in often cases, were still operating under two separate systems?
 - A. I believe that there may have been many issues with that the accounting software, the processes. I'm also concerned that some of the reports that were filtering up did not comply with the relevant Acts or the regulations. For instance, in my submission I refer to regulation 202 of the Local Government Act 2005 when it comes to the council officers being required to:

- (a) establish and maintain a system of budgetary control that will enable the council's actual income and expenditure to be monitored each month and to be compared with the estimate of the council's income and expenditure, and
- (b) if any instance arises where the actual income or expenditure of the council is materially different from its estimated income or expenditure, report the instance to the next council meeting.

 Q. So that's for meeting the budget overall for the council. Your specific question was about individual directorates, but did you get that monthly information about the overall performance of the council?

A. No. Councillors relied on the quarterly reports.

What was reported to us either in briefings or from directors individually - and I can't go to what other conversations people have had - all I can say is that when it comes to projects, as I said, like the Tuggerawong pathway, I would ask detailed questions and I would get a very good response from some directors. There were other directorates I didn't have as much interest in. I can't talk about the internal processes. I was never exposed to them. It was never explained to us.

The most concern I had around that particular aspect of council was at one briefing where we were informed that the financial team was basically considered a bit of a dumping ground for some people at council, and that really makes you quiver in your boots when you hear things like that.

- Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. We were told that staff would be seconded to that particular part of council and that rather than being moved

on, that that would be somewhere that they would end up. I don't know the veracity of that. That was a statement that was made in a briefing close to the end of our term. But it certainly is revelatory of the place we are all forced to be in here now.

Q. Did you hear Mr Murphy's evidence yesterday about a conflict between other directorates and the finance unit?

A. Look, that was the first that I had heard of that.

I hadn't heard that statement before. But from what
I witnessed internally in the organisation, that does not surprise me.

Q. I will take you to page 9 of your submission. Down at the foot of that page, halfway through that paragraph:

 Prior to the adoption of the 18/19 operational plan we were briefed by staff who explicitly informed us that it would be impossible for the council to run operational surpluses until the amalgamation was complete and efficiency dividends were realised.

 Can you just expand on that a little?

A. We had a briefing prior to the adoption of the budget that was held in the Wyong chambers in the briefing room at Wyong, and there was discussion around what was happening with the deficit. We had three members of the ELT say to us basically, "It's as simple as this: unless you are going to have massive cut-backs you are going to see a situation where until the amalgamation is finalised or in a better state, we're going to run budget deficits."

The conversation in the room was around them being prudential, was around them being managed appropriately and a pathway, which I think is what people are referring to as the long-term financial plan in their evidence, to return to surplus. But certainly when you have investments like the IT, the wage harmonisation, all of those issues, they are going to impact on that. I did not believe that it was unreasonable that we would run manageable or appropriate budget deficits in the short term and once the merger was finalised with the likely benefits of it or potential benefits, that we would be able to return to a much stronger position.

One of the issues that I raised with multiple senior staff and with the councillors themselves was that the council had a structural revenue problem. We had a much lower rate base than other comparable councils. We didn't have diversified income streams. We didn't have a future fund like other councils had. And I was often told, "Oh, we're working on that, we're working on that." I was quite enthused when Mr Murphy had said that he had engaged one. There was a resolution, which I moved in consultation with my colleagues, which was delayed by six months after a request from staff to do so, and I believe in that time, Mr Murphy had engaged GT to look at revenue, the effects of COVID and the like, but essentially the revenue issue is a huge one for the council and one that I don't believe has been identified by others in their testimony so far.

15 16 17

18 19

20

2122

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

- Q. So you didn't regard the year-on-year deficits in the short term as being a bad thing?
- A. Well, if I can refer to my submission, I don't believe that the council took a response that was unusual, unheard of or unprecedented in our response through adopting a typical demand-driven response. I'm trying to find the section here to refer to, I don't have the exact figures right on me now, but if you look at the State and Federal Governments, for instance, they are running record deficits.

262728

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

- Q. They certainly are now.
- If you look at the recent reports into the amalgamated councils, 19 out of 20 of them are running structural deficits and have losses in productivity. Deficit financing is not unusual. Requests informally and discussions were made around taking up opportunities with the loan market and the responses from staff was TCorp will not loan to us, because we have a deficit, and, councillor, we will not be pursuing the commercial loan market. Even though we had record low interest rates and that would be a typical way to fund your deficits, it was only after the briefing on the October long weekend that the extent of the internal borrowings were uncovered and then presented to us and immediately reported to the ICAC, the minister, Office of Local Government and any other appropriate bodies by Mr Murphy, senior staff and ourselves as councillors endorsing those actions.

44 45 46

- Q. And ultimately that's --
- A. And I just sorry, I just also want to make the point

around debt when we're talking about deficits, because that's a key issue. I am just going to refer here to a question on notice that I asked regarding the debt levels of the Central Coast Council.

Q. Is this in your statement, Mr MacGregor?

A. Yes, it is. I'm looking at page 20 and then there is a table on page 21 as well.

- Q. Yes.
- So if you look at the size of the ratepayer base, Central Coast Council has relatively less debt per property at \$1,658.71, MidCoast Council and Shoalhaven Council have by comparison more than double the debt of Central Coast Council on a per property basis at 30 June 2019. There is a table on page 21 at the top of the page which is the formal response from staff, and it shows that current borrowings of Central Coast Council as of 30 June at 233,157,000, MidCoast Council, 222,574,000, Shoalhaven 222,574,000. Central Coast Council is a much larger council than them. We were frequently told that we were the largest council in the country on a financial and budget basis, and at no time did I believe that the budgets that were put forward by staff for us to adopt did not reflect our ability to perform on an appropriate economic level.

Q. Just help me here. I can't see the key to the graph below. I assume that Central Coast Council is grey?

A. Look, I - they are all a shade of grey to me.

- Q. Oh, okay.
- A. Because it is actually in black and white, so I apologise for that.

- Q. Will we be able to pick that up from the business paper?
- A. That was taken directly from a business paper, yes.

- Q. Thank you.
- A. The other thing I would like to note as well is our debt ratios, while we had an elected council, were actually less than what we do have under an administrator at the moment. The \$150 million that were taken up by the administrator in loans after our departure has actually had a negative impact on the council's debt ratio far greater than any decisions that were made by the elected wing while

we were there.

Q. I understand.

A. When we are looking at these issues, a really key point has got to be, you know, the constant thing is you have got more money going out than you've got coming in. What needs to be done is things that are going to make the council profitable and for the council to be able to actually diversify its income and revenue. That's something that I was very keen to do when I was on council. I found it very hard to get support for that, and it is about doing it in a targeted way. A lot of council's operations are unable to make money. You don't make money out of your drainage system, but you can make money out of other areas and aspects of council.

As part of the response to the contractionary budget that was adopted in the lead-up to it which was brought about through the Grant Thornton report, there were measures that were being looked at by staff and I believe that these measures need to be done in a targeted way. instance, if you look at the \$45 million approximately of redundancies from the current administrator, that's only really actually going to be paid for by the special rate variation they are applying for. The council is also going to lose the corporate knowledge and expertise of those staff, and when we were in those Grant Thornton briefing back in earlier 2020, I don't have the exact date on me, we were specifically given professional advice that we will actually lose more money in the long term if we get rid of some of these senior people because the entitlements are much higher. You've got people who have been at council potentially for decades. And not only that, we don't really have the corporate knowledge or expertise to replace That was something that was of great concern to the majority of councillors. One councillor actually said "I don't care how many we have to sack, we just need to sack them". I'm not going to say who that was, but there was a variety of views on these things.

Q. Thank you. Sorry, you took me somewhere where I wasn't planning on going next. You are somewhat critical of ARIC. What did you understand ARIC's role to be?

A. My understanding of ARIC was that it was an added layer of transparency. I believe the government appointed representatives on it were eminently qualified and experienced in the areas of auditing and the like. I had

no reason to doubt anything about them. The only concern that I had around ARIC prior to what occurred in October 2020 was when I was approached by two councillors who were resigning from the committee who - they wouldn't tell me why they were resigning, which made me concerned, but they told me that they had tried to raise issues with the ARIC and the ARIC was not interested in looking at them. One of the other issues with the ARIC which was frequently communicated across the elected wing of the council was the need for a finance and governance dashboard, which as far as I'm aware has only subsequently occurred or started to be developed effectively at the end of our term.

- Q. Was that something that had come up earlier in your term?
- A. I believe council had formally resolved multiple times, as well as through informal requests and the councillor request system. That was something that was of keen interest to councillors, and in subsequent briefings, identified by external experts as something that may have gone a long way to identify some of the issues and to clearly and accurately explain financial information. But I never attended an ARIC meeting, so I don't have inside knowledge of that committee. I believed it was an added layer of transparency, it was the government's watchdog and it was particularly encouraging to me that it was independent of the council, theoretically, as well.

- Q. And what about the role of the external auditors did you expect that they would have picked up the discrepancies in the treatment of contributions?
- I did. I participated in a council meeting where we had a presentation from KPMG, I believe it was in 2018 or 2019, I can't give you the exact date, where they were strongly interrogated by members of the elected wing and they saw no issues. Our senior staff saw no issues. auditor-general also conducted an audit. These are people who have specific knowledge of the sector and decades of experience. Now, the fact that they didn't pick up on it is of extreme concern. I have a wide variety of contacts across the Local Government sector, and as soon as what happened with us happened in October, I made a big effort to contact everyone across political parties that I knew who were on Local Government, encouraging them to do an audit of their restricted funds, because I believe, as well as others in the sector, that this may be occurring in

other councils, either historically, currently or into the future, and I've spoken to several accountants who have worked in Local Government and they say that it is not unusual for what happened here with regards to money being moved from the different pods. What is unusual is the scale of it and the way that it was able to escape probity until I believe the third or fourth external audit was done.

I do want to make note that it was on Mr Murphy's initiative that DMB Consulting was engaged to conduct that audit, and I believe that shows that he was acting prudentially and I appreciate him for doing it, even though we have unfortunately ended up in this position because of it. It's better that the truth gets out and that the structural issues around Local Government accounting and finances are the focus of rectifying this situation.

- Q. Thank you. In terms of the Office of Local Government, how did you see their in relation to the council?
- A. Look, my only real contact with the Office of Local Government was through the conferences or any of the training that they did. Like I said, I undertook one of their financial training courses. I didn't undertake any others with them apart from what was offered at the conference where they had seminars and different short-term courses that went for a couple of hours.

I didn't really have much knowledge or experience of working with them. From what I could gather, they were effectively like a department or separate to our day-to-day operations, where we did have potentially the ability to contact them. We did seek advice and support from them in the period after the October long weekend 2020, particularly regarding the legal and other issues around the CEO position.

Q. I'm sorry, I forgot to ask you this earlier. You say, looking at page 14 of your statement:

I also question if the councillors and senior staff understood the delineation between internal and external restricted funds and the impact that these were having on the Central Coast during the period of this inquiry's existence.

Are you just talking about what was internal or external restrictions or whether those funds were separated from general operating funds?

A. Both of those. So, for example, the issue of utilisation of restricted funds was brought up in briefings, in the COVID-19 briefings by Councillor Best and Councillor Gale. I believe they were also referred to at previous council meetings. There was no detailed discussion or breakdown of what internal restrictions or external restrictions were and how they could be used at that briefing or subsequent, to the best of my knowledge, although I don't have my full records because I don't have the IT equipment.

The discussions that we had were certainly around we wanted them to be used for infrastructure purposes, particularly given that when we were first on council we were taken on a bus trip to go and visit key aspects of council infrastructure, like the dams and things like that. When we actually got to the suburb of Forresters Beach, we pulled over and we had senior staff talk to us about section 94 and - which became section 11 and section 12 plans, and we were told that there are whole suburbs on the Central Coast that do not actually have section 94 contributions and we will be working on it straightaway.

Across the council, everyone very quickly caught on to that and wanted to know where it was up to. Questions were asked about it consistently. It seemed to be something that was taking time. We didn't really have further detailed information about that or briefings about that. If there was a greater knowledge, I believe from the political wing - I can't really speak fully from the operational wing, only from what we had been told previously while we were on council from them on how we could monitor budgets as part of those confidential briefings that we had - but I believe it was an issue for both and I believe that there's also some differences of opinion on what constitutes internal restrictions internal or external restrictions. And I would note, while I'm under oath, at no time did the council formally resolve to use the external restrictions. When we were provided with a staff report requesting that we either break the Local Government Act or the Corporations Act in either using these funds or not, I amended the motion to seek support and advice from the minister because at no point

was I going to break the law, and that was strongly supported across the council, and all 15 people voted for that, or all people who were present at the meeting, if my recollection is appropriate, and I have included that in the addendum.

- Q. I think by that stage some councillors had left the council by then?
- A. Well, furthermore, we actually had a briefing from Grant Thornton and the senior staff before that, and we were told that we actually did have enough money to make wages and payroll. We were then put in a waiting room of the Zoom and we were giving the briefing paper, after the meeting had started, with that recommendation in it.

- Q. So when were you provided with the Grant Thornton advice? In the same briefing?
- A. Grant Thornton had been engaged by what I understand to be Gary Murphy or senior staff to conduct various different activities at the council, and I believe they may have been there from either March or May 2020. So we had multiple briefings from them. On the October 2020 long weekend, that was when we had the first briefing about the restricted funds issue, and on the date I will just refer to the date, if I can quickly go to my addendum they gave us a presentation saying that if we had made some cut-backs our position would improve substantially over the long term, and they did not advise us that we had actually run out of unrestricted cash at that point.

We were advised by staff on 20 October - that's page 40 of my submission, I'm referring to that meeting, sorry - we were advised by staff prior to entering that meeting that we did have money to meet payroll.

 Grant Thornton had also briefed us either in March or May 2020 about the assumptions leading in to the COVID period. Part of the assumptions of that - and as you will note, there was some talk about the disagreement in the room - was that 90 per cent of Central Coast residents would not actually be able to meet their rate obligations and that they would default on their rates. That assumption was completely wrong. That was one of the key assumptions that we had serious concerns about, and thankfully, the Federal and State Governments provided financial and fiscal support for individuals and businesses which were able to, you know, ensure that people did.

I think in Mr Persson's report he mentioned that there was about a \$10 million lost from COVID roughly. We were told to expect \$90 million to \$200 million, and I believe we were presented with a worst case scenario basically so we would find a middle ground, because we were provided with options, and we ultimately, as a collegiate, even though I decided to oppose this, did cut \$50 million, is my understanding from the budget, so the council had already moved to a contractionary budgeting position, delayed capital works, put on a staff freeze, cancelled some capital works.

In the lead-up to the adoption of that budget I had several meetings with senior staff. One of those meetings was around aspects of the budget that we had concerns about being cut, and I saw that all money that was to be invested in fire trails, bridges and the like, was actually going to be deferred or cut. This was not long after we had had the That morning, or a couple of days before, I had bushfires. actually been in the bushfire committee and we had a council staff member at a lower level talking about all the fire trails that we were going to upgrade in the lead-up to the fire season. And either that night or a couple of nights later I'm sitting in a budget briefing that went for 20 minutes, because I got an individual one for 20 minutes with the senior staff, arguing that we've just had the worst fires we've ever had, this is crazy, can't we cut things we should be actually cutting rather than matters of public safety.

- Q. And what ultimately happened with that? You didn't get to decide?
- A. I was unsuccessful in my lobbying. The majority of councillors on the casting vote of the mayor adopted that contractionary budget. And I will note that we have been presented with briefings and advice previously from council staff that for every dollar that council spends there is between a \$1 and \$72 flow-on effect on the local economy. When I was voting on budgets I actually voted against all of the budgets because I thought there was a lot of fat in there that could be cut from certain areas or from certain aspects of the budget which weren't being looked at. I had no problem with the infrastructure spend because, as I said, I thought it was in line with our requirements under the Local Government Act and within the capabilities of the directors to do that.

So basically, you know, we were in a position where we, as a council, not myself, but we were moving in a contractionary direction and I believe the impact on the local economy was to be too big. So when I voted on budgets and matters of financial positions, I didn't do it on a ward or a basis of geography, I did it on a capacity basis or on an economic basis. I was criticised because I said, you know, "I want people to try and look at this from an economic point of view rather than a mere accounting point of view."

- Q. Just taking you back to May 2019, that's when IPART delivered its decision on the water, sewer and drainage contributions. Do you think the council reacted sufficiently to the drop in income that it was going to experience?
- A. My recollection is that that was raised with us very perfunctorily and very briefly. We had a budget briefing session at a business at Terrigal. I can't remember the name of it. I think it was the Cove or the Haven, or something like that. I'm not very familiar or au fait with that area. At the very end of that, when we were breaking, after we had gone through all the spreadsheets and everything, the then director of water gave a talk about IPART informally, while people were chatting amongst the room, and things like that, and we were basically told that because the water authority is not a state-owned enterprise, it's not corporatised, it has its own legislation and the like, IPART can't basically get us to charge commercial water rates. So that was obviously going to have some impact.

There were some questions from councillors - I can't tell you which ones, I can't remember, but there was some discussion as to, well, we're in the middle of a drought, we're investing in our water network. The water aspect of council, from my understanding, had the ability to be turned into something that was quite profitable through other things like turbines and generating energy that way. We also sell water to the Hunter and I believe maybe to Sydney, and there is the Mardi-Mangrove pipeline, and the like. So the general discussion in the room was this is a necessary investment which is going to future-proof the water system and the water authority for the Central Coast. I don't recall any other further discussions about that.

Q. The contributions that you were going to lose, were

you talking about it in globo as part of the general expenditure of the council or specific to water matters?

A. Look, I - my recollection of that particular discussion was there was a short discussion of it at the end of the budget session. It wasn't necessarily part of the budget session. Others may have different recollection. I - you know, that's just what I recall.

Q. Just give me a minute and I will just check that I haven't missed anything. Thank you, Mr MacGregor. Those are my questions but if you could hang on there, Ms Annis-Brown might have some questions.

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Yes, Commissioner, thank you.

<EXAMINATION BY MS ANNIS-BROWN:

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Q. Mr MacGregor, if I could just take you to page 2 of your submission, you have referred to not voting for any of the prepared budgets from council staff. Why was that?

A. As I explained previously, I was unhappy - in my submission as well as orally - with the presentation of them. I believed that there were more detailed and broader issues that needed to be addressed, and I believed that there were items in the budget that should have been cut or other ones that should have been included that weren't.

The budgets that were adopted - I will give you an example of the first budget that we were asked to adopt. The Wyong ward which I represented, and that's a ward that takes in both former councils, it is in the centre of the coast, effectively, we were getting 7 per cent of the council's expenditure in our ward in those budget The final budget that was prepared before the one that was adopted in June or July 2020, that one actually had an over 30 per cent spend on the Wyong ward in So it was certainly a matter of inequity and it was certainly a matter of spending money where it shouldn't have been spent. For example, I voted against the Terrigal boardwalk because you've got a \$7 million to \$10 million project which is going to affect the depreciation and the asset base of the council. I voted against turning the Warnervale Airport into a type 2 jet airport at the cost of approximately \$400 million to \$450 million in the master plan which was put forward on the proviso and the basis that the planning pathway didn't actually apply to it.

it was a false document, it was a false premise.

There was a lot of things that people were unhappy with. I was one of 15. I did my best to work collegiately with others, but when it came to voting on financial matters on items individually, whether it is a budget or individually coming before council, if I was displeased with it, I voted against it, despite at times being encouraged that if we did vote against it, that we would be removed and an administrator would be put in.

- Q. So that was what I wanted clarified, you didn't abstain from voting, you simply voted against those budgets?
- A. Yes. Well, the code of meeting practice, if you abstain, it's counted as a vote in the negative regardless.

Q. Thank you. That's what I was trying to get to, so thanks for that. That's good. Just on page 15, if I may, of your submission, you refer there to a far right element within the council acting as an opposition bloc. You go on to talk a little bit there about behaviour and what the result of that behaviour was. If you could just tell me what you are referring to there?

A. Look, 13 out of the 15 councillors worked well together, didn't always have unanimous votes. I voted for lots of things the liberals put up. When the liberals put up a motion to remove the footpath fund, I didn't vote for that and neither did Councillor Vincent because we rightly identified that people want footpaths, and you have to have money to pay for something coming from somewhere.

I refer to my submission on page 15, and I note that with no political party or independent group having a majority on the council, the vast majority of councillors worked collegiately across the political divide in a grand coalition style with votes frequently being a combination of votes across the political spectrum, with only far right elements acting as an oppositional bloc.

Now, when I talk about the far right elements, I believe you would be aware from my submission, if not other evidence, that we frequently had pop-up protests come to our council meetings around - particularly meetings where we had issues of key financial importance. Like Councillor Hogan, I was actually struck, I was filmed without my consent, we had people spit at us, swear at us,

attack us and the like. These were disruptive tactics.

 Now, when it comes to the minority of councillors, they would do things that were intentionally disruptive, like vote against the minutes, question conflicts of interest, they would delay, obfuscate and intentionally draw out meetings or create division. It wasn't a matter of tit for tat. You had nine, 10, 12 people who were able to work collectively and you had three people who were sitting there trying to do whatever they could to undermine the council internally, in public, while we were on the broadcast, and certainly through agitating in the community around certain issues that do cause division, be they flooding, dredging, affordable housing or the like.

MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you. That's all from me, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Annis-Brown. Mr Simone, did you have any questions for Mr MacGregor?

MR SIMONE: Thank you, Commissioner. No, I have no questions for this witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So --

THE WITNESS: Am I offered the opportunity to say anything else now, like some of the others have?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you certainly may, Mr MacGregor.

 THE WITNESS: I thank you for the ability to participate in the inquiry. There are just a couple of other issues that I would like to raise, and I will be succinct. I don't want to waste your time. I just believe these are matters which are relevant.

 One particular issue that I think needs to be looked at as part of this is the grant process. If you look at the grant process, we actually had a billion dollars worth of disinvestment in the Central Coast council area from the State and Federal Governments over the term of the democratically elected council. That figure includes grants, be they 100 per cent grants, 75:35, 50:50. Also the cost shifting which, as you will see in the question on notice I asked, was by the 2019 period \$47.5 million per year to the State Government. The inability of the Snowy

Hydro funding to be delivered, which we were told had been agreed to for the delivery of the RPAC, which consequently killed the project, obviously.

The other thing I would like to talk about, just very briefly, is several of the other witnesses spoke about attendance at council briefings and council meetings, and I will correct the record here. Myself, Jane Smith and Louise Greenaway were the only three councillors who attended every meeting. I have included my attendance at briefings up until 2019, as per a question on notice. If there is extra information, I'm sure that would be available to you, but certainly there were an active group of people who did attend and genuinely tried to engage with staff.

In response to the former witness who spoke before me saying that things were uncosted, the CEO was required to provide a response to anything which did incur a cost, and I believe that that was adhered to during the term.

 I just want to quickly go through this, sorry. The last thing that I would say is essentially, like, what has occurred at Central Coast Council, while we were elected and prior to it, is being treated as a political football. Now, I trust the independence of the department and yourself as the Commissioner, but I would note that the influence of external actors, particularly state members of parliament on both sides of the divide, is not always helpful in actually doing this.

 There was a curious and inordinate amount of attention and pressure put on this council. My personal belief is that was because there was a minority who didn't control it and wanted to use every opportunity they could to control it, because this is the only level of government here on the Central Coast which is not actually dominated by the Liberal Party.

We were all democratically elected and we did the best job we could, I believe - the majority of us did - and I thank the people who voted for me and those I was able to assist while I was on the council and I thank you for today.

I am happy to speak to you again or if you want any further information, I'm happy to provide it or avail

1 myself, however you would like. 2 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr MacGregor. 4 I don't have any further questions, so that will conclude 5 your evidence. Thank you. 6 7 <THE WITNESS WITHDREW 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Our next witness is Mr McLachlan who is 10 due on at 2.30. So we will have a short adjournment until I'm sorry. I correct myself. Ms Greenaway is our 11 12 next witness. I was getting ahead of myself. 13 14 SHORT ADJOURNMENT 15 THE COMMISSIONER: 16 Thank you. We will resume the hearings 17 of the Central Coast public inquiry. 18 19 Ms Greenaway, I see you are on the screen. Have your 20 audio issues been sorted? 21 22 They have, thank you, Commissioner, if you MS GREENAWAY: 23 can hear me. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I can hear you well. Could 26 I invite Ms Annis-Brown to swear you in as a witness, 27 please. 28 29 <LOUISE GREENAWAY, affirmed:</pre> [2.30pm]30 <EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER: 31 32 33 THE COMMISSIONER: 0. Thank you, Ms Greenaway. had at some point suggested that you would prepare 34 35 a submission for the inquiry but we didn't receive one. Is there a reason for that? 36 37 Well, there are a few reasons, actually, Commissioner, 38 but essentially, it's very complex and I wanted to make 39 sure everything was 100 per cent accurate and try to obtain all the necessary supporting documents, and I'm not sure if 40 41 you are aware, but when we were suspended, we also lost 42 access to our iPads and our phones and everything else, so 43 it was difficult to do that. Even when we were eventually told we could have access, we were told it had to be by 44 45 GIPA, so we would have to pay. And then we were told we could have access for a period of two weeks at Wyong for 46 47 a specific number of hours a day, and that we had to tell

1 the staff what we wanted and they would print it out for 2 Then the second time I went in, they said I could save 3 it on a USB, but the first time they told me I couldn't, so 4 I didn't have a USB the second time. So it is a fairly 5 long and involved process to make a really lengthy 6 I would like to think it's comprehensive, so submission. 7 I didn't want to skimp and rush it. So it's almost 8 finished. 9 10 Q. It is a work in progress. That's good to hear. 11 It is a work in progress. Α. 12 13 Sorry, because I'm operating in an THE COMMISSIONER: 14 iPad, I can't see who is there. 15 Commissioner, James Ryan. I have sought leave 16 MR RYAN: 17 to appear for Ms Greenaway. 18 19 You had, Mr Ryan. THE COMMISSIONER: I am sorry, when 20 I came on I didn't see your name there so I thought perhaps you weren't going to appear today. I do apologise. 21 22 23 MR RYAN: No problem. Am I in the right place, do you 24 want me here on screen? 25 26 THE COMMISSIONER: It's up to you. Did you intend to lead 27 evidence from Ms Greenaway? 28 29 That's not the primary objective, but it may be, 30 in case we need to, yes. 31 32 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I will give you an opportunity. How about if I question, Ms Annis-Brown questions, and if 33 you have anything that you need or you think needs 34 clarifying or expanding upon, you can then ask Ms Greenaway 35 some questions after that? 36 37 38 MR RYAN: Thank you. 39 40 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. If you could go off camera, 41 simply because I am operating under limited means and I'm just worried that even an extra video might pop me over the 42

43 44 45

46 47 Q. Ms Greenaway, I will have - are you there?

A. I am, but you are freezing occasionally, Commissioner, and your dialogue isn't in sync, I'm afraid.

edge. Thank you.

- Q. I am sorry about that. Can you hear me okay?
- A. I can hear you, yes.

- Q. Don't look at me then.
- A. You look like you are being dubbed, so it does look a bit unusual, but anyway.

- Q fortunately for me, you are in sync. Let's proceed. Experience in Local Government this was your first time on a council?
- A. Yes, it was, Commissioner. I did have a little bit of insight into councils just as a community member who wrote submissions on behalf of community groups, and I also did attend just a couple of council meetings previously over the years, and I did attend actually the very last council meeting of Wyong council.

- Q. Did you what is your background?
- A. I've got a varied background. My qualifications are degrees in arts and in law and an honours degree in science, which is in the field of sustainable resource management, and I have had a very varied career, everything from public relations to work health and safety, to well, they are probably the primary ones. As well as sorry, as well as being a solicitor right at the beginning. I was in practice for about five years.

- Q. Oh, I pity you. Did you have to work full time while you were a councillor?
- A. No.

- Q. You had that luxury, I guess. Did you have any special roles on the council?
- A. Yes. I was on some of the committees, the community committees, such as the water committee, I was on the Tuggerah Lakes catchment committee, I was on the history committee a few other committees, but I was also on the audit and risk committee up until I resigned.

- Q. Let's jump straight to that. What was your experience with ARIC? Tell us about how it functioned, because we haven't really heard from anyone from ARIC yet, but we have heard of everybody's expectations about ARIC. What actually happened in the committee meetings?
- A. Sorry, I've just got my submission, I want to flick to that page. If you will just give me a second, I will find

I guess, Commissioner, I had very high expectations. I know when it was announced that we would have an ARIC committee - there was an audit committee. Wyong council had an audit committee as well. I don't know whether that has been brought to your attention, but it was just called an audit and risk committee, and this one's an audit, risk and improvement committee, so it is a little bit distinguished just by that extra word or two. when it was announced, they were talking about that it was - its role was to provide independent oversight, objective assurance and monitoring of council's audit processes, internal controls, external reporting, risk management activities and all policies and procedures. This is from a press release from the then administrator, Ian Revnolds.

He then went on to say it places council in an excellent position in pursuit of good governance, which in turn promotes effective and efficient delivery services to the Central Coast community with transparency, honesty and integrity, and to continuously improve the way we do things is a clear focus of the committee. So that's what I understood.

Then there was a further media release from him and Scot MacDonald's office, where they spoke about the people who they were appointing and how highly skilled and widely respected they were, and Scot MacDonald went on to say:

The new council's financial systems and obligations are large and complex. Having a diligent audit and risk committee is critical to governance. Administrative processes and security can always be improved and it is wise to have effective oversight looking for flaws and misuse of taxpayers' assets.

So that's what I understood was going to happen, and you can see from - well, the people that were selected, I think that's accurate, that they were highly qualified and some had been on multiple ARIC committees at other councils, they had had experience in auditing and accounting, from what I understood, and - yes. So that was how the ARIC committee was presented to me through the media.

Q. And was that your experience, though?

A. Well, I have to say, when I - well, no, it wasn't. I didn't feel that things were scrutinised or probed anything like the degree that I had anticipated.

Admittedly, you know, I wasn't the most qualified person in terms of finances and accounting myself, and I thought, well, maybe they read all the business papers and things behind the scenes and then come to the meeting and just talk about them then briefly, and maybe they've already discussed things behind the scenes.

I just thought - I really had an expectation that we would have the papers out and we wouldn't go through line by line, but I did expect there to be quite a bit of scrutiny and that I wouldn't be leading that as the non-expert, that they would be leading that. But I have to say, we were asked to do a self-assessment and indicate whether we felt our skills and everything were benefiting the committee, and in February 2020 I sent my replies in, and I said I would have to say there has been quite a significant mismatch between my understanding of an ARIC committee and my experience of an ARIC committee. So that's what I wrote in February 2020, and I resigned in May.

Q. Did you give more information than that, but -A. No, but I just - it hadn't - to me, I mean, I can only
give my opinion, it didn't match what we were - what I was
expecting from the media releases and from the degree of
expertise that the members had.

Q. What you read out to us then in terms of the media releases suggested that the audit function wasn't purely a financial one, that they were looking at all activities of the council - it was generally about governance, is that --

A. Well, I agree that it wasn't just finance, but those people were - they were appointed specifically because they had practising - they had been practising for years as a chartered accountant, they had specialised in audit risk management and corporate governance, chartered accounting. To me, sure, it wasn't the sole purpose of that committee, but I just thought why would they choose people with so much financial experience and expertise if they weren't going to use that expertise? I just thought that's why they were selected.

Q. So how did the meetings function? Were you provided

- with effectively a business paper or information prior to the meeting?
 - A. We were, yes.

- Q. And --
- A. And an agenda.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

- Q. And was it of similar size to the council business papers or --
- A. No, not as nothing like as well, no, nothing like as big as that as a rule. And I should also point out, Commissioner, we were meeting sorry, the council was meeting I think twice a month, whereas the ARIC only met, I don't know, four times a year, perhaps, or five times a year. So if you were going to council meetings say you had gone to six council meetings that would have happened over the space of three months. But to go to six ARIC meetings it would be over the space of more like 18 months. So it did take me a while to, I guess, understand that it wasn't really what I thought it was. So that's why I left.

202122

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

47

- Q. Before you left, did you have any cause to raise matters with ARIC or query anything that was going on in the council accounts?
- Well, I did oh, about the accounts? I don't remember - well, I will start again. I don't remember personally asking anything specific, but I do remember with the KPIs, where they talk about different ratios and things and how you are supposed to be within a certain, what would you call it, range, a range, I do remember sometimes we were outside the range and so there was a red cross instead of a tick, to show that we weren't meeting that KPI. I remember sort of sitting there, because I was so new and, you know, what are we doing about this, and I remember thinking is this a big concern, and it didn't appear to be. It was just like - I don't remember the words that were used but it was more like, "We will keep our eye on it", or something. I thought okay, well, it's in red but it's not - it just didn't seem - it wasn't a red flag, it was just that in the booklet - or not the booklet, in the operational plan, it had a green tick if it was meeting and a red cross if it wasn't. But it wasn't highlighted with, you know, "Urgent, urgent, look here, this is needing immediate attention."

45 46

Q. Was it anything to do with the infrastructure backlog ratio?

- A. That was one of the points. There were two. There was the infrastructure backlog ratio and the other one I don't recall at the minute, but that was noted. It was noted.
- Q. But there was nothing that you heard during your attendance at the meetings that looked like the committee delved into the detail of the accounts?
 - A. I didn't get that impression. I didn't attend every single meeting. Perhaps they did on an occasion when I wasn't there, but I can only say that given what's transpired and I mean, honestly, I don't think you can tell from the operational plans what's happened in terms of the use of restricted funds, but certainly there was awareness of a deficit.
 - Q. What about the accounts once they were published at the end of each financial year? Would those accounts be considered by ARIC?
 - A. I think they would have been, but I don't specifically recall which ones were and how those discussions proceeded.
 - Q. Okay. So that's the role of ARIC. How did you consider the council's external auditors what was their role?
 - A. Well, again I thought they were it's funny what you think. You think they are auditing the accounts. Again, with all the experience, the expertise, their training, their qualifications, if they couldn't pick things up, there was I didn't think there was any possibility that I would. So again, I was very reliant on their findings, I guess.
 - Q. Let me take you back to when you started on the council. A number of people said there was no effective handover. You just went straight into an induction?

 A. Yes. I don't even remember meeting Ian Reynolds.

 I may have, but I don't remember it. My memory of meeting Rob Noble was very fleeting. I think we literally, like, passed each other in a corridor or something. You know, he may have addressed us as a group but I don't remember sitting down and chatting to either of them. It's hard to remember this far away from when it happened. I could be corrected on that but I don't recall any significant handover, no.
 - Q. Did you think that the induction that was provided was

1 effective for you as a councillor?

Well, there was a broad range of experience within the councillors, so it was effective to some extent, but I did seek my own sort of one-on-one tutoring from the CFO at the time, because I did think the financial aspect of council was very important, and even though we had had some basic training, I thought, well, I just want to be across all the - because so many new acronyms, so many new ratios, so many new everythings. So fortunately she sat down with me and we went through some of the budget papers and things, and then I did ask for a second tutorial for me. if you go to a workshop, some people at the workshop know a whole lot of things and some people at the workshop are So it doesn't always meet your needs as a councillor. So I did ask for a second one-on-one, again because I thought it was very important. At that point I was told that it couldn't occur because it was too close to the upcoming workshop so there wasn't time. So I just met with a community member who had some financial experience and went through just some general accounting with them.

202122

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

- Q. So initially it was was that Ms Louie who provided you with the one-on-one?
- A. Yes.

242526

27

- Q. So she was the acting chief financial officer at that point?
- A. She was, yes.

28 29 30

31 32

33

34

35

- Q. And did you find that useful?
- A. Well, I suppose I did at the time, just to get me up to speed a little bit, to understand the budget process a little bit better. I know she was very obliging and she emailed me to say she didn't think she had ever had anyone ask her to go through these issues before, so she was very you know, she seemed happy to assist.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

- Q. Did you take advantage of any of the training opportunities that were offered during your term at council?
- A. Some. I remember going to a planning one which was I think it was just called planning 101 or something, and that was because we had I don't know if you are aware, Commissioner, you probably are, we had a local planning panel. So the community lost that, lost our representation there. The only thing we really had left was the local strategic planning statement. So I went to

a planning workshop to see what extent we could influence the planning strategy through that document. Again, it was a workshop, so again, not pitched to me and my needs, just pitched generally, but I still found it relatively helpful. There was a lot of compulsory training at the beginning to do with various obligations, to do with the way meetings would proceed, that sort of thing.

- Q. In the induction days and the following weeks? They were provided by the council, were they?
- A. Predominantly, but I did go to some training down at Hornsby and I did go to some training up at I think Rutherford. I know the planning one was over Zoom because we were already in COVID. So there could have been others, I just can't recall off the top of my head, Commissioner.

- Q. Do you think it would have been an advantage to have had some training on Local Government specific financial accounting before you embarked as a councillor, with the benefit of hindsight?
- A. Well, I thought by speaking I thought by attending well, the funny thing is, Commissioner, what has been described as the catastrophic error of the council was well before my term and wasn't picked up by experienced Local Government administrators or by audit officers or by anyone, so I don't know if they have all had decades, collectively, probably centuries worth of training, I don't know what training I could have had that would have helped me pick that point up.

Now, as far as the deficit goes, we did have training, we did have consultants come in. The deficit - you know, all of those decisions around our budget are live-streamed and are in business papers, so they are all public information, so usually you can see why people voted a particular way on things. So I don't know what training could have helped.

- Q. Now, when you came on to the council, did you observe an us and them culture, either - well, first of all, within the council chamber? And when I say "us and them", I'm talking about north and south.
- A. No, I'd have to say it wasn't us and them, north and south. There was definitely us and them, but it wasn't that delineation, in my opinion. The only reason there was a little bit of a north and south is that people who had formerly been on a council knew more about their council's

business. So anyone who had been on the former Wyong naturally lived and knew more about the Wyong matters, and similarly with people from Gosford, if they had been on that council before or lived in Gosford and were across the Gosford issues. It wasn't so much competition, it was more a familiarity with the information and I guess a better network in their community because that's where they lived and that's where they had worked and been on council before.

- Q. So was there an us and them in another form?
- A. Well, I felt there definitely was, yes.

- Q. And what was that?
- A. Well, Commissioner, the meetings were all live-streamed, I don't think I need to put a name on what was going on.

Q. Well, you probably do, because I am not proposing to watch every council meeting. If you can just put in your own words what your experience at the council meetings was? A. Well, I could say that the behaviour of the councillors reflected what I considered to be their opinion of the community.

- Q. You will have to tell me what that means?
- A. Mmm. Well, I'm representing the community, so if someone refers to me, or a fellow councillor, for example, as a clown or if someone's raised an issue to do with the environment and they call you a radical left wing lunatic I'm not saying those specific words were used, by the way but I just felt that each time that things of that ilk occurred, it's like saying that to the community. You are saying the people they elected are clowns. You are saying the people that are wanting to protect our very important and treasured natural resources are somehow deranged lunatic left. That, again, is not the words they used, but things they were just I thought they were a little provocative.

- Q. Did you ever suffer any personal abuse?
- A. In what respect?

- Q. In the chamber.
- A. I would again, Commissioner, I just don't want to put a word on this because you ask me to, but I'm not entirely sure that that's helpful for me, because, you

1 know, then I'm pointing people out or whatever and I just 2 don't feel that that's helpful. 3 4 No, I'm not asking you to name names, but did you 5 experience abuse from other persons? 6 7 Commissioner, if I could just say that this is MR RYAN: 8 a very sensitive area for my client. She may wish to seek 9 a short adjournment, and if she does, I'd just ask you to be sensitive about that. 10 11 I understand. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: 13 14 Ms Greenaway, if you find that answer too difficult, 15 I won't press an answer from you. Thank you. 16 Α. 17 I will take you now to the selection of the general 18 19 manager, Mr Murphy. Could you just say something, please, Ms Greenaway, because I can't see you? 20 You can't see me? 21 Α. 22 23 It's one of the problems with working from an iPad on 24 speaker view, the person has to speak to be able to see 25 Thank you. You weren't on the selection committee, but to your observation were the guidelines around the 26 27 selection of the general manager observed by that 28 committee? 29 Sorry, Commissioner, I'm just a bit distracted here. Were they followed? It was my understanding that they 30 were. I wasn't on that committee, no, but I think there 31 32 were four or five people on the committee and it actually 33 had members of both Liberal and Labor on the committee, and 34 sometimes even when things are going well there can be a little bit of argy-bargy just because people want to make 35 a point, but all of the people on the committee were 36 37 satisfied with Mr Murphy's credentials. So that was to me 38 sort of a positive sign, that there wasn't even someone 39 standing out saying, "No, that's ridiculous", or "I don't 40 agree with the choice." 41 42 And from an outside councillor's point of view, someone who wasn't on the selection committee, did you feel 43 that you were sufficiently consulted about the options that 44 45 were available to the council? Well, yes, I did. We had access to the Skype 46

47

interviews, from memory, and we had access to the resumes,

and we certainly - if we thought, well, this one looks good or why did you not choose that one, we could certainly raise that with the committee that had been appointed to select the CEO, so - yes.

- Q. What about the ongoing management of the general manager. Did you attend any of the performance review meetings?
- A. I did, yes.

 Q. Can you tell me whether you thought that was a satisfactory way of supervising his performance?

A. Well, again, I think they were voluntary, those. So every councillor had equal ability to provide input. I don't know who did and who didn't provide input, but I did, and I did think that Mr Murphy was consistently professional and consistently courteous and there was - yes, that was my opinion of him.

As far as his performance went, most of us were satisfied with how he was, and I did think that some of the experience I have had of the staff, he may well have had a similar experience, ,so it was very difficult to see if things weren't being done, whether he was aware of it, whether he was on to it, whether he had no idea. It's that whole thing about the council's just the governing body and we're not to take any interest in the operational issues of council. So you couldn't really probe that. You just had to hopefully see over a length of time that the culture would change, and I could see that, but it was - I could see it was changing, but I still think there was some way to go.

- Q. You say your experience with the staff can you tell me what you mean by that?
- A. Oh, I can. I know a couple of times, well, more than a couple, I would be asking, say, for documents, and the staff would not give me documents. I'm just having a look through my draft submission. So I can give you a couple of examples of the types of things that would come back. So one said:

 I will consider releasing this information if you can provide precise justification on how this information is relevant to you to perform your role as a councillor.

1 Another time, they said - this happened a few times: 2 These documents will be made available to 3 4 you and you only. 5 6 With an express proviso that I was not to share them with 7 other councillors. When I requested that, it just came 8 back with: 9 10 I have provided you with all the 11 explanation I intend to provide. 12 13 I asked for a copy of the Kiar Ridge contract, which isn't 14 something you are probably familiar with, and I was told that: 15 16 17 Without further information as to the relevance of this request and in regard to 18 19 the current council and performance of your civic role, this information is not 20 provided. 21 22 23 And then another request: 24 25 I decline to provide you with the requested information and will not aid and abet 26 a breach by you of the Local 27 Government Act. 28 29 30 0. No section given? Oh, there may have been. 31 I put "dot dot dot". 32 I didn't put the whole response. Yes, so --33 34 Can I just ask you, were you seeking access to 35 confidential documents in those requests? Well, hmm, sometimes the documents were confidential, 36 37 but one example I would like to give is there was 38 a controversial issue about the Warnervale Airport, or 39 aircraft landing area, and at some point we were told that council's position in respect to that was that certain 40 41 restrictions in the Act didn't apply. I thought that was 42 weird, that - I just wasn't familiar with the expression "council's position". So I said, "Well, I'm on council, 43 that's not my position, how do we determine a position?" 44 45 I was told that that was council's position and we had external legal advice in support of that position. 46

47

So I asked

I thought, okay, well, that's worth reading.

for that, and that's when I was told that I couldn't have it because this person wouldn't aid and abet a breach by me of the Local Government Act. I thought, well, that's just absolutely and entirely, in my view, incorrect, and you know, we had just had training on some of our responsibilities. I think they specifically said I had a significant non-pecuniary interest, and we'd just had training on that, and I thought well, no, that's not right.

Anyway, that went on for some time until Mr Murphy started, so I asked him could I please have a copy of it, and he ultimately came back to me, who wrote it, or what date was it, or what was it called. I said, "Speak to the staff, they are the ones who have been talking about it", and then he came back to say there was no legal advice - no written legal advice. Perhaps there was some oral advice. But if there was oral advice, I couldn't understand why I was told I couldn't have a copy of it, like you can't really have a copy of oral advice, as far as I'm aware. But that type of thing.

Then there was also - we were told that the CEO had a responsibility to implement the resolutions of council without undue delay. Now, obviously he doesn't do that himself, every single resolution, he has to delegate that to the staff. So sometimes we would find things were taking way longer than we could expect, way longer than I thought we could expect, but - sorry, I'm just trying to find another thing to refer to. So one of the things - yes, so they were meant to implement the resolutions as quickly as possible or without undue delay, but then some months after we had had a resolution to do something, there was a rescission motion that was coming to council. So a councillor had obviously put up a motion to overturn the previous motion, and then we discovered that staff had emailed directly with the Department of Premier and Cabinet asking for State Government level pressure which could be applied before the rescission date. That, to me, wasn't consistent with the training we had had around the CEO implementing resolutions without undue delay, et cetera. So that one I will just clarify, wasn't under Mr Murphy's regime either. This type of thing, like, I just found it didn't match up with what we had been told about our responsibilities and about staff responsibilities, so I thought --

Q. Can you tell me what that issue was, what the

1 resolution was?

A. It was to do with Warnervale Airport.

- Q. Which has been a very controversial issue?
- A. It has.

- Q. For many years?
- A. Yes. So that's why it was so stunning well, I found it stunning that a resolution that had been duly made by council, that that was the way it was I mean, I couldn't see how that was implementation of our resolution, and that's all I can say about that. It was pretty staggering to me.

- Q. Can you recall what the nature of the resolution was? Was it to not proceed with something or to was it to cancel the contract or?
- A. Oh, I don't have the actual resolution with me, but it was the one about we weren't cancelling contracts, it was suspending all works and not extending the runway, not increasing the thickness of the runway, that sort of thing. So, yes, that was the one that was going to be rescinded. So I was just I don't know how you interpret that, but I think it's a stretch to call it implementing the resolution without delay. Anyway, I don't need to go into it.

- Q. Was that an isolated incident or were there other incidents?
- A. No, I well, I don't know, I didn't get access to everything that staff was doing. It was very hard, usually it was up to a community member to GIPA information. But another example I can give you, and this one is a little bit distressing, I will say, I'd only been on council probably a month and I had a code of conduct complaint made against me. Now, usually you can't discuss these, but this has been the subject of a GIPA application and through NCAT, so I believe I'm allowed to speak about it.

 So I was only on council about a month and someone made a GIPA - sorry, a code of conduct complaint against me and it was a member of the public. I don't know who that was, but I was very surprised at the nature of it because it also alleged that I had a significant non-pecuniary interest in certain matters and that, therefore, I shouldn't be allowed to engage in debate or in voting on those matters. Again, I had just had my training and I

.07/10/2021 (5)

knew I didn't have a non-pecuniary interest in those matters, which were again the airport and Kangy Angy rail facility, which you probably haven't heard about, and the Mangrove Mountain tip, which wasn't in Wyong ward, wasn't in Wyong council area, so I reality didn't know much about the Mangrove Mountain tip, but I was being investigated for having a significant non-pecuniary interest.

So initially I thought, well, this won't be upheld, because it's clearly wrong. I had to give a statement to an investigator and then follow up questions and everything, and I kept thinking it was just a very bad - I hate to use the word vibe, but it was a bad vibe, and then eventually it came down to that I was found to have had this significant non-pecuniary interest, and if I --

- Q. Can I just ask you, sorry, was the investigator independent of the council or was it somebody from within the council?
- A. I believe it was someone on our code of conduct review panel.

Q. Is that an independent person?

As I understand it, yes. So you get - when you are new you think, "I don't want to have a code of conduct complaint found against me in such a short amount of time." So after it had been going on - I think the complaint was in the November. After it had been investigated and it was still going on - they are meant to be completed in I think about 30 days but it still wasn't completed by February, so I wrote to the Office of Local Government and said, "I just can't understand why this is going on for so long". So in April - so this is like five months after it, I was given - I got a phone call from council saying they had an urgent delivery for me and I texted a few other councillors, "Are you getting texts to say you are getting urgent deliveries?" I thought there might have been some new business paper we had to look at or something. They were all "No". So then eventually one of the staff arrives at my house with the findings of the code of conduct, and it had actually been in the council since I think February. They just hadn't given it to me for all that time and I had felt very stressed every time I was in the council trying to debate or vote knowing that this was happening.

Then, you know, to rub salt into the wounds, I was made to undergo specific training, one-on-one training,

with a solicitor brought down from Sydney - brought up from Sydney, rather, to help me understand what my obligations were.

So I was subjected to that. Then I had to write to the Office of Local Government again and they were able to tell me - well, they made a determination, which I was able to give to Gary Murphy so he would be aware of what had happened.

- Q. Sorry, what was the Office of Local Government determination?
- A. That the process oh, I haven't got the exact wording, but it was more that the process hadn't been followed, because it is meant to be that they ask you about your well, they have to examine whether there is, I can't think of the right word, but a prima facie case to answer. That's not what they say, but let's call it that, and then they investigate that. Whereas this was just like a sorry, I'm just shaking now so my words are a bit askew.

 So it wasn't - instead of having those two distinct steps, it was just - so that was wrong, and they also said that I did not have a significant non-pecuniary interest, which is what my training told me anyway, and that's when you ask how adequate was the training. I went to the training. That wasn't the problem. The training wasn't the problem. It was the experience that you had once you got in there that just didn't - it wasn't consistent with the training.

- Q. You mentioned earlier NCAT. Did you have to appeal at any point?
- A. I did, yes. Could I just have a break for a minute?

- Q. You certainly can.
- A. Only for a couple of minutes, but I would prefer just to feel a bit more settled.

THE COMMISSIONER: It is 3.13. We will come back at 3.20.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Ryan, we will resume now.

Sorry, Commissioner, I was on mute.

THE COMMISSIONER: I thought you had an application to make, no problem.

Q. Ms Greenaway, are you there?

Α.

- Q. No problem. Look, I can understand that this has been distressing for you. If you intend to provide a comprehensive submission to the inquiry, I won't ask you any further questions, but I will just check to see whether Ms Annis-Brown has any questions for you, unless you wanted to say something now.
- A. Well, I would like to finish what you had asked just before the break. I think you said had this do you remember your question?

- Q. Was it an isolated incident, yes.
- A. Oh, was it an isolated incident. Sorry, I --

Q. Oh, no, I might be wrong. We were talking about the code of conduct complaint and I think we got up to - you had to appeal to NCAT?

A. Yes, that's what you asked about, NCAT. Yes, Commissioner, there was a member of the community who made a GIPA application, a freedom of information application, for certain documents - from all councillors - regarding any questions that they may have asked in relation to this particular topic. This person had previously threatened to sue me for defamation and some other things that - I don't know what their intention was, but made me feel a little bit distressed. So that was just how I perceived them. So I was more than happy for all the information to be provided. I wanted it provided, but I just didn't want my name on it because I was fearful of what might happen.

 So it ended up going all the way to NCAT and they did provide the documents in the end, but in the process of that, part of the evidence that I had given about my concerns were these code of conduct complaints, because there was a second one that was made, and again it wasn't -well, I didn't feel it was substantiated, and again I had to write to the Office of Local Government and again they had to say the process wasn't followed.

Commissioner, can I just say, the people involved in

```
1
         code of conduct, there are decades and decades of
 2
         experience, of Local Government experience in the people
 3
         that are involved with that within council, and then
 4
         organising the external people and things like that, so
 5
         again, it was just me, a newbie on the block just finding
 6
         it so difficult in those times. Then - anyway, that's
 7
         probably all that I need to say in relation to that bit.
8
         And I can put more in the public submission, but - sorry,
9
         yes, I will put more in.
10
              Well, I won't ask you any more questions,
11
         Ms Greenaway, but Ms Annis-Brown, do you have any
12
13
         questions?
14
15
         MS ANNIS-BROWN:
                           No, Commissioner, no questions,
16
         thank you.
17
18
         THE COMMISSIONER:
                             And Mr Simone, do you have any
19
         questions?
20
21
         MR SIMONE:
                      No, I don't, thank you, Commissioner.
22
23
         THE COMMISSIONER:
                             Okay. Well, thank you, Ms Greenaway --
24
25
                        Sorry, Commissioner, I think my
         THE WITNESS:
26
         representative --
27
28
         THE COMMISSIONER:
                             Yes, I did promise Mr Ryan that I would
29
         give him the opportunity to ask you some questions.
30
         Mr Ryan, are you there?
31
32
                    Yes. Can you hear me and see me, Commissioner?
         MR RYAN:
33
                             I can.
34
         THE COMMISSIONER:
35
                    I wonder if I can just ask a few clarifying
36
37
         questions about what Ms Greenaway has said already.
38
39
         THE COMMISSIONER:
                             Certainly.
40
41
         <EXAMINATION BY MR RYAN:
42
43
                    Q. Ms Greenaway, you began this answering some
         questions from the Commissioner about your experience of
44
45
         ARIC committee.
46
         Α.
              Yes.
47
```

- Q. Can you give any examples of some of the things that ARIC did spend time discussing while you were in the meetings?
 - A. There was the I think it was called the enterprise risk management framework. We looked at that. We looked at some confidential things, like how many code of conduct complaints were made, things like that, and then we would look at things like how many CCTV cameras were or were not working. There was a range of things, but, as I said at the beginning, because these people were selected for their financial expertise, that's where I thought the focus would be.

Q. Did the discussion on CCTV cameras surprise you?

A. Well, I guess it did because I just didn't understand how that - I know it's potentially a risk or something, but I did find that a little surprising, yes.

Q. If I could just ask you to clarify about the one-on-one training you sought to understand council's finances from the then acting chief financial officer, which was Ms Louie, I understand?

23 A. Yes.

- Q. You described having one one-on-one session with Ms Louie?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And is it your understanding that Ms Louie didn't want you to come back for a second session?
- A. No, no, she was very obliging. It was I don't know who made that decision but I was just ultimately told that, no and perhaps yes, that's probably all I can say. I don't know who.

- Q. Were you given a reason?
- A. It would be well, it was probably in an email, but as I said at the beginning, we don't have access to our emails anymore and yes, if I did have I could perhaps find it.

- Q. Without reading anything, do you recall that there was a reason given for you not being able to have a second session?
- A. I think it was they were busy in the lead-up to the budget workshop.

- Q. Thank you. You mentioned briefly the Kiar Ridge contract. Can you just explain what that was? What is Kiar Ridge?
 - A. It is again to do with sorry, it was a number of parcels of land that were purchased by the former Wyong council ostensibly to have an airport created on it. However, it was ultimately deemed inappropriate for a number of reasons, so we were selling that land. So I was just interested because there was a lot of disquiet in the community around the terms and conditions and I didn't know how we had acquired it and I just thought, well, if we are selling it and it's on our watch, I just wanted to be very much across what happened.

So after Gary Murphy - he provided access to the I wasn't given a copy. That often happened, document. Every time we got legal advice, we would sometimes be just handed it in an envelope at a meeting and told to read it and then the staff would collect it from us afterwards. So we were just - it was like they didn't trust us with any material like that. So I did get the document from sorry, the Kiar Ridge contract from Mr Murphy and I sat down with the solicitor for council and we went through it on one occasion, and then we were supposed to come back. A time hadn't been arranged, but because he wasn't a senior member of staff, he had actually resigned and I didn't Because if they are a senior member, the CEO would email the councillors to let us know. So I would have booked an appointment with him sooner, but, anyway, he was gone. So I didn't get to speak to the newly appointed solicitor after that.

- Q. Just regarding that contract, the councillors were to vote on that sale; is that right?

 A. Well. I think there had been a previous resolution of
- A. Well, I think there had been a previous resolution of Wyong council, maybe, to sell the land, but we were just literally even though it is a multi-million dollar property, we would literally have the respective director out the front of the room on a whiteboard telling us, you know, "This is what purchaser A might do, this is what purchaser B might do", and it was very, very complex. That's all I can say, and I just was surprised. I mean, I asked for when I say they wouldn't let me have the contract, I asked for it over the span of about 12 months, and some of the reasons were it wasn't currently before council, some of them were it wasn't necessary in my civic duties. One was due to the passage of time you can't have

it, and I thought that, you know, that was ridiculous, because it was only the passage of time because they hadn't given it to me, so they were using the passage of time as an excuse not to give it to me. There was so many - that took more than 12 months to get, I think. That pretty much - it coincided with Gary Murphy arriving because when we met, firstly, I remember that he said that he was told when I had first asked for it - because that was the other thing, gosh, it takes me back, we would have to ask questions of staff through I will call it a triage system called councillor support. So we would send our email in to councillor support and they would reallocate it to the relevant director for response. So - sorry, I've just lost my train of thought. Could you reask your question?

I was asking about the Kiar Ridge contract, and you were talking about the way you had to ask questions. Yes. So I asked for it quite a few times and each time when they would give the answer that I couldn't have it, they would then close that councillor request, as if it had been dealt with. So then when I would ask again, they would open a new one that looked like it was just a new request. So by the time Mr Murphy arrived it would look like I had only asked, say, two weeks ago, and I had to piece it all the way back. I think I originally thought it had gone back to the February and then pieced it further back to the January. So it was just such a long period of time, and in the end I didn't get to discuss it with the solicitor anyway. But it was just - the point I was making was the difficulty that I had accessing information, and that just --

Q. Can I ask, then, whether it was via being briefed on council's asset sales or whether it was an item of business you had to vote on, you believed it was sufficiently connected with your councillor duties that it was reasonable to ask for the contract?

A. Well, absolutely, because the director came to us and briefed us on it, and set up on the white board what the two purchasers were proposing, and I don't know whether you would say he sought our approval or what, but he was seeking our input as to whether he should proceed. And I - yes, so that's --

Q. Thank you. Just a question on the Warnervale Airport and the email you referred to as you became aware of via a community member's GIPA application.

```
1
         Α.
              Yes.
 2
 3
              I'm just trying to clarify this. As I understand it,
         0.
 4
         the email was from council staff, I believe you said to the
 5
         Department of Premier and Cabinet, was it?
 6
              Yes, the Department of Premier and Cabinet.
 7
         honestly say who --
8
9
         Q.
              That's okay.
10
         Α.
              Yes.
11
12
              Do you have a copy of the email?
         Q.
13
              I do, yes.
         Α.
14
15
         0.
              And you can provide that with your submission?
16
         Α.
              Yes, yes.
17
18
              Is it the case that you are saying the council having
19
         taken a position, it wasn't appropriate for staff to ask
         the Department of Premier and Cabinet to provide support
20
21
         for an opposing position?
              Well, that was my understanding from the training that
22
23
         we had had. It just seemed very inconsistent with what we
24
         my expectations were.
25
26
              Finally, I don't know how we're going for time, but if
27
         I can ask this last question.
                                        The Commissioner asked you
28
         previously about your experience in the chamber and you
         were unwilling to name people, but I'm just wondering if
29
         you can answer a general question: did you find at times
30
         the behaviour of councillors to be aggressive and
31
32
         insulting?
33
              I thought it was a very unhelpful environment to make
         important decisions in. I thought there was antagonism
34
         and, you know, when you are trying to make really important
35
         decisions on behalf of the council, you know, there's been
36
37
         studies that show that people don't think the most clearly
38
         under pressure and heckling, and all that sort of thing,
39
         and I just thought - I felt it was very disrespectful to
         the community to have their leaders trying to make
40
         decisions under those - in that environment.
41
                                                        I just think
42
         we should have - even though we have different opinions on
43
         things, I did believe we should at least be civil in the
         chamber so we can think straight instead of, yes, the
44
45
         hyperbole and the antagonism that we did see.
```

Q. Did you find going to council meetings upsetting,

personally?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26 27

28

Yes, I did, and I wrote to our first mayor and our acting CEO about that, and I also wrote to the director of governance about that probably in around 2019. And I likened it - I said it's a strange situation. Like, if you have the parks and gardens people going out you will give them their hat and their sunscreen and their long sleeves to go out and do what they have got to do in the You don't wait until they come back sun burnt and then send them to a doctor. You do everything in advance. So I used - they would just say to me "Access EAP", which is the counselling service, and I would think no, stop putting me in this environment. I said, "I can't believe that we are expected to work under these conditions" and they would just say to me, "Well, you are not an employee", and I would say, "Well, this is my workplace". And they just - I felt very unsupported. There were some steps eventually taken to I guess limit the amount of interactions that the community could have, but I remember some instances where councillors were actually, you know, "Come on", like looking up at them, telling them to get louder. Then one time I went out into the common area in Gosford and there were a couple of councillors there really geeing the community up, taking selfies, and I just -I thought wow. But despite all that, I would have to say that despite all that, we still were able to make the important decisions and carry on the functions of council. So we had to do it under extreme circumstances, but we managed to do it.

29 30 31

32

33

34 35

36

Q. Ms Greenaway, the Commissioner asked you about your training earlier. In terms of being trained to work as a team and what was expected of you in the council chamber, do you think that prepared you for the council meetings?

A. Oh, gosh. What may have helped would have been - oh, that wasn't the question. Did I feel - what was it? Did it help me, did you ask?

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- Q. The training you were given in the code of meeting practice about teamwork, did it help prepare you for the council meetings?
- A. No, I didn't feel well, I shouldn't say "no". It helped me to a limited extent so I would know how the meetings would progress and things like that. But as far as the conduct in the chamber went, we definitely had some sort of team bonding type training, and that was not just the councillors, that was also with the senior staff. Some

1 councillors just didn't come, which, you know, that's their 2 prerogative, it wasn't compulsory. 3 4 I think there was a fairly - to me it was reasonably apparent, again just an opinion, that some people didn't 5 6 want the council to function. I remember one would look up into the camera and actually say, "Minister, if you are watching, take this council now." And I would think 7 8 9 I don't know what the community felt about that, but it was essentially, you know, an invitation to remove us, remove 10 the community. Because it's the locals that lose. 11 a local lock-out. They don't have any representatives on 12 13 council. They don't have anyone to put anything forward 14 for them. 15 You know, don't worry too much about us as the 16 17 councillors, we will get on. But whilst we are in suspension, it's the State Government that put the 18 amalgamation into progress, that's back in charge, making 19 all the decisions about our local area, and it's the locals 20 who are locked out. It is the local people that are locked 21 22 out by the suspension. 23 24 MR RYAN: Thank you. I have no more questions, 25 Commissioner. 26 27 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Ryan. I should then just check with Mr Simone that there is nothing further arising 28 29 from that questioning. 30 31 Thank you for that opportunity, Commissioner. MR SIMONE: 32 There is isn't anything arising. 33 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms Annis-Brown? 35 MS ANNIS-BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner, nothing from me. 36 37 Thank you, Mr Ryan, and 38 THE COMMISSIONER: 39 I am sorry I momentarily forgot your request. 40 41 That will conclude your evidence, Ms Greenaway. Thank you very much, under trying circumstances. 42 43 44 We will resume tomorrow at 9am. Today's proceedings 45 are concluded. Thank you. 46 47 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.

```
1
         MR RYAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
 2
 3
 4
         <THE WITNESS WITHDREW
 5
 6
         AT 3.40PM THE INQUIRY WAS ADJOURNED TO
         FRIDAY, 8 OCTOBER 2021 AT 9AM
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
                                  279 L GREENAWAY (Mr Ryan)
    .07/10/2021 (5)
```